In the matter of an arbitration under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes

Case No. ARB/18/21

Video conference via Zoom

Friday, 25th June 2021

Hearing on Jurisdiction and the Merits

Before: RT HON LORD PHILLIPS KG PC MR J TRUMAN BIDWELL JR MS BARBARA DOHMANN QC

BAY VIEW GROUP LLC and THE SPALENA COMPANY LLC

Claimants

-v-

GOVERNMENT OF RWANDA

Respondent

Secretary to the Tribunal: ALEX B KAPLAN

Transcript produced by Anne-Marie Stallard and Georgina Vaughn on behalf of Trevor McGowan

APPEARANCES FOR CLAIMANTS STEVEN COWLEY, Duane Morris LLP BRYAN HARRISON, Duane Morris LLP RODERICK MARSHALL, Bay View Group LLC FOR RESPONDENT RICHARD HILL QC, 4 Stone Buildings ALASTAIR TOMSON, 4 Stone Buildings MICHELLE DUNCAN, Joseph Hage Aaronson LLP DANIEL McCARTHY, Joseph Hage Aaronson LLP DANIELLE DUFFIELD, Joseph Hage Aaronson LLP LUCY NEEDLE, Joseph Hage Aaronson LLP NARCISSE DUSHIMIMANA, Rwanda Mining Board SPECIOZA KABIBI, MINIJUST, Government of Rwanda THIRD PARTY OBSERVERS LISA GROSH, United States Office of International Claims and Investment Disputes JOHN DALEY, United States Office of International Claims and Investment Dispute NICOLE THORNTON, United States Office of International Claims and Investment Dispute CATHERINE GIBSON, Office of the United States Trade Representative MICHAEL COFFEE, United States Department of Justice DONNA CHAPIN, United States Department of Justice INTERPRETERS SARAH ROSSI, French-English interpreter ELIZA BURNHAM, French-English interpreter ROBERT WOLFENSTEIN, French-English interpreter JEAN CLAUDE MUGENZI, Kinyarwandan-English interpreter ROSE-MARIE MUKARUTABANA, Kinyarwandan-English interpreter SUPPORT STAFF JAMES WATKINS, FTI Consulting DAVID BRODSKY, FTI Consulting ANNA LOUTFI, assistant to the Tribunal COLLEEN FERGUSON, ICSID paralegal IZABELA CHABINSKA, ICSID intern

## INDEX

	PAGE
Discussion re procedural matters	1
MR JOSEPH MBAYA (called)	7
Direct examination by MR HARRISON	8
Cross-examination by MR HILL	8
MR CHRISTOPHE BARTHELEMY (called)	.11
Direct examination by MR HARRISON	.12
Cross-examination by MR HILL	.13
Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON	.24
MR DOMINIQUE BIDEGA (called)	.29
Direct examination by MR COWLEY	.30
PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA	.42
(called)	
Direct examination by MR HILL	10
Direct examination by MR Hill	.42
Cross-examination by MR HARRISON	.43
Re-direct examination by MR HILL	.54
MR JEAN AIME SINDAYIGAYA (called)	.55
Direct examination by MR HILL	56
Cross-examination by MR COWLEY	.56
Tribunal questions	.93
Re-direct examination by MR HILL	
MR JOHN BOSCO KAGUBARE (called)	113
Direct examination by MR HILL	114
Cross-examination by MR HARRISON	
Re-direct examination by MR HILL	134

MR ANTHONY EHLERS (called)136
Direct examination by MR HILL
Cross-examination by MR COWLEY138

12:15 1	Friday 25th June 2021	12:18 1	be notified.
12:15 1	Friday, 25th June 2021 (Transcript times are British Summer Time)		THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
		2	-
3	(12.15 pm)	3	MR COWLEY: And then lastly, a question and I'm sorry,
4	MR KAPLAN: Good morning, good afternoon, thanks to	4	I shouldn't just jump in. If Mr Hill has any comment on
5	everybody for your patience. We have been trying to	5	
6	successfully set up the connection for the first	6	5 1
7	witness. We're still a few minutes out from having that	7	the intention is regarding the requirement of the
8	connection fully up and running with the 360-degree	8	parties to make advance payments if we're in a situation
9	camera and the interpretation set. I leave it to the	9	5 15
10	1 1	10	1 1
11	one, you wish to wait a further few minutes, or if you	11	15 555
12	would rather continue to the second witness who is ready	12	
13	to go. Thank you, and thanks again for your patience.	13	confirms receipt of everything as it comes in, you know,
14	THE PRESIDENT: Alright, can we then discuss this with	14	
15	counsel?	15	received that information from Mr Kaplan and making the
16	MR KAPLAN: Yes, they are present.	16	assumption that that's because it hasn't come in yet.
17	THE PRESIDENT: They are present, okay.	17	THE PRESIDENT: I think that seems a fairly reasonable
18	Before we do that, as we've got a little bit of	18	assumption; is that right, Mr Kaplan?
19	time, could I just ask Mr Cowley a question for the	19	MR KAPLAN: Yes, Mr President, and I would like to take
20	benefit of the Tribunal?	20	a moment also to just say that the World Bank's fiscal
21	Mr Bidega's statement was introduced in the context	21	year ends on June 30 and what happens as the fiscal year
22	of the preliminary objections. We would be grateful if	22	ends is our treasury computer system, which is SAP, goes
23	you could explain to us in what respect his statement is	23	
24	relevant to those objections?	24	So if the funds are coming in the next week, we will
25	MR COWLEY: I would like an opportunity to go back and	25	
	Page 1		Page 3
12:16 1	revisit that. I haven't considered that for, at this	12:20 1	been deposited in the trust, however, if the Respondent
2	point now, well over a year. So I would just like the	2	sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something
2 3		2 3	sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in
2 3 4	point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.	2 3 4	sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.
2 3 4 5	point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer. THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there	2 3 4 5	sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime. THE PRESIDENT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer. THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then,	2 3 4 5 6	sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li><li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li><li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li><li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li><li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning purposes, and as well as Respondent's counsel, Claimants</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, we're going to bring the witness in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning purposes, and as well as Respondent's counsel, Claimants</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, we're going to bring the witness in now.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning purposes, and as well as Respondent's counsel, Claimants have determined to forego cross-examination of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, we're going to bring the witness in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning purposes, and as well as Respondent's counsel, Claimants have determined to forego cross-examination of Emmanuel and I apologise in advance if I mispronounce</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, we're going to bring the witness in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: And Mr President, while we're bringing in</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning purposes, and as well as Respondent's counsel, Claimants have determined to forego cross-examination of Emmanuel and I apologise in advance if I mispronounce his last name Muvara. He is on the schedule Day 7,</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, we're going to bring the witness in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: And Mr President, while we're bringing in Mr Mbaya, I just want to remind everyone that the</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>point now, well over a year. So I would just like the opportunity to focus on the question before trying to answer.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright. Well, perhaps before we there may not be time but let's go on to the next item then, which is do we take Mr Mbaya straightaway?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: We're prepared to do either, obviously, with the second witness available.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I've got no difficulty. I'm going to be extremely short with Mr Mbaya and it's probably good that he's not hanging around in the meantime, so it probably is a good idea.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's take him straightaway then.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I do have two housekeeping matters.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, let's have those.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: For the Tribunal's information, for planning purposes, and as well as Respondent's counsel, Claimants have determined to forego cross-examination of Emmanuel and I apologise in advance if I mispronounce his last name Muvara. He is on the schedule Day 7, London time, direct anticipated to start at 1.50 and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>sends us the wire transfer, that usually is something that we could provide to treasury to help trace that in the meantime.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: We are falling in the time of year where it's not as instantaneous but the wire transfer confirmations hopefully may suffice.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Today is the day by which Rwanda said they would provide the funds, isn't it?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: My understanding of correspondence is that it was today, yes.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Well, today is not over. I don't expect Mr Hill is in a position to comment on this?</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm not, but certainly Mr Cowley is entitled to raise this and I will find out.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Right, may we proceed, then, with Mr Mbaya?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, we're going to bring the witness in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: And Mr President, while we're bringing in Mr Mbaya, I just want to remind everyone that the interpretation channel is active. Mr Mbaya, I believe,</li> </ul>

12:21 1	you want to listen in on French, you'll need to be on	12:25 1	MR WATKINS: Okay, are the IT folks there? Mike is supposed
2	the French channel, just as a reminder.	2	to be there.
3	Lastly, before I go off, I'll note that remember the	3	MS DOHMANN: Our Tribunal assistant has gone to find him.
4	channels change every time you leave a breakout room, so	4	(Pause).
5	I'll remind everyone if we come back from a break we'll	5	We have not found him.
6	need to reset the interpretation channels. So I'm going	6	MR HARRISON: Okay, thank you for bearing with me. I now
7	to go ahead	7	have the interpretation channel with computer audio.
8	MR KAPLAN: Actually, now that I think of it James, excuse	8	MR WATKINS: Alright, one moment, we have the Tribunal
9	me, can we just ensure that the Tribunal room is picking	9	sending for their IT individual.
10	up English and not floor?	10	THE PRESIDENT: I think we're alright if Mr Harrison is
11		11	alright. (Pause).
12	but that's not surprising, but I haven't got anything on	12	Mr Harrison, are you happy to proceed?
13	my laptop.	13	MR HARRISON: Yes.
14	MR HARRISON: James, nor do I. I don't have the translation	14	THE PRESIDENT: We'll soon find out if things are not going
15	5	15	as they should.
16		16	MR HARRISON: Yes, thank you for your patience, we
17	witness is called, but we're not in a position to tell	17	appreciate it. I am ready to proceed.
18	you at the moment that all is well on this front. It's	18	MR JOSEPH MBAYA (called)
19	only Ms Dohmann, I think, who is going to be following	19	(Evidence interpreted)
20	in French, and she has got headphones for that purpose.	20	THE PRESIDENT: Alright, well, let us try.
21	MR KAPLAN: We'll see that when we reach the interpretation,	21	Good morning, Mr Mbaya, can you hear me?
22	but Mr Harrison, have you seen the interpretation	22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you clearly.
23	button?	23	THE PRESIDENT: Good. You will have a declaration in front
24	THE PRESIDENT: We've got it now, we've put it onto English.	24	of you, I hope it's in French, but I suspect if it's in
25	MR KAPLAN: Okay, great, and Mr Harrison?	25	English you will understand it. It's in French, that's
			D. 7
	Page 5		Page 7
12.23 1	MP HAPPISON: I still do not have it. I had it in testing	12.26 1	fine. Could you please providing you are happy with
12:23 1	MR HARRISON: I still do not have it. I had it in testing with ETL but I do not currently have it on my screen	12:26 1	fine. Could you please, providing you are happy with it repeat it?
2	with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen	2	it, repeat it?
2 3	with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.	2 3	it, repeat it? THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and
2 3 4	with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now. MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there	2 3 4	it, repeat it? THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth
2 3 4 5	with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now. MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?	2 3 4 5	it, repeat it? THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li><li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li><li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat",</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>it, repeat it?</li><li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li><li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li><li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>it, repeat it?</li><li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li><li>I think we're okay.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>it, repeat it?</li><li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li><li>I think we're okay.</li><li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change that, if you could just let me confirm that I've done</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This was my role, I was the project manager.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change that, if you could just let me confirm that I've done that before we start, I would appreciate that.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This was my role, I was the project manager.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. No other questions.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change that, if you could just let me confirm that I've done that before we start, I would appreciate that. I apologise for the delay. Give me one moment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This was my role, I was the project manager.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. No other questions.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change that, if you could just let me confirm that I've done that before we start, I would appreciate that. I apologise for the delay. Give me one moment.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This was my role, I was the project manager.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. No other questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Hill.</li> <li>Cross-examination by MR HILL</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change that, if you could just let me confirm that I've done that before we start, I would appreciate that.</li> <li>I apologise for the delay. Give me one moment.</li> <li>MS DOHMANN: The interpretation channel does not come up on the laptop. There is no button to click on for the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This was my role, I was the project manager.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. No other questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Hill. <ul> <li>Cross-examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Mbaya, Pact is an international</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with FTI, but I do not currently have it on my screen now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: If you expand your Zoom application are there three dots that say "More"?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: There are not. I have "participants", "chat", "share screen", "raise hand", and "record".</li> <li>MR WATKINS: You're dialling in on the phone?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, we are using the Polycom in the room to do audio so Steve and I can share it.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, you know what we will need to do. Are you taking this witness?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I am.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: So maybe what we can do is disconnect the phone for this particular witness and use the audio on your laptop, and then that way you will have the interpretation channel, and you will just have to turn up your speaker so Mr Cowley will be able to hear.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, give me one moment and I will change that, if you could just let me confirm that I've done that before we start, I would appreciate that. I apologise for the delay. Give me one moment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>it, repeat it?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. <ul> <li>I think we're okay.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Mbaya, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. My name is Joseph Mbaya. I am the risk and security manager for the mines.</li> <li>Q. Mr Mbaya, which mines are you referring to there?</li> <li>A. (Answer not interpreted) Ruashi Mining.</li> <li>Q. And could you please briefly tell the Tribunal what you were doing in Rwanda, starting in 2011?</li> <li>A. In Rwanda I was the head of traceability of the ore, and therefore I was the manager, the person who puts in place the traceability system for ores in Rwanda. This was my role, I was the project manager.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. No other questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Hill.</li> <li>Cross-examination by MR HILL</li> </ul>

