

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY OF  
LIMA,

*Petitioner,*

v.

RUTAS DE LIMA S.A.C.,

*Respondent.*

Case No. 1:20-cv-02155 (ACR)

METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY OF  
LIMA,

*Petitioner,*

v.

RUTAS DE LIMA S.A.C.,

*Respondent.*

Case No. 1:23-cv-00680 (ACR)

**ORDER**

Given their related nature, the Court hereby **CONSOLIDATES** Case No. 20-cv-2155, *Metropolitan Municipality of Lima, v. Rutas de Lima S.A.C.*, and Case No. 23-cv-680, *Metropolitan Municipality of Lima, v. Rutas de Lima S.A.C.* See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a). The parties must identify both cases in the captions of all future filings, as shown above. While each case will retain its independent case number, all future filings in these consolidated cases must be filed only in Case No. 20-cv-2155, the first-filed case. Compliance with this directive requires

that a party filing a document on ECF select “No” when asked “Do you want to spread this docket entry?”

Further, for the reasons stated in the Court’s accompanying Memorandum Opinion, the Court:

**DENIES** Petitioner’s Petition to Vacate filed in Case No. 20-cv-2155, Dkt. 74, and **GRANTS** Respondent’s Cross-Motion to Confirm, Dkt. 73;

**DENIES** Petitioner’s Petition to Vacate filed in Case No. 23-cv-680, Dkts. 1, 3 (Errata), and **GRANTS** Respondent’s Cross-Motion to Confirm, Dkt. 25;

**ORDERS** that, consistent with the Court’s March 1, 2024 Order in Case No. 23-cv-680, by 12 p.m. on March 15, 2024, the parties shall submit a proposed final judgment as to the Court’s rulings on the First and Second Awards that includes a damages calculation updated as of March 15, 2024. The Court then intends to certify that final judgment, as to the First and Second Awards, on March 15, 2024, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b); and


**ORDERS** that any further proceedings related to Respondent’s filing regarding the Third Arbitration, Dkt. 41, in Case No. 23-cv-680, are **STAYED** until after the D.C. Circuit issues its mandate in the three *Kingdom of Spain* cases: *Nextera Energy Global Holdings B.V. v. Kingdom of Spain*, No. 23-7031; *9REN Holding S.A.R.L. v. Kingdom of Spain*, No. 23-7032; and *Blasket Renewable Investments LLC v. Kingdom of Spain*, No. 23-7038.

In light of this stay, the Court hereby **ISSUES** an indicative ruling under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62.1(a) that it would vacate its order requiring Petitioner to produce the criminal complaint referred to in the Memorandum Opinion if it had jurisdiction to do so. If and when Petitioner dismisses its appeal in Case No. 24-7007 (D.C. Cir.) and the Court thereby regains

jurisdiction to do so, the Court will vacate its order requiring Petitioner to produce the criminal complaint.

**SO ORDERED.**

Date: March 12, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANA C. REYES  
United State District Judge