12:29	1 A. That's correct.	12:35 1	THE PRESIDENT: Have we got an update on the position so far
	2 Q. And you left Rwanda in 2014, didn't you?	2	as Mr Bidega is concerned?
	3 A. Indeed.	3	MR COWLEY: We have not heard further from Mr Kaplan or FTI
	4 Q. And since then, other personnel have taken over your	4	about the progress of that.
	5 role; correct?	5	MR WATKINS: Mr President, we are still working with
	6 A. That's correct.	6	Mr Bidega in a separate breakout room. They are having
	7 Q. And the staff who have been on the ground in Rwanda	7	some issues with connectivity. We do have the next
	8 after 2014 will be in a good position to describe how	8	witness available in the waiting room, Mr Barthelemy.
	9 the tagging system works in the various places in	9	I have to send him a message to make sure he is in front
1	10 Rwanda; yes?	10	of the computer, but he was already tested and was in
1	11 A. I believe so.	11	and he's in the waiting room waiting.
1	12 Q. And they will be in a good position to describe what	12	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, well, unless counsel have any
1	13 happens when Pact discovers problems and what actions	13	objection, it would seem sensible to proceed with him.
1	14 are to be taken?	14	MR COWLEY: No objection.
1	15 A. There is a background noise here. The microphone speak	15	THE PRESIDENT: Very well, could he be invited
1	16 at the same time as you do. It's very disturbing. Can	16	MR COWLEY: I'm going to sign off again.
1	17 you do something? Can you mute something so that	17	THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Could he be invited to join us?
1	18 I don't have this interference?	18	MR WATKINS: Yes, one moment. We're bringing him in.
1	19 Q. Yes, that is a mute original audio setting that you need	19	Mr Barthelemy, can you hear us?
2	20 to do, or someone needs to help you with.	20	MR CHRISTOPHE BARTHELEMY (called)
2	21 MR WATKINS: We're logging in right now.	21	(Evidence interpreted)
2	22 A. Okay, it's quieter.	22	THE WITNESS: Yes, I hear you.
2	23 MR WATKINS: Go ahead, Mr Hill, we should be good now	23	MR WATKINS: Okay.
2	24 MR HILL: And the staff who are now on the ground will als	24	THE PRESIDENT: Good morning, Mr Barthelemy.
2	25 be in a good position, won't they, to describe what	25	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
	Page 9		Page 11
	Tugo /		145011
12:31	1 happens when Pact discovers anomalies and what action is	12:37 1	THE PRESIDENT: You will have on your screen
	<ol> <li>happens when Pact discovers anomalies and what action is</li> <li>normally taken?</li> </ol>	12:37 1	THE PRESIDENT: You will have on your screen a declaration
	2 normally taken?		a declaration
	2 normally taken?	2	
	<ul> <li>2 normally taken?</li> <li>3 A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>4 technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> </ul>	23	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.
	<ol> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> </ol>	2 3 4	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on
	<ul> <li>2 normally taken?</li> <li>3 A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>4 technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>5 able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please? THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen?
	<ul> <li>2 normally taken?</li> <li>3 A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>4 technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>5 able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>6 to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>7 Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please? THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare
	<ul> <li>2 normally taken?</li> <li>3 A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>4 technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>5 able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>6 to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>7 Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>8 a good position to understand and comment on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please? THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
1	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please? THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
1	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a declaration THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour. THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please? THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON
1	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. I have no further questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> <li>A. Certainly.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. I have no further questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> <li>A. Certainly.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. I have no further questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Mbaya, you had a very brief participation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 4 21	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> <li>A. Certainly.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Do you recall that you submitted a witness statement</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. I have no further questions.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: No further questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Mbaya, you had a very brief participatio</li> <li>in these proceedings. Thank you very much for your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 4 21 22	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> <li>A. Certainly.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Do you recall that you submitted a witness statement in relation to this case?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. I have no further questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Mbaya, you had a very brief participatio</li> <li>in these proceedings. Thank you very much for your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> <li>A. Certainly.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Do you recall that you submitted a witness statement in relation to this case?</li> <li>A. Quite. I presented a witness statement.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>normally taken?</li> <li>A. I think that there are two things here. The staff,</li> <li>technical staff well, anything technical they are</li> <li>able to do, but in its own country it might not be able</li> <li>to say a certain number of things.</li> <li>Q. And the personnel who are on the ground are also in</li> <li>a good position to understand and comment on the</li> <li>increase in export levels from Rwanda after you left</li> <li>your job in 2014?</li> <li>A. Can you reword your question, or repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Yes. The people who have taken over from you and the</li> <li>Pact staff are in a good position to understand and</li> <li>comment on the increase in export levels from Rwanda in</li> <li>the period after you left the job.</li> <li>A. Yes, the person who is there can draft reports and</li> <li>normally give a report for the work being done in the</li> <li>field. There is no doubt about that.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Mbaya. I have no further questions.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: No further questions.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Mbaya, you had a very brief participatio</li> <li>in these proceedings. Thank you very much for your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 4 21 22	<ul> <li>a declaration</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Merci, bonjour.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Are you happy to repeat the declaration on your screen, please?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: For the moment I've got nothing. What screen? Okay, declaration of the witness: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, could you state your full name and your current employment?</li> <li>A. Yes, my name is Christophe Barthelemy, Christian name and surname, and I am a project manager for agro-industrial projects.</li> <li>Q. Mr Barthelemy, could you please turn off the TV in the background?</li> <li>A. Certainly.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Do you recall that you submitted a witness statement in relation to this case?</li> <li>A. Quite. I presented a witness statement.</li> <li>Q. And have you had a chance to review that witness</li> </ul>

$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 12:39 & 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes. I have a version on my screen.</li> <li>Q. Are there any corrections that you feel you need to make to your witness statement?</li> <li>A. No. No correction to be done.</li> <li>Q. And could you just tell the Tribunal briefly what you were doing when you were in Rwanda?</li> <li>A. I was the general manager of a company called Phoenix Metals, which was both an ore, a metal trader, and I was also recruited to (inaudible) inactivity, the only foundry of the region of the Great Lakes in order let me complete my answer in order to comply with the Dodd-Frank law concerning the traceability of minerals or ore.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy, no further questions. Cross-examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Barthelemy, you were the managing director of Phoenix Metals, as you have said; yes?</li> <li>A. Quite. And</li> <li>Q. And you say</li> <li>A. Forgive me.</li> <li>Q. And you say in your witness statement that Phoenix closed its operations in Rwanda in 2017 after a dispute with the Rwandan Government. Can you be shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<ul> <li>You made a suggestion in your witness statement about different levels of capital investment in mining by different mining companies. Now, do you recall that Mr Imena was the State Minister for Mining; yes?</li> <li>A. Yes, Evode Imena, indeed.</li> <li>Q. And in that capacity, he would have seen and had access to applications from all mining companies and status updates from mining companies, wouldn't he?</li> <li>A. Quite.</li> <li>Q. And he would be in a good position, and a better position than you, to know accurately the levels of investment by the different mining companies; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, I can't answer such a question, because on the one hand you have a minister representing a government that uses the services and their teams, and us on our side as traders, we would carry out due diligences that enabled us to see what was happening in each of the artisanal or semi-industrial mines that were our clients.</li> <li>Q. Now, you deal in paragraph 12 of your witness statement with an incident where you explain about receiving some white coltan, and you suggested that it did not appear there were real consequences. But, in fact, as the Pact the person who is head of Pact explains, the company concerned was suspended following an investigation. Did you realise that?</li> </ul>
12:41 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		12:45 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>

12:47	are radioactivity tests that are carried out and in this	12:51 1	restructure the mining sector because it seemed a little
	regard it would have been refused, so that's why I told	12.51 1	too disorganised in terms of trading because of the
	ITRI there was a problem. There was one tonne in	3	multiplication of the trading companies. 50 trading
	storage for one and a half years, there was one tome in	4	companies for, let's say, the volume of extracted ore
	reactions, right up until the time when the client said	4 5	that didn't work.
		5	What I did notice, that extractions of ore between
(			2012, 2013, 2014 and even in 2014 statistics had it
	I said: no, this coltan is being observed, and I kept it	7	
8		8	that Rwanda was the first exporter of coltan in the world, and this didn't match reality.
1	5	9 10	Q. You're moving away from my question now, Mr Barthelemy,
10 1		10	Q. Four removing away from my question now, Mr Barthelemy, so just focus on my questions.
1	-	11 12	A. Yes, but for me I saw an increase of exports, or rather
		12	a reduction. There was a reduction after that because
1:		13	ITRI authorised the traceability of ores in the Republic
14		14	of Congo.
1: 1:	•	15	-
	**	16	Q. Now, I'm going to change topic now and ask you about paragraphs 16-20 of your witness statement where you
1'			give evidence about the tender process for NRD's former
1		18 19	concessions in 2016.
1		19 20	
20			Now, what you seem to say in your evidence is that in order to hide the fact that investors behind NRD were
2	5	21	
2: 2:		22 23	tendering, you coordinated a bid behind a third-party company and you made a tender through that company.
24	1 8 9	24 25	That's what you say in your witness statement; yes?
2:	A. I have no knowledge of that. I only dealt with what was	25	Summarising it.
	Page 17		Page 19
	6		·
12:49 1	happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we	12:53 1	A. Yes, you are right.
12:49 1	happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.	12:53 1 2	<ul><li>A. Yes, you are right.</li><li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li></ul>
	<ul><li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li><li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of</li></ul>		<ul><li>A. Yes, you are right.</li><li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li><li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several</li></ul>
2	<ul><li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li><li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>A. Yes, you are right.</li><li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li><li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly</li></ul>
2	<ul><li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li><li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes, you are right.</li><li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li><li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li><li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li><li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, you are right.</li><li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li><li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, you are right.</li><li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li><li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas;</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 14	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 15 18 19 20	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was implementation when we went to the meetings organised by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information, you know, once you no longer deal with them, I'm dealing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 2	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was implementation when we went to the meetings organised by the Mining Ministry and Mr Evode Imena in particular,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information, you know, once you no longer deal with them, I'm dealing with different things now.</li> </ul>
22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 15 16 15 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was implementation when we went to the meetings organised by the Mining Ministry and Mr Evode Imena in particular, the Minister, I could see a lot of Asian faces coming</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information, you know, once you no longer deal with them, I'm dealing with different things now.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 23$	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was implementation when we went to the meetings organised by the Mining Ministry and Mr Evode Imena in particular, the Minister, I could see a lot of Asian faces coming in, both on mines and training systems, and from memory,</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information, you know, once you no longer deal with them, I'm dealing with different things now.</li> <li>But, anyway, there were two zones where there was coltan on the one hand, and tungsten, and cassiterite on</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24 \\ 24$	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and</li> <li>I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was implementation when we went to the meetings organised by the Mining Ministry and Mr Evode Imena in particular, the Minister, I could see a lot of Asian faces coming in, both on mines and training systems, and from memory, if memory serves, in 2015 or 2014 I don't have the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information, you know, once you no longer deal with them, I'm dealing with different things now.</li> <li>But, anyway, there were two zones where there was coltan on the one hand, and tungsten, and cassiterite on the other. From memory, there were five concessions and</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 23$	<ul> <li>happening at Phoenix Metals and I make sure that we comply with traceability rules.</li> <li>Q. It's right also, isn't it, that the Chinese number of Chinese buyers reduced after 2011 when the ITRI system was introduced; correct?</li> <li>A. This is not the perception that I have of it. When I arrived in 2011 yes in Rwanda, for this mission of the implementation of traceability for the foundry and also to pursue the trading activities, and</li> <li>I completed my mission at the end of 2016, so I didn't know the period when Phoenix Metals was not capable of reimbursing its loan, but what I did see between 2011 and 2016 and I'm speaking from memory is that there were approximately 50 traders in the country with approximately 30% of foreign ore trading companies, mainly European, and 60%, 66%, well, one-third, two-thirds of local traders.</li> <li>Now, following the implementation of ITRI and their traceability system, what happened is that there was implementation when we went to the meetings organised by the Mining Ministry and Mr Evode Imena in particular, the Minister, I could see a lot of Asian faces coming in, both on mines and training systems, and from memory, if memory serves, in 2015 or 2014 I don't have the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes, you are right.</li> <li>Q. And what was the name of that company?</li> <li>A. Well, quite frankly I don't remember. I had several clients. I remember the gentleman, he was an elderly gentleman, very pleasant, spoke good French, but I can't remember the name of his company. But I did use that third party because it seemed, following the explanations that I obtained from NRD(?), that they were blacklisted.</li> <li>Q. But you can't remember the name of the company that tendered?</li> <li>A. No, I don't have that recollection.</li> <li>Q. And you say that you tendered for all the concession areas that had previously been NRD's concession areas; yes?</li> <li>A. No. No. After we thought about it, we only bid for two out of the five.</li> <li>Q. Which ones?</li> <li>A. I don't remember the names because this is information, you know, once you no longer deal with them, I'm dealing with different things now.</li> <li>But, anyway, there were two zones where there was coltan on the one hand, and tungsten, and cassiterite on</li> </ul>

12:55 1	NRD.	13:00 1	there were eight elements evaluated:
2	Q. And you then say that you were then told not to tender	2	"Mine development (5 marks).
3	and stopped bidding and you suggest you stopped bidding	3	"Further Mineral Exploration Plan and Estimated
4	in late April or early May 2016. Sorry, Mr Marshall	4	Budget (3 marks).
5	suggests you stopped bidding in late April or	5	"Mineral Beneficiation Plan with estimated budget (3
6	early May 2016; yes?	6	marks).
7	A. I don't have a recollection of the exact date so	7	"Approach to Value Addition (5 marks).
8	I'm sorry, this is not something that I have in mind.	8	"CSR Plan and Budget (2 marks)
9	What I do know is that we put in a bid before the	9	"Plan and Estimated budget for infrastructure
10	end of the closure of the bidding period.	10	development within the perimeter (3 marks)
11	Q. Can you go to R-133. This is an evaluation report from	11	"Environmental Management Plan and estimated budget
12	the Evaluation Committee for the tenders dated	12	(3 marks)
13	24th May 2016, following the Committee's evaluation	13	"Employment Plan of the company and planned
14	which had taken place between 3rd and 17th May.	14	employment compensation (2 marks)."
15	If you go to page 1, you can see that the former	15	And give me one moment, Mr Barthelemy. (Pause).
16	concessions, on the right-hand column, have been	16 17	Then if you go on to the next page of the document, you can see that there was criteria set in the bidding
17 18	subdivided into 20 perimeters across two categories. If FTI could show more of the page, please.	17	documents plus elements for the evaluation, and
18	So there were the former concessions divided into 20	18	underneath that it explains under each of those eight
19 20	perimeters across two categories. Can you recall now	19 20	
20	what perimeters you're suggesting you tendered for?	20	you see that?
21	A. Am I allowed to look up things in my computer?	21	And then on the back of that process, the committee
22	Q. I think probably partly for time and partly for the	22	selected the winning tender; do you see that?
23	process, I'm going to say no. I'm going to ask you to	23	A. Yes, I do.
25	do your best from your memory, if that's alright.	25	Q. So it was a public tender with a defined evaluation
	Page 21		Page 23
			l age 25
			1 age 2.5
12:58 1		13:02 1	
12:58 1 2	A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my	13:02 1 2	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public
			process; correct?
2	A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.	2	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public
2 3	<ul><li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li><li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will</li></ul>	2 3	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li><li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to</li></ul>	2 3 4	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender. MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li><li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li><li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender. MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions. A. Thank you, sir. Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender. MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions. A. Thank you, sir. Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	process; correct? A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender. MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions. A. Thank you, sir. Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial Proposal Evaluation" in the heading. And then it says:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain the reasons why?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial Proposal Evaluation" in the heading. And then it says: "Financial evaluation was carried out with the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain the reasons why?</li> <li>A. The reasons for which Phoenix Metals bid for the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial Proposal Evaluation" in the heading. And then it says: "Financial evaluation was carried out with the highest proposal/investment commitments getting all the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain the reasons why?</li> <li>A. The reasons for which Phoenix Metals bid for the smelting plant required meeting some prerequisites in</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial Proposal Evaluation" in the heading. And then it says: "Financial evaluation was carried out with the highest proposal/investment commitments getting all the points for budget on the criteria where as other bidders</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain the reasons why?</li> <li>A. The reasons for which Phoenix Metals bid for the smelting plant required meeting some prerequisites in order to operate the smelting plant. It works 24/7. As</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial Proposal Evaluation was carried out with the highest proposal/investment commitments getting all the points for budget on the criteria where as other bidders getting marks in comparison to the highest bidder as per</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain the reasons why?</li> <li>A. The reasons for which Phoenix Metals bid for the smelting plant required meeting some prerequisites in order to operate the smelting plant. It works 24/7. As soon as you stop the smelting plant, for instance</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. No, really, I run the risk of talking nonsense. In my head, Nemba means something, rings a bell.</li> <li>Q. Now, if you look at the bottom of that page, you will see that bidders were given until 20th April 2016 to lodge in their technical and financial proposal. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, that I can see.</li> <li>Q. And if you go to page 2 of this document, you will see the list of applicants. There was no tender from any company represented by you or Mr Marshall, was there?</li> <li>A. No, that's quite right. This being said, I remember the name Bisyo.</li> <li>Q. And then if you go down to page 3, you can see the exercise that was undertaken in respect of the tenders that were received. You can see at the bottom of the page there was an element of financial evaluation; do you see under "Technical", I'm going to take it slowly because of the translation: "Technical and Financial Proposal Evaluation" in the heading. And then it says: "Financial evaluation was carried out with the highest proposal/investment commitments getting all the points for budget on the criteria where as other bidders</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>process; correct?</li> <li>A. I was not aware of this document, but it was a public tender.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Barthelemy. I have no further questions.</li> <li>A. Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Re-direct examination by MR HARRISON</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Barthelemy, do you recall at the outset of your testimony you were shown paragraphs 34 and 35 of Mr Gatare's witness statement, and if I could ask FTI to put those back up.</li> <li>A. Yes, I do recall that.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Hill asked you if this was a fair summary. Excuse me. Mr Hill asked you if this was, I think, a fair reading of the events, to which you responded that it was a summary, but without explaining the reasons why; do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain the reasons why?</li> <li>A. The reasons for which Phoenix Metals bid for the smelting plant required meeting some prerequisites in order to operate the smelting plant. It works 24/7. As</li> </ul>

13:04 1	takes three weeks to clean out the furnace. This is why	13:09 1	not properly translated, I did not mention the Ministry
2	it's important to always have a consistent supply of	13.09 1	of Defence. Currently in Rwanda you have an engineering
2	power. That's one thing.	3	office which is directly under the President's office,
4		4	-
	On the other hand, the plant had could trade		and the managers there are high-ranking military under
5	between 14 and 18 tonnes of ore per day. This was	5	Ngali, and this engineering office is what makes it
6	roughly the production of Rwanda's production of	6	possible to move forward or to make things move forward.
7	cassiterite at the time, so there were discussions	7	So maybe this reference "Ngali" was then translated as
8	between the government and Phoenix Metals in order to	8	the Ministry of Defence, but I certainly did not refer
9	put in place protection so cassiterite would not be	9	to the Ministry of Defence, but it might have been
10	exported that it would be exported not as ore but as	10	"Ngali".
11	ingots, so the first prerequisite to be made was	11	MR HARRISON: No further questions.
12	electricity supply; second prerequisite, control system	12	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much, Mr Barthelemy.
13	on cassiterite, and the third condition, it was	13	THE WITNESS: I thank you. Am I finished? May I then turn
14	necessary to get a certification under the Dodd-Frank	14	the computer off?
15	law for audit purposes. It makes it possible to audit	15	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you are.
16	stocks and the accounts of the company that is going to	16	THE WITNESS: And go back to watching TV. Thank you.
17	operate with the mineral with one or two years of	17	THE PRESIDENT: Right, are we now in a position to proceed
18	precedent, and for this part we were in the final stage	18	with Mr Bidega?
19	of the audit, which was being conducted by a French	19	MR KAPLAN: I understand that we are.
20	auditor who was based in San Francisco. So we were 80%	20	MR WATKINS: We're going to bring him back in and we may
21	of the way through the audit and we had even succeeded	21	need a minute, but while we're here, I'm going to bring
22	in getting an authorisation to conduct the test in the	22	him back in and just to make sure his audio his IT
23	presence of this auditor and ordered to export 10 tonnes	23	person is standing by.
24	of tin ingots based on the stocks.	24	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we are departing from the agreed
25	This is why Phoenix Metals, lacking the financial	25	timetable, which had proposed a break at this stage.
	P 44		2
	Page 25		Page 27
13:06 1	resources, was not able to go all the way, because the	13:11 1	I would quite like to have half an hour of Mr Bidega
13:06 1	resources, was not able to go all the way, because the	13:11 1	I would quite like to have half an hour of Mr Bidega
2	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has	2	before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the
2 3	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of	2 3	before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?
2 3 4	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and	2 3 4	before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable? MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be
2 3 4 5	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li><li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li><li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li><li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li><li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li><li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li><li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li><li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li><li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel. MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute. I see they've unmuted themselves.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves. Mr Bidega, can you hear me?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves. Mr Bidega, can you hear me? Mr Bidega; can you hear me?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be regranted to a single company, and that's why we focused</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves. Mr Bidega, can you hear me? Mr Bidega; can you hear me?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be regranted to a single company, and that's why we focused on two concessions rather than going for all of them.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves. Mr Bidega, can you hear me? Mr Bidega; can you hear me?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.</li> <li>THE INTERPRETER: I think he can hear now. James, I think</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be regranted to a single company, and that's why we focused on two concessions rather than going for all of them.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating.</li> <li>Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, can you hear me?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.</li> <li>THE INTERPRETER: I think he can hear now. James, I think Mr Bidega is alright now.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be regranted to a single company, and that's why we focused on two concessions rather than going for all of them. But this information came from an external person who led us to reach this decision not to bid for all of the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, can you hear me?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.</li> <li>THE INTERPRETER: I think he can hear now. James, I think Mr Bidega is alright now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay. Excellent. So I will go off</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be regranted to a single company, and that's why we focused on two concessions rather than going for all of the concessions.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it. Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves. Mr Bidega, can you hear me?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.</li> <li>THE INTERPRETER: I think he can hear now. James, I think Mr Bidega is alright now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay. Excellent. So I will go off actually, can we quickly test, Mr Bidega, I'm speaking</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>power supply issue was not resolved. I think it has been resolved now, because there's other means of electricity production, for instance, solar and oil and gas coming from the lake that's close by. And then there was a problem to secure the supply of raw materials. So on these two points we needed governmental support. Discussions had started in 2011 when I arrived, and when I left in 2016, had yet to be resolved.</li> <li>Q. FTI, if you could bring up paragraph 20 of Mr Barthelemy's witness statement. Mr Barthelemy, could you explain what you mean here in the first line that: "Later, we were told not to tender"</li> <li>A. When I proposed to the third-party company to bid on all of the NRD concessions, that person, who was acquainted on a personal basis with some of the members of government, told me there's no point in bidding for all of the mines because in any event they will not be regranted to a single company, and that's why we focused on two concessions rather than going for all of them. But this information came from an external person who led us to reach this decision not to bid for all of the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>before we break, to have a more balanced day, if the parties are agreeable?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Just a reminder that Mr Bidega is going to be testifying in Kinyarwandan, so please stay on the English channel if you obviously are a native English speaker. Bringing him in now.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We're sending a request to start his video. This is not one of our systems so unfortunately we don't have the ability to log in and control it.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, if you can hear us can you please unmute yourself and turn your camera on, please?</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I hear him on the Kinyarwandan channel.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: I think they're just translating. Mr President, it looks like oh, wait a minute.</li> <li>I see they've unmuted themselves.</li> <li>Mr Bidega, can you hear me?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.</li> <li>THE INTERPRETER: I think he can hear now. James, I think Mr Bidega is alright now.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay. Excellent. So I will go off</li> </ul>

13:14 1	1012	12.20 1	Q. If I could ask FTI to bring up C-207, and go to page 89
13.14 1	you?	13.20 1	of that PDF. Mr Bidega if I could ask the translator
	I'm not hearing anything back from the interpreters		-
3	on the English channel.	3	to read to Mr Bidega the email exchange on that page.
4	THE INTERPRETER: Mr Bidega doesn't seem to be getting	4	(Pause).
5	no, there is something coming. James, just a moment,	5	THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter is going to interpret
6	please.	6	what's written on the page.
7	MR WATKINS: Yes, can you make sure he is on the in	7	A. That's correct.
8	interpretation he is on the Kinyarwandan channel,	8	THE PRESIDENT: May I intervene for a moment. Mr Bidega,
9	please?	9	these are communications in English; did you type them
10	IT ASSISTANT: Okay, I have seen it.	10	in English?
11	MR WATKINS: Mr Bidega, can you hear me, and am I being	11	A. That's correct, I wrote them.
12	translated?		THE PRESIDENT: Well, I suggest there's no need for the
13	THE INTERPRETER: "Yes, I can hear you", he says.	13	interpreter to interpret them.
14	MR WATKINS: Okay, I will go off camera and mute myself,		MR COWLEY: Thank you.
15	Mr President.	15	The date of your email at the top of this page
16	MR KAPLAN: And additionally, can Mr Bidega please be alone	16	is December 13th, 2011; were you still employed with
17	in the room. If he is being assisted, that assistance	17	OGMR on that date?
18	is no longer required during the testimony, it seems.		A. No. I had resigned because I was tired.
19	THE INTERPRETER: Yes, he is out. Mr Bidega is alone now.	19	Q. During the year 2011 did you have conversations with
20	MR DOMINIQUE BIDEGA (called)	20	Roderick Marshall about the draft contract that is
21	(Evidence interpreted)	21	a version or a draft is attached to this email, if you
22	THE PRESIDENT: Right, let us proceed. Mr Bidega. You	22	could scroll down, FTI, to show him.
23	should have on your screen a declaration.		A. That's correct. During that time I was free
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I see that.		Q. And those conversations sorry the conversations
25	THE PRESIDENT: Could you please read that out?	25	with Mr Marshall about a draft contract for a licence
	Page 29		Page 31
13.16 1	THF WITNESS: I Bidega	13.24 1	for NRD began when you were did they begin when you
13:16 1	THE WITNESS: I, Bidega I Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my	13:24 1	for NRD began when you were did they begin when you were still employed by OGMR?
2	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my	2	were still employed by OGMR?
2 3	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the	2 3	were still employed by OGMR? A. No.
2 3 4	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.	2 3 4	were still employed by OGMR? A. No. MR COWLEY: No further questions.
2 3 4 5	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	were still employed by OGMR? A. No. MR COWLEY: No further questions. MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to
2 3 4 5 6	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY	2 3 4 5 6	were still employed by OGMR? A. No. MR COWLEY: No further questions. MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>were still employed by OGMR?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li><li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>were still employed by OGMR?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li><li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li><li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>were still employed by OGMR?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li><li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li><li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there? A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now.</li> <li>There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now.</li> <li>There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this witness now, I believe.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this witness now, I believe.</li> <li>MR HILL: Yes, it might be fairer to let the witness go and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Over what period of time were you employed by OGMR?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this witness now, I believe.</li> <li>MR HILL: Yes, it might be fairer to let the witness go and deal with this without the witness.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Over what period of time were you employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. I was employed by OGMR until it was it changed</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this witness now, I believe.</li> <li>MR HILL: Yes, it might be fairer to let the witness go and deal with this without the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Certainly.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Over what period of time were you employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. I was employed by OGMR until it was it changed what I mean is that I was employed in that authority</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now.</li> <li>There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this witness now, I believe.</li> <li>MR HILL: Yes, it might be fairer to let the witness go and deal with this without the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Certainly.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Okay, Mr Bidega, we are going to put you in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>I, Bidega Dominique, do solemnly swear upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Bidega. Can you please identify the former position you held with the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority and explain what period of time you worked there?</li> <li>A. Yes. When OGMR during when I worked for OGMR I was director for regulations in that mining organisation, and I started with OGMR, and before then I worked for others in Gatumba location and in other mining companies before they were abolished.</li> <li>Q. What period of time did you work for let me stop that question, I apologise. Ask him this question first, so it's clear: does "OGMR" stand for the Rwanda Geology and Mines Authority?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Over what period of time were you employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. I was employed by OGMR until it was it changed</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>were still employed by OGMR?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: No further questions.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me a moment to consider whether I have any questions in light of the evidence-in-chief? (Pause).</li> <li>Mr President, I know you did say you wanted half an hour, but that has had quite an impact on the questions I was going to ask and I wonder if we could take a break.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take the half an hour now. There's one question that I would like Mr Cowley to help us with and that is the process by which statements that we have in English were taken from foreign-language witnesses. Perhaps at a convenient moment you can help us with that.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I can answer that question with regard to this witness now, I believe.</li> <li>MR HILL: Yes, it might be fairer to let the witness go and deal with this without the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Certainly.</li> </ul>

13:26 1	the status; okay?	14:06 1	THE PRESIDENT: There was a little bit of cross
2	THE WITNESS: Alright.	2	MR HILL: No, there wasn't any cross. No, I had no cross at
3	MR WATKINS: Alright, he has been moved out of the meeting.	3	all so re-examination doesn't arise.
4	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.	4	MR COWLEY: Sorry, I did not hear what you said because
5	Yes, Mr Cowley?	5	unfortunately I followed the instruction of FTI to check
6	MR COWLEY: So the drafts of the affidavit, or witness	6	the correct channel which turned off Mr Hill, so I saw
7	statement, excuse me, we forwarded to	7	that he was talking, I heard nothing, and I truly
8	Olivier Rwamasirabo, another witness, in Kigali, who	8	apologise, but can I hear what you said?
9	worked with informed us he engaged a translator, and	9	MR HILL: No, that's fine. I will repeat it: I'm not going
10	we did not work directly with the translator. We sent	10	to ask questions in cross-examination of this witness in
10	the draft and received back the draft in the execution	10	light of the evidence that emerged in-chief, and as
11	version through Mr Olivier, but the excuse me,	11	I submitted to the Tribunal, that means no
12	Mr Rwamasirabo but we were told that he was working	12	re-examination arises.
	-		
14	through a translator, so that what Mr Bidega had, he was	14	MR COWLEY: I understand, and I'm not making an argument.
15	reading in his natural language. That's what we	15	I was just intervening to let you know I hadn't heard
16	understood.	16	anything. I appreciate you repeating it and having the
17	THE PRESIDENT: And the drafts were prepared on the basis of	17	patience with me.
18	discussions that counsel had with the witnesses; is that	18	May I make a request, Mr President?
19	it?	19	THE PRESIDENT: You may.
20	MR COWLEY: Again, the discussions, I believe, were	20	MR COWLEY: I will only go into the details you would like,
21	I wasn't involved in the discussion but I believe the	21	and none, if necessary, so I leave that to you in terms
22	discussions were aided by Mr Olivier Rwamasirabo	22	of the background, but the request is and I say this
23	connecting us so that we could talk, and being present	23	with great meaning and true sincerity, the surprise that
24	to answer questions about what words meant. But the	24	we just experienced leads me to ask for what I know is
25	idea was that we would send what we understood he said	25	a highly unusual request in the middle of a hearing, but
	Page 33		Page 35
	1 4 5 5 5		
	-		
			6
13.28 1		14.07 1	
13:28 1	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited	14:07 1	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to
2	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.	2	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only
2 3	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.	2 3	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when
2 3 4	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It	2 3 4	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not,
2 3 4 5	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.	2 3 4 5	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of
2 3 4 5 6	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll	2 3 4 5 6	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would
2 3 4 5 6 7	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm) MR WATKINS: Just a reminder to everybody to make sure to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm) MR WATKINS: Just a reminder to everybody to make sure to choose the appropriate interpretation channel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm) MR WATKINS: Just a reminder to everybody to make sure to choose the appropriate interpretation channel. Sometimes when you come out of Zoom it throws you into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm) MR WATKINS: Just a reminder to everybody to make sure to choose the appropriate interpretation channel. Sometimes when you come out of Zoom it throws you into the off or floor channel, so please choose English if you are a native English speaker, and I will go off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm) MR WATKINS: Just a reminder to everybody to make sure to choose the appropriate interpretation channel. Sometimes when you come out of Zoom it throws you into the off or floor channel, so please choose English if you are a native English speaker, and I will go off camera and wait to hear from you when to bring the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you. MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour. (1.28 pm) (A short break) (2.05 pm) MR WATKINS: Just a reminder to everybody to make sure to choose the appropriate interpretation channel. Sometimes when you come out of Zoom it throws you into the off or floor channel, so please choose English if you are a native English speaker, and I will go off camera and wait to hear from you when to bring the witness in, Mr President.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that. MR COWLEY: Well, if I may be heard, the question of whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that. MR COWLEY: Well, if I may be heard, the question of whether this is our witness, or whether he's now speaking for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that. MR COWLEY: Well, if I may be heard, the question of whether this is our witness, or whether he's now speaking for someone else, is exactly why I would like to ask this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that. MR COWLEY: Well, if I may be heard, the question of whether this is our witness, or whether he's now speaking for someone else, is exactly why I would like to ask this and, again, I'll go into the details with the Tribunal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that. MR COWLEY: Well, if I may be heard, the question of whether this is our witness, or whether he's now speaking for someone else, is exactly why I would like to ask this and, again, I'll go into the details with the Tribunal. I do not want to bias or prejudice or suggest anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to him in a draft, it would be translated, and we waited to get back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: It was not one single event, in other words. It wasn't one call in a document.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, thank you very much, Mr Cowley. We'll break for half an hour.</li> <li>(1.28 pm)</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	I would like an instruction and a direction to Rwanda to produce the internal personnel record for Mr Bidega only to the extent it discloses the dates of employment: when he was hired; if he took leave, disclose it, and if not, if it's like many employees, date of hire, date of resignation or fire, date of termination. But we would like the official employment record just to say when he was an employee of [OGMR] produced by Rwanda, and I understand it's a very special request, I can justify it or explain it only to the extent the Tribunal thinks it's necessary, and then I will. MR HILL: Well, we would obviously resist that. It looks like Mr Cowley is seeking to impugn his own witness, which he cannot do. The witness has given evidence, which is very clear, of the capacity in which he considered he was acting, in which he commented on drafts and so on from Mr Marshall. So none of this even arises, and on both of those grounds we would resist that. MR COWLEY: Well, if I may be heard, the question of whether this is our witness, or whether he's now speaking for someone else, is exactly why I would like to ask this and, again, I'll go into the details with the Tribunal.

14.00		14.12 1	file is a unittee request and I will submit to the
14:09	assure you, I can explain and justify why this is very	14:12 1	file is a written request and I will submit to the
	2 shocking to hear today, and it is in that regard that	2	Tribunal the apparently it's necessary if the
	3 I don't accept that he's still our witness. I do not	3	Respondent is going to resist producing this information
	4 accept that circumstances have not changed dramatically	4	on the very formalistic grounds of how we came here.
	5 and the agency, for lack of better term and that's	5	I will explain why where we are today is not at all like
	6 not necessarily a great term when you are talking about	6	where we were less than seven days ago, and I will ask
	7 employment, because I'm using it very differently but	7	the Tribunal to require that the information be put on
	8 the agency in which he just testified is very much in	8	the table, because I believe it is not a question of the
	9 question, and before I get ahead of anything, this very	9	formality of how one asks questions and the mere limited
	0 basic request, because I will point out to the Tribunal,	10	issue of whether I could ask cross-examination questions
	1 it cannot be unknown to Rwanda whether or not he was	11	of Mr Bidega, but the real question is whether there's
1	2 an employee on these dates, and in multiple ways it has	12	been witness tampering and whether there's been
1	3 never been the position of the Respondent that he was	13	something significant done to change testimony that was
1	4 not an employee at the time of these emails.	14	twice over confirmed, most recently less than seven days
1	5 They have taken other positions attacking the weight	15	ago.
1	6 we assign the emails and I'm not suggesting they've	16	So this is, again, highly unusual, but I assure you,
1	7 agreed or admitted or waived what we say the effect of	17	quite shocking circumstance that we're in. So I would
1	8 the emails are, but having attacked it from numerous	18	like to make the request.
1	9 sides in terms of an argument, the one glaring, pointed	19	THE PRESIDENT: Mr Cowley, I suggest that you make this
2	0 omission, is an argument that he was not in fact who he	20	request in writing and that you give the Respondent
2	1 said he was when those emails were sent. He was not the	21	an opportunity to respond to it, and we will then
2	2 director of that office, as his signature block says,	22	consider it.
2	3 essentially.	23	MR COWLEY: Can we pick up the hearing and the testimony of
2	4 And so, again, I recognise the highly unusual	24	the remaining witnesses on Monday and give us the
2	5 request, and I am suggesting that there are even more	25	opportunity to submit that in writing today? It would
	Page 37		Page 39
			-
			-
14:11		14:14 1	avoid any waste and unnecessary expense that would
	2 and the simplicity with which it could be produced and	2	further entrench the parties in positions?
	2 and the simplicity with which it could be produced and 3 the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and	2 3	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the
	and the simplicity with which it could be produced and the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be	2 3 4	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can
	and the simplicity with which it could be produced and the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li><li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just</li></ul>
	and the simplicity with which it could be produced and the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be on the table for all to see and no one to argue about, and then we can address with that truth what just	2 3 4 5 6	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the
	and the simplicity with which it could be produced and the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be on the table for all to see and no one to argue about, and then we can address with that truth what just happened and what should be done about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or
	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion,
	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to
1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have
1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.
1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened. Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the
1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit.</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened. Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit. MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it.</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes.</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes.</li> <li>(2.15 pm)</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Well, then I would like to ask for a recess in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened. Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit. MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it. THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes. (2.15 pm) (A short break)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Well, then I would like to ask for a recess in</li> <li>the proceedings before any further witnesses testify,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened. Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit. MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it. THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes. (2.15 pm) (A short break) (2.19 pm)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Well, then I would like to ask for a recess in</li> <li>the proceedings before any further witnesses testify,</li> <li>before any further expense is put in in taking testimony</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened. Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit. MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it. THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes. (2.15 pm) (A short break) (2.19 pm) THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has considered your
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Well, then I would like to ask for a recess in</li> <li>the proceedings before any further witnesses testify,</li> <li>before any further expense is put in in taking testimony</li> <li>only to risk having to come back and retake it, that the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>further entrench the parties in positions?</li> <li>MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened.</li> <li>Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes.</li> <li>(2.19 pm)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has considered your application. We see no justification for interrupting</li> </ul>
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>and the simplicity with which it could be produced and</li> <li>the lack of burden with which it could be produced, and</li> <li>in one easy production, the truth of the matter will be</li> <li>on the table for all to see and no one to argue about,</li> <li>and then we can address with that truth what just</li> <li>happened and what should be done about it.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, again, I submit this is clearly a fishing</li> <li>expedition. If what he's suggesting is there are some</li> <li>grounds to declare his witness hostile, Mr Cowley is</li> <li>fishing and doesn't begin to have the grounds for that.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: We're not going to make any ruling on this</li> <li>at the moment.</li> <li>We're now going to have Respondent's witnesses.</li> <li>Mr Cowley, you can consider your position, but there</li> <li>will be a number of witnesses who will be susceptible to</li> <li>having questions asked about this matter, if you are so</li> <li>advised.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I'm sorry, that was directed at me?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Well, then I would like to ask for a recess in</li> <li>the proceedings before any further witnesses testify,</li> <li>before any further expense is put in in taking testimony</li> <li>only to risk having to come back and retake it, that the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	further entrench the parties in positions? MR HILL: In my submission, this would just add to the expense. This arbitration should not be derailed. Can I just record my particular concern at a submission just made by Mr Cowley where he purported to tell the Tribunal what he thinks the witness would have said or may have said on some previous privileged occasion, which is utterly inappropriate, and an attempt to prejudice the Tribunal, and that shouldn't have happened. Now, in my submission, we should just get on with the arbitration and Mr Cowley should take up the President's invitation of putting in a written application if he sees fit. MR COWLEY: If we're going to make a submission, we need the day to do it. We need to stop and be able to submit it. THE PRESIDENT: We're going to retire into our private room for five minutes. (2.15 pm) (A short break) (2.19 pm) THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has considered your

14:19 1	that you should make your application in writing in the	14:24 1	between February 2008 and February 2012 at NRD?
2	manner directed.	2	A. That is correct.
3	MR COWLEY: And if it impacts the decision of the Respondent	3	MR HILL: Thank you. If you wait there, Mr Harrison will
4	whether they would have cross-examined if we're allowed	4	ask you some questions.
5	to in the possibility that the employment record is	5	Cross-examination by MR HARRISON
6	ordered to be produced and is part of the evidence	6	MR HARRISON: Mr Rupiya actually, if I could first have
7	before the Tribunal, presumably that might impact	7	FTI bring up the second witness statement, page 3 at the
8	a decision whether to cross-examine on the substance of	8	bottom, paragraph 11.
9	the other aspects of his witness statement, and so	9	Mr Rupiya, here you list activities in 2008 that you
10	I would just ask the Tribunal to consider in advance,	10	state did not occur on behalf of NRD; is that correct?
11	rather than readdress later whether this witness has to	11	I should have started, I suppose, can you read the
12	be found and brought back in those circumstances, or	12	English that's on the screen, or do you need the English
13	that the Respondent will have to stick with its decision	13	translated?
14	to be done.	14	A. I can read English.
15	MR HILL: Well, in the extremely remote scenario that this	15	Q. Okay, thank you.
16	arises, in my submission, if we have to take a witness	16	A. What was the question?
17	out of order, we may have to do that, and if I have to	17	Q. Going back to my question, you see here you can see
18	revisit that decision, we may have to do that, but this	18	here at paragraph 11 you are identifying activities in
19	is most unlikely to arise and I respectfully submit we	19	2008 that you state that NRD did not engage in; correct?
20	should move on.	20	A. The Mara environmental study, I must say I do not recall
21	THE PRESIDENT: Yes. We will proceed, please.	21	having seen that study at all.
22	MR WATKINS: Okay, Mr President, we will bring in the next	22	
23	witness. Just a reminder to everybody this witness will	23	
24	be testifying in French so you will need to make sure	24	that were owned by REDEMI.
25	you are on the appropriate interpretation channel.	25	-
	Page 41		Page 43
14.01 1		14.07.1	
14:21 1	We're bringing the witness in right now.	14:27 1	
2	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.	2	were also left behind by REDEMI.
2 3	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. We have a declaration on the screen but it's	2 3	were also left behind by REDEMI. Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any,
2 3 4	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. We have a declaration on the screen but it's a declaration for an expert witness.	2 3 4	were also left behind by REDEMI. Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.
2 3 4 5	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. We have a declaration on the screen but it's a declaration for an expert witness. MR BRODSKY: My apologies.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li><li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li><li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li><li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's a declaration for an expert witness.</li><li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li><li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li><li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li><li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's a declaration for an expert witness.</li><li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is a professor.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li><li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li><li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li><li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li><li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration on the screen in front of you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li><li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li><li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li><li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) <ul> <li>(Evidence interpreted)</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and tectonic mapping; right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's <ul> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is <ul> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) <ul> <li>(Evidence interpreted)</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience <ul> <li>to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the <ul> <li>truth.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, you were a geologist</li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and tectonic mapping; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Y tes, I can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, you were a geologist</li> <li>A. I still am, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI.</li> <li>Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and tectonic mapping; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree with me that there was sampling</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, J can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, you were a geologist</li> <li>A. I still am, yes.</li> <li>Q employed by Natural Resources Development Rwanda as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI. Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right. Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and tectonic mapping; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree with me that there was sampling ongoing in 2008?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Would you please read it out?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, you were a geologist</li> <li>A. I still am, yes.</li> <li>Q employed by Natural Resources Development Rwanda as chief geologist; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI. Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right. Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and tectonic mapping; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree with me that there was sampling ongoing in 2008?</li> <li>A. Indeed. There was sampling conducted in 2008.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.</li> <li>We have a declaration on the screen but it's</li> <li>a declaration for an expert witness.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: My apologies.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I can see why, because this gentleman is</li> <li>a professor.</li> <li>Professor Rupiya, do you see the witness declaration</li> <li>on the screen in front of you?</li> <li>PROFESSOR PROSPER NKANIKA WA RUPIYA (called) (Evidence interpreted)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Y tes, I can.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly swear on my honour and conscience to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, you were a geologist</li> <li>A. I still am, yes.</li> <li>Q employed by Natural Resources Development Rwanda as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>were also left behind by REDEMI. Now, regarding 11.4, "Donations", if there were any, I was not aware of any.</li> <li>Q. And FTI, if you could put the witness statement on the left, if it's possible to have the two pages in the left pane, I don't know if that's possible, and if you could pull up C-147 on the right. Mr Rupiya, you were pulling the information here in paragraph 11 from this document, correct, that's been identified at C-147, and that's the citation at footnote 2 in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at the and confirming, you started in 2008; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. So if we look at the table in 2008, you would agree with me, then, that NRD continued fieldwork, geological and tectonic mapping; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you would agree with me that there was sampling ongoing in 2008?</li> <li>A. Indeed. There was sampling conducted in 2008.</li> <li>Q. And you agree that there was the construction of</li> </ul>

14:29 1	A. Look, I'm not sure that new shafts were actually built,	14:35 1	resources to mine; is that right?
2	but there were rehabilitation of existing shafts, which	14.55 1	A. Rather than saying tunnels, I would say it's just the
3	were threatening to collapse.	3	continuity of what was already there.
4	Q. And similarly there was rehabilitation of tunnels?	4	Q. So in 2009 you agree that dams were built in the
5	A. Tunnels were also rehabilitated in Nemba, I believe.	5	Sebeya River?
6	Q. And in the bottom left of the 2008 section, it states	6	A. Yes, some dams were built on the Sebeya River.
7	"Environmental activities", so you agree with me that	7	Q. And you agree that there was improvement in road access
8	environmental activities were being undertaken by NRD?	8	in order to access the Sebeya and Giciye concessions;
9	A. Well, yes, NRD conducted environmental activities	9	correct?
10	afterwards, but what I do not know I mean, work was	10	THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, I did not hear the beginning of
10	conducted but I didn't see any billing for this.	10	your question because it overlapped with his answer.
		11	Could you please repeat it?
12 13	Q. I'm sorry, I didn't catch that. You didn't see any what for this?	12	THE PRESIDENT: I'm sorry, excuse me, if it overlapped with
		13	his answer we would like to have the answer before the
14		14	question is asked.
15 16	Q. And you agree, then, as well, that NRD began semi-industrial works, which is identified		1
	A. I was saying that work	16 17	MR HARRISON: I apologise. I misunderstood the interpreter. THE INTERPRETER: Shall I what would you like me to do?
17			Maybe you could ask the question again?
18	THE INTERPRETER: Sorry, the witness is repeating himself.	18	
19 20	A. I was saying that work was conducted, the amount I do not know how much was billed for it.	19	THE PRESIDENT: I think that would be a good idea.
20		20	Could you put the question again?
21	MR HARRISON: And Mr Rupiya, you agree then in 2008 NRD	21	MR HARRISON: Yes, of course. I'm just looking back at the
22	began semi-industrial works; is that correct?	22	question.
23	A. Well, in 2008 machines were purchased, a bulldozer,	23	Mr Rupiya, you agree that there was improvement to
24	a crane, a few crushing crushers, a few crushing	24	the road access in order to let me rephrase that.
25	machines for ore.	25	You agree that there was improvement to the road
	Page 45		Page 47
14:32 1	Q. And, Mr Rupiya, it sounds like you believe that the	14:37 1	infrastructure in order to access the Sebeya and Giciye
14:32 1	Q. And, Mr Rupiya, it sounds like you believe that the purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the	14:37 1 2	infrastructure in order to access the Sebeya and Giciye concessions?
	· · · ·		
2	purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the	2	concessions?
2 3	purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that	2 3	concessions? A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything.
2 3 4	purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?	2 3 4	<ul><li>concessions?</li><li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li><li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	concessions? A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li><li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li><li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	concessions? A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li><li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li><li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>concessions?</li><li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li><li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>concessions?</li><li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li><li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>concessions?</li><li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li><li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li><li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>concessions?</li><li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li><li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li><li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>concessions?</li><li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li><li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li><li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right;</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to Rwanda" were not done in 2009; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to Rwanda" were not done in 2009; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Harrison, I'm just looking at the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to Rwanda" were not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, there were new shafts there were no new shafts. Most of the extraction work and mining was conducted in existing shafts that may have led to the opening of new</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Harrison, I'm just looking at the supplemental statement, 11.2.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to Rwanda" were not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, there were new shafts there were no new shafts. Most of the extraction work and mining was conducted in existing shafts that may have led to the opening of new galleries.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Harrison, I'm just looking at the supplemental statement, 11.2.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, and thank you, Mr President I'm in paragraph 12, which deals with the entries for 2009.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Ah, right.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to Rwanda" were not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, there were new shafts there were no new shafts. Most of the extraction work and mining was conducted in existing shafts that may have led to the opening of new galleries.</li> <li>Q. So you understand that the shafts that were being opened</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Harrison, I'm just looking at the supplemental statement, 11.2.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, and thank you, Mr President I'm in paragraph 12, which deals with the entries for 2009.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Ah, right.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Mr Rupiya, this document, C-147, formed the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>purchase of bulldozers, a crane and crushers are the beginnings of industrialisation for mining; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was the beginning of a of such activity.</li> <li>Q. So FTI, on his witness statement if you could scroll down to paragraph 12.</li> <li>Actually, I'm sorry, if you could not blow that up because I'm going to have you scroll down on the other document as well, to the 2009-section.</li> <li>Mr Rupiya, in your witness statement with respect to 2009 you identify what appeared to be two items that were not conducted in 2009 from the list on the right; correct?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat?</li> <li>Q. I'll rephrase the question. In paragraph 12 of your witness statement you identify that the "construction of new shafts" and "donations: teams of doctors sent to Rwanda" were not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, there were new shafts there were no new shafts. Most of the extraction work and mining was conducted in existing shafts that may have led to the opening of new galleries.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>concessions?</li> <li>A. For Sebeya, yes. For Nemba it wasn't much of anything. Most of the work was done in the western concession, Sebeya, Rutsiro and Giciye. Some bridges were rehabilitated and roads repaired.</li> <li>Q. And you agree in 2009 there was the opening of new mining sites; correct?</li> <li>A. In fact, these are the old mines that continue to be operated. There were no new operations or no new mining sites.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, that's not something you included in your witness statement, right, that the line item for opening new sites was an activity not done in 2009; correct?</li> <li>A. If I didn't say it, it's because the question wasn't put to me.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, this was the document you reviewed in order to give your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Mr Harrison, I'm just looking at the supplemental statement, 11.2.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Yes, and thank you, Mr President I'm in paragraph 12, which deals with the entries for 2009.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Ah, right.</li> </ul>

14:39 1	witness statement; right?	14:46 1	have included that on your witness statement; correct?
2	A. Yes. That is correct. It is my statement.	2	A. This is quite a while back so it's possible to forget.
3	Q. And you had time to review C-147 before you prepared	3	Q. And, Mr Rupiya, in the bottom left of the 2010 section
4	your witness statement?	4	you would agree with me, then, that environmental
5	A. The document on the right-hand side is a document that	5	activities were undertaken by NRD. There was
6	I was familiar with in connection with the request for	6	an environmental report in Nyatubindi and that dams were
7	renewal, the application for renewal.	7	built in Rutsiro; right?
8	Q. And you reviewed this particular document in preparation	8	A. Yes, those works did take place?
9	for drafting your second witness statement; correct?	9	Q. If FTI could move down to the 2011 period.
10	A. The document on the right is a document that I was	10	And, Mr Rupiya, you agree that the environmental
11	familiar with since 2010 when it was drafted.	11	project at Nyatubindi continued; correct?
12	Q. Mr Rupiya, you agree in 2009 that there was the	12	A. Yes, because at that time there was always a problem of
13	continuation of semi-industrial works on behalf of NRD?	13	the Sebeya River being sullied.
14	A. As I just said, it was the beginning, but it did not	14	Q. And NRD for this environmental project was trying to
15	continue.	15	remedy that problem; correct?
16	Q. One of the items on here, Mr Rupiya, is the purchase of	16	A. In fact, that's why there was the building of a dam at
17	three compressors. What are those compressors used for?	17	the source of the Sebeya River.
18	A. These compressors were used to provide compressed air to	18	Q. And you agree that there was consultation on the
19	the shaft in Nemba. And the other compressor was in	19	environmental projects with Olomouc University?
20	Mara. But there was no flexible, that is no hose in	20	A. I'm not familiar with this university. I don't know it,
21	order to use it, so it was not used at all.	21	and I don't know if there was consultation. The work
22	Have you understood my answer?	22	was done by environmental experts.
23	Q. Yes, I was just taking a moment to get to the next	23	Q. So, Mr Rupiya, I want to talk about the Rutsiro plant
24	question.	24	a little bit.
25	Mr Rupiya, I'm going to ask FTI to move down to	25	THE INTERPRETER: The witness is just finishing and giving
	<b>D</b>		
	Page 49		Page 51
14:43 1	2010, and this might span two pages.	14:49 1	the end of his last response.
2	MR BRODSKY: I think it would be helpful to do those pages	2	A. I said that the environmental studies were done
3	consecutively for screen space.	3	by Rwandan environmental experts. I do not know this
4	MR HARRISON: Okay.	4	university that's mentioned here.
5	Mr Rupiya, for 2010 can we just pull up C-147 and	5	MR HARRISON: Mr Rupiya, I apologise for cutting off part of
6	hide the witness statement for a moment.	6	your answer with the delay of translation.
7	So Mr Rupiya, you would agree that in 2010	7	If I could talk to you a bit about the Rutsiro
8	construction of the Rutsiro plant continued?	8	
9	-		-
	A The construction did take place. It was an ore		plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness
10	A. The construction did take place. It was an ore treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that	9	plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about
10 11	treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.	9 10	plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?
11	treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by	9 10 11	<ul><li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness</li><li>statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about</li><li>a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li><li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in</li></ul>
11 12	treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that. Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?	9 10 11 12	<ul><li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li><li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li></ul>
11 12 13	<ul><li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li><li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li><li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li><li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li><li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do</li></ul>
11 12 13 14	<ul><li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li><li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li><li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li><li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li><li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li></ul>
11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li><li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li><li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li><li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li><li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li><li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li></ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul><li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li><li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li><li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li><li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I know that there was some repair work,</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because they realised at the end that the plant that had been</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I know that there was some repair work, but I don't know if in 2010 there were some roads still</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because they realised at the end that the plant that had been built to process the rejects from the Rutsiro mine, that</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I know that there was some repair work, but I don't know if in 2010 there were some roads still being repaired; I don't remember.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because they realised at the end that the plant that had been built to process the rejects from the Rutsiro mine, that it did not contain the correct ore, so in the end the</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I know that there was some repair work, but I don't know if in 2010 there were some roads still being repaired; I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, your witness statement for the 2010 time</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because they realised at the end that the plant that had been built to process the rejects from the Rutsiro mine, that it did not contain the correct ore, so in the end the plant did not operate.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I know that there was some repair work, but I don't know if in 2010 there were some roads still being repaired; I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, your witness statement for the 2010 time period, which is based on this document, does not</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because they realised at the end that the plant that had been built to process the rejects from the Rutsiro mine, that it did not contain the correct ore, so in the end the plant did not operate.</li> <li>Q. And so you just said now, and what I understand from</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>treatment plant in Rutsiro. Yes, I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. And NRD continued to update the infrastructure by building roads; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall whether in 2010 the repair work on the roads continued. I know that in 2008 perhaps in 2009 that was so, but in 2010, I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. And if infrastructure work on the roads was not done in 2010, it's something you would have included in your witness statement; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I know that there was some repair work, but I don't know if in 2010 there were some roads still being repaired; I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, your witness statement for the 2010 time</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>plant. You agree that NRD in your first witness statement you stated that NRD spent, you said about a million dollars building the Rutsiro plant; correct?</li> <li>A. That's information I was given by Dr Fink. He was in charge of building the plant.</li> <li>Q. And you have no reason to doubt that information, do you?</li> <li>A. There was no reason for him to mislead me on this topic.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the plant was in fact commissioned; correct?</li> <li>A. This plant was completed, but it did not operate because they realised at the end that the plant that had been built to process the rejects from the Rutsiro mine, that it did not contain the correct ore, so in the end the plant did not operate.</li> </ul>

14:52 1	operations; is that your testimony?	14:57 1	in Nyatubindi sites?
2	A. I don't really understand what you're saying. Could you	2	A. Yes. NRD did operate there, did carry out mining work
3	please repeat your question?	3	there.
4	Q. Let's pull up paragraph 10 of his first witness	4	MR HILL: Thank you, Professor Rupiya. I have no further
5	statement.	5	questions.
6	A. I see it.	6	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much, Professor, for coming
7	Q. And I'm going to direct you into the middle, if FTI can	7	to assist us. You are now free to go.
8	highlight where I start reading. Your witness statement	8	THE WITNESS: And I thank you.
9	says:	9	MR HILL: It should be Mr Sindayigaya next, I hope.
10	"The commissioning was completed by Mr Ehlers when	10	MR WATKINS: Yes, he is testifying from the same location so
11	he joined, but the plant was a total failure when it	11	I've notified the team there to swap him out. I will
12	was started in late 2010 it turned out that the scree	12	bring him in with your instructions, Mr President?
13	rocks contained little wolframite"	13	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please.
14	So, Mr Rupiya, my question is, your understanding	14	MR WATKINS: Just one moment. Bringing him in.
15	was that the plant was not working at that time because	15	MR BRODSKY: James, to clarify, Mr Sindayigaya is a fact
16	there wasn't sufficient mineral in the scree to operate	16	witness testifying in French?
17	it on a regular basis; correct?	17	MR WATKINS: He is a fact witness testifying in English,
18	A. That is correct.	18	I believe.
19	Q. So if you were to bring, for example, a truckload of	19	MR BRODSKY: Okay, thank you.
20	minerals to the plant, you would be able to use the	20	MR WATKINS: Okay, Mr President, I will go off camera.
21	plant; correct?	21	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
22	A. A truckload is not enough to have a plant run. You need	22	MR JEAN AIME SINDAYIGAYA (called)
23	a regular supply for the plant to run. So a truckload	23	THE PRESIDENT: Good afternoon, Mr Sindayigaya. You should
24	is not sufficient.	24 25	have on your screen in front of you a declaration, and
25	Q. So if there were a regular supply of minerals, the plant	23	I would be grateful if you would read it aloud.
	Page 53		Page 55
			-
14:54 1	would in fact operate; correct?	15:02 1	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
2	A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no	2	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly
2 3	A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the	2 3	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak
2 3 4	A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian	2 3 4	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
2 3 4 5	A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.	2 3 4 5	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li><li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li><li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you? A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you? A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you? A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012. MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you? A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012. MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Rupiya. No further questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you? A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012. MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask you some questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Rupiya. No further questions. Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask you some questions. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Rupiya. No further questions. Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, just one question from me. You</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask you some questions. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Sindayigaya, and I apologise,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Rupiya. No further questions. Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, just one question from me. You were asked about environmental work carried out in Nyatubindi. Are you able to assist on whether NRD was carrying out mining in Nyatubindi?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask you some questions. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Sindayigaya, and I apologise, I'm doing this so often during these proceedings.</li> <li>I apologise for mispronouncing your name. Can we focus for just a moment on this title that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Rupiya. No further questions. Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, just one question from me. You were asked about environmental work carried out in Nyatubindi. Are you able to assist on whether NRD was carrying out mining in Nyatubindi?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, could you please repeat that question?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask you some questions. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Sindayigaya, and I apologise, I'm doing this so often during these proceedings.</li> <li>I apologise for mispronouncing your name. Can we focus for just a moment on this title that you ascribe to yourself, that's senior accountant. You</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. The plant was built, but they realised that there's no ore there to bring to the plant, and, as I said, the prior prospection work was done by an Australian geologist, but that was before I came on board.</li> <li>Q. Mr Rupiya, my question, I think, is a little bit different. My question is, if there is a consistent supply that the plant would in fact be able to process those minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. The plant was correctly built. It could operate but it didn't have any ore to process.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Rupiya, you understood that the plant could process wolframite or cassiterite or tantalum; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. The plant could handle any kind of ore by the gravity principle, but the plant did not operate because there was no ore to be processed close by.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Thank you, Mr Rupiya. No further questions. Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Professor Rupiya, just one question from me. You were asked about environmental work carried out in Nyatubindi. Are you able to assist on whether NRD was carrying out mining in Nyatubindi?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>My name is Jean Aime Sindayigaya. I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were, as I understand, the senior accountant at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And could you tell us what timeframe it is? As I understand, you joined in January 2010, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I joined NRD in January 2010 and I left NRD in September 2012.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who represents the Claimants in this action, is going to ask you some questions. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Sindayigaya, and I apologise, I'm doing this so often during these proceedings.</li> <li>I apologise for mispronouncing your name. Can we focus for just a moment on this title that</li> </ul>

15.02 1	hafara Mr. Marshall'a invastore aroun acquiring NDD from	15.09 1	A Ver
15:03 1	before Mr Marshall's investors group acquiring NRD from	15:08 1	A. Yes.
2	Starck; correct?	2	Q that would have to mean Mr Marshall, after his
3	A. Correct, I was employed almost a year before Mr Marshall acquired NRD in December or January 2011.	3	arrival, would have approved a promotion for you;
4		4	correct?
5	Q. And so in January 2011, Mr Marshall was in Kigali in the	5	A. That and correct that should be considered on what is
6	NRD offices for the first time as acting CEO; correct?	6	in my statement.
7	A. Yes, as the new chairman, yes, in January 2011.	7	Q. So if your statement is true
8	Q. And up to that date, for NRD you were just a staff	8	A. Yes.
9	accountant; correct?	9	Q Mr Marshall would have at least approved something
10	A. Pardon?	10	
11	Q. As of that time, up until Mr Marshall arriving, you were	11	would have occurred only after Mr Marshall arrived;
12	just a staff accountant for NRD; correct?	12	
13	A. When Mr Marshall acquired NRD, I was already a senior	13	A. When Mr Marshall joined, he was not immediately he
14	accountant.	14	did not immediately take over the whole management as
15	Q. So you claim to have been promoted to senior accountant	15	the person to approve all the paper works, because at
16	at NRD before Mr Marshall arrived as CEO?	16	
17	A. Pardon?	17	still managing director.
18	Q. Let me take a step back. When you were first hired	18	Q. And you don't know what conversations Mr Ehlers as
19	in January 2010 by NRD, you called yourself a field	19	managing director had with his new CEO about what
20	accountant; is that correct?	20	approvals he had to get to promote somebody, do you?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. I've shown that when he took over they had
22	Q. And there were a handful of such accountants working at	22	a conversation on all the staff, and I took whatever was
23	NRD at the time; correct?	23	coming from Mr Ehlers as legitimate as he was still
24	A. There was a chief accountant, Mr Chege.	24	managing director.
25	Q. You acknowledged that in January 2011, management	25	Q. My question was a little different: you don't know
	Page 57		Page 59
15:06 1	changed, Mr Marshall and some people he brought in	15:09 1	anything about the conversations between Mr Ehlers and
15:06 1 2	arrived; correct?	15:09 1 2	Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed,
	arrived; correct? A. Yes.		Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you,
2	arrived; correct? A. Yes. Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up,	2	Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?
2 3	<ul><li>arrived; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6.</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>arrived; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6.</li><li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li><li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>arrived; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6.</li><li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>arrived; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6.</li><li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li><li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li><li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li><li>A. At which time exactly?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>arrived; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul><li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li></ul></li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li><li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li><li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li><li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li><li>A. At which time exactly?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall would have had to give you a promotion after arriving,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to provide a notification of any kind, any document,</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall would have had to give you a promotion after arriving, and just meeting you, he gave you a promotion to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to provide a notification of any kind, any document, promoting you on or after January 1st, 2011, to a title</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall would have had to give you a promotion after arriving, and just meeting you, he gave you a promotion to the position of senior accountant; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to provide a notification of any kind, any document, promoting you on or after January 1st, 2011, to a title of senior accountant, have you?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall would have had to give you a promotion after arriving, and just meeting you, he gave you a promotion to the position of senior accountant; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to provide a notification of any kind, any document, promoting you on or after January 1st, 2011, to a title of senior accountant, have you?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat your question?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall would have had to give you a promotion after arriving, and just meeting you, he gave you a promotion to the position of senior accountant; correct?</li> <li>A. Pardon? I did not get the question very well.</li> <li>Q. If it were true that in early 2011 you became senior accountant</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to provide a notification of any kind, any document, promoting you on or after January 1st, 2011, to a title of senior accountant, have you?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I thought you were asking a question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Can you repeat the question?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>arrived; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that his witness statement be pulled up, and if you could bring it to paragraph 6. <ul> <li>I apologise for the delay, I'm just trying to find it and bring it up myself if I could, so I can see the context. It's just easier for me to read it,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, on my own laptop because I can make it much bigger, so I do apologise. Thank you for your patience.</li> <li>Now, looking at paragraph 6, you say:</li> <li>"In early 2011, I was promoted to the position of Senior Accountant at NRD."</li> <li>That's the testimony you gave when describing yourself and your own background in your witness statement; correct?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And that would mean, if it were true, that Mr Marshall would have had to give you a promotion after arriving, and just meeting you, he gave you a promotion to the position of senior accountant; correct?</li> <li>A. Pardon? I did not get the question very well.</li> <li>Q. If it were true that in early 2011 you became senior</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Mr Marshall about what sort of approvals he now needed, beginning in 2011, in order to promote someone like you, do you?</li> <li>A. Not at my knowledge.</li> <li>Q. And you know in this case that Mr Marshall said you were just a bookkeeper, one among many, at the company, don't you?</li> <li>A. At which time exactly?</li> <li>Q. In this proceeding. You know his position is that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you weren't who you say you are in this statement, you didn't have all this important responsibility; you were just a staff bookkeeper, don't you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You</li> <li>A. I was not a bookkeeper. Since the day one I've joined NRD, I've never been a bookkeeper.</li> <li>Q. It's accurate to say that you've never been able to provide a notification of any kind, any document, promoting you on or after January 1st, 2011, to a title of senior accountant, have you?</li> <li>A. Excuse me? Could you repeat your question?</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I thought you were asking a question.</li> </ul>

19 (Pages 57 to 60)

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan

15:11 1	Q. Certainly. It's accurate to say that you have never	15:15 1	they said that they preferred to have an external an
2	produced any document or electronic email communicating	2	external who are doing the final reports.
3	to you a promotion to senior accountant in January 2011	3	Q. To be clear, what you're talking about wasn't a request
4	or later, have you?	4	for a one-time review of what you had done. Management,
5	A. I was never asked to produce such document, but if this	5	led by Mr Marshall, requested that the regular
6	might be needed, I can again look into my past data.	6	accounting work be done by an outside firm on a monthly
7	Q. At the time Mr Marshall arrived	7	basis, didn't he?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. It was done to only do the final report, but the core
9	Q in addition to Mr Ehlers already serving as managing	9	business, the core sphere of accounting was done by my
10	director	10	team and the external accountant was only providing the
11	A. Yes.	11	information to do mostly yearly returns.
12	Q there was an employee serving as chief financial	12	Q. Can I ask that R-239 be brought up.
13	officer; correct?	13	If we could scroll down we can get to the beginning
14	A. Yes, Mr Kabera, Julius.	14	email in the chain, and I'm going to ask Mr Sindayigaya,
15	Q. So the company already employed a chief financial	15	please let me know, are you comfortable reading the
16	officer, and you said there was a senior accountant	16	English and reading this yourself as it goes along so
17	position also existing, and it was filled by Mr Chege at	17	you know what you're looking at?
18	that time in January 2011?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. I have to say that with time, with almost 11 years, it	19	Q. Okay, so I'm going to ask that you be given
20	would be very hard to recall all the appointments and	20	an opportunity to do that, go to the beginning of the
21	all the position change in an institution that you have	21	email chain and then scroll up as he lets you know, and
22	left in 2011. So I would not go into details into those	22	Mr Sindayigaya, I only want to do this so long as it
23	change of position and nominations if you allow.	23	takes for you to tell us do you recognise this and do
24	Q. Just to make sure I'm not misunderstood, as I read your	24	you know already what this document contains, or do you
25	witness statements, there was only one change of	25	need to read the whole thing? Just tell us as it goes
	Page 61		Page 63
	1 450 01		1 450 00
15:13 1	position ever for you while employed by NRD; do I have	15:17 1	along.
2	that right?	2	A. I know what it is about.
3	A. Yes. I have only been changed to senior accountant.	3	Q. So you will agree that the original email
4	Q. You never had any staff at NRD reporting to you as their	4	A. Yes.
5	manager; correct?	5	Q was from some member of the outside accounting firm
6	A. No. I had at least under me when Mr Kabera and his team	6	I just spoke about; correct?
7	left, I was left with almost all the responsibility of	7	A. Yes.
8	a chief accountant, but it was never been put in	8	Q. And that firm's name is Van Kays?
9	writing, because I had a team of two cashiers, I had	9	A. Yes, Alice Van Mierlo.
10			The rest finee van Whene.
	a team of field accountants, and I was managing the team	10	Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the
11	a team of field accountants, and I was managing the team in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never	10 11	
			Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the
11	in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never	11	Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has
11 12	in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.	11 12	Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June;
11 12 13	<ul><li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li><li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because</li></ul>	11 12 13	Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?
11 12 13 14	<ul><li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li><li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you</li></ul>	11 12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li><li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li></ul>	11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it,</li></ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul><li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li><li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li><li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior</li></ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul><li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li><li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li><li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief</li></ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li><li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li><li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li></ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li> <li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li> <li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li> <li>Q. In fact, NRD relied on an outside accounting firm to handle all its monthly recording and calculations, didn't it?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li> <li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li> <li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li> <li>Q. In fact, NRD relied on an outside accounting firm to handle all its monthly recording and calculations,</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us by July 5; correct? That's what you were asked?</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li> <li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li> <li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li> <li>Q. In fact, NRD relied on an outside accounting firm to handle all its monthly recording and calculations, didn't it?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us by July 5; correct? That's what you were asked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li> <li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li> <li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li> <li>Q. In fact, NRD relied on an outside accounting firm to handle all its monthly recording and calculations, didn't it?</li> <li>A. At some point it was a management decision from Mr Quam</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us by July 5; correct? That's what you were asked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond to that saying:</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li> <li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li> <li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li> <li>Q. In fact, NRD relied on an outside accounting firm to handle all its monthly recording and calculations, didn't it?</li> <li>A. At some point it was a management decision from Mr Quam and Mr Marshall to have an external view of the</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us by July 5; correct? That's what you were asked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond to that saying: "The binders are due to be submitted"</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>in some manner like a chief accountant, yet it has never been formally recognised.</li> <li>Q. So this is a title that you have given yourself because the company didn't give it to you because you felt you deserved it; correct?</li> <li>A. No, the senior accountant, I was promoted to senior accountant, but I was never promoted to chief accountant, yet I did the tasks for a chief accountant.</li> <li>Q. In fact, NRD relied on an outside accounting firm to handle all its monthly recording and calculations, didn't it?</li> <li>A. At some point it was a management decision from Mr Quam and Mr Marshall to have an external view of the accounting. I remember that time I told them: this is</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. So on this particular June 27th email, someone from the outside accounting firm reaches out to you and has a short list of: give me these documents for June; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond I'm sorry, before I left it, that request from the outside accounting firm also said now I see my mistake. Let me ask the question over. This email from Van Kays not only said: here are the documents you've got to get to us, get them to us by July 5; correct? That's what you were asked?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then you respond to that saying:     "The binders are due to be submitted"     You meant they're being worked upon, they're being</li> </ul>

1			
15 00 1		15.00 1	
15:20 1	A. Yes, at that particular moment the accounting work was	15:23 1	before is that they should, at least by 15th, ascertain
2	divided what has to be internally and what had to be	2	that the tax which are remitted are correct. It does
3	done by the external accountant.	3	not mean that they had to redo all the work of the
4	Q. And you're sending this email on July 5th. So a couple	4	accounting department.
5	of days before the deadline Van Kays asked for them,	5	Q. Well, if you look back at the list, your work was just
6	you're reaching back out and you're saying: they are	6	gathering the monthly data, not preparing accounting
7	being pulled together, but some won't be finished and	7	reports of any kind, stacking up the bills paid in June,
8	what is it that you say? That they will be ready?	8	stacking up the unpaid bills as of June. That's all
9	What's the date that you intended to reference there?	9	you're doing, is collecting information in these
10	A. 10th May.	10	binders; correct?
11	Q. So was that just a typo? You meant to say a different	11	A. There is some stage, maybe there's no document in this
12	date in July, or some other time?	12	session that has been provided, at a later stage, when
13	A. It might be a typo.	13	we were preparing the reports, that we were exchanging
14	Q. Okay. In any event, we could agree that you're saying:	14	information regarding reports specifically. You have
15	it won't be ready by your July 7th requested date, we're	15	these accounts standing this, this account standing
16	working on some others and we'll get them to you;	16	this, so it will be biased to only base on this email to
17	correct?	17	say that I was not involved in the preparation of the
18	A. Yes.	18	reports.
19	Q. And then Mr Quam responds. Now, who is Mr Quam? What's	19	Q. Well, let's see what we can agree on. We can agree that
20	his position at that time?	20	the Van Kays email said to you: give us all this data
21	A. He was the managing director.	21	and it has line items and not one of them refers to
22	Q. So the managing director responds to you, and is it fair	22	a completed accounting report, does it?
23	to say that he was snapping at you, saying: that's	23	A. No.
24	unacceptable, you've got to get them there faster. Is	24	Q. I'm sorry, did you ask me to wait?
25	that fair?	25	A. I'm not agreeing on this assertion that I was only
	Page 65		Page 67
	Tugo os		I uge of
15:21 1	A. It's happened in any institution to have such because	15:25 1	giving them information.
15:21 1	A. It's happened in any institution to have such because the managing director has the prerogative to get into	15:25 1 2	giving them information. Q. Well
2	the managing director has the prerogative to get into	2	Q. Well
2 3	the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Well</li><li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li><li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Well</li><li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li><li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Well</li><li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li><li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li><li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Well</li><li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li><li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li><li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li><li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li><li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li><li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li><li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li><li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the paper and other information, putting it in a binder, on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So he's telling you you're just putting together</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the paper and other information, putting it in a binder, on these topics and handing it off to an accounting firm;</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So he's telling you you're just putting together binders of the raw monthly data Van Kays is going to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the paper and other information, putting it in a binder, on these topics and handing it off to an accounting firm; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So he's telling you you're just putting together binders of the raw monthly data Van Kays is going to start on the monthly reports by the fifth day of each</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the paper and other information, putting it in a binder, on these topics and handing it off to an accounting firm; correct?</li> <li>A. Not correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So he's telling you you're just putting together binders of the raw monthly data Van Kays is going to start on the monthly reports by the fifth day of each month. They're going to do it all, isn't he?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the paper and other information, putting it in a binder, on these topics and handing it off to an accounting firm; correct?</li> <li>A. Not correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You will agree, you don't see one item that uses</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the managing director has the prerogative to get into any matter concerning his company under his</li> <li>Q. Understood. I'm just asking, can we agree that's how you read this? It was somewhat snappish and he instructed you: no, you're not going to do it then, you're going to do it as fast as you can, right?</li> <li>A. Pardon? Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Mr Quam responded to you rather shortly saying: you've got to get them pulled together faster. That's the meaning of his email as you understood it?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. Okay, and then he went on and said: and I have a new policy from here on out. He's telling you that you're to get these binders to Van Kays by the fifth day of each month, that's the policy: "There will be no exceptions to this requirement."</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So he's telling you you're just putting together binders of the raw monthly data Van Kays is going to start on the monthly reports by the fifth day of each month. They're going to do it all, isn't he?</li> <li>A. Not that Van Kays has to do the whole work, because here</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. Well</li> <li>A. Because we were exchanging information and building up the reports all together.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, my fault, and I apologise to the Tribunal, I am looking at my laptop and I didn't notice. FTI, can you please scroll back down so that Mr Sindayigaya can see what I'm actually talking about. That wasn't in sync and I apologise for that, Mr Sindayigaya, because I should be paying attention to know what you're actually looking at. So, if you don't mind me repeating the question, I'm now actually giving you a chance to answer it, this list of information that has to be put in binders, that starts this email chain, not one item on that list is an accounting report analysing, tabulating, summarising anything for the company; it's just stacking up the paper and other information, putting it in a binder, on these topics and handing it off to an accounting firm; correct?</li> <li>A. Not correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You will agree, you don't see one item that uses the word "Report", do you?</li> </ul>

15:26 1	Van Kays, but the accounting is not limited only on	15:30 1	purpose, it was for tax returns, not for the accounting
2	those monthly register. There were other things.	2	reports.
3	Let's say, for example, at a certain moment I had to	3	Q. I will agree that this is one email on one topic, sir,
4	provide I would have to go through my depreciation	4	and I'd please ask you to just answer the questions
5	schedule, I had to go to see my general accruals, those	5	I'm asking, because it is about this email. He asks you
6	accounting things are not done just for the tax	6	very shortly to get these these papers that they
7	purposes. Here I was just transmitting them information	7	asked you
8	on which they can base to verify that the tax I will be	8	A. Yes.
9	paying for the next 15th will be correct. They were	9	Q these binders, get them out by the fifth day of each
10	kind of an external auditor that had to validate	10	month, no excuses; correct?
10	whatever our workings.	10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Mr Sindayigaya, we can agree on one thing to start with,	11	Q. Now, isn't it accurate that Mr Quam's email shows that
12	that this email is not every email you ever received	12	he was talking to you and considering you to be
13	about the work you were doing. I could agree to that,	13	
14	but this is an email produced by the Respondent	14	with significant responsibilities of having to do his
15	A. Yes.	15	
	Q and they're capable of producing other emails if they		together binders, but you: run around, put together
17		17	
18	think they're relevant. So I would please like to ask	18	
19	you, answer the question I'm asking.	19	• •
20	A. Yes.	20	Can't we agree that's how he talked to you?
21	Q. Do you agree that this email	21	C C
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Can you at least agree that this is not how you talk
23	Q tells you to just collect some documents, put them in	23	to a management level employee if you are a managing
24	a binder and send them off by the 5th of the month, and	24	director. You tell them to have their staff do the
25	not one item referenced contemplates you doing a report	25	clerical work; can you agree with that?
	Page 69		Page 71
	•		•
15:28 1	and handing it off; can you agree to that?	15:31 1	A. It all depends on the context, because in any
15:28 1	and handing it off; can you agree to that? A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after	15:31 1	A. It all depends on the context, because in any institution there might be delays into report, there
2	A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after	2	institution there might be delays into report, there
2 3	A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime":	2 3	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in</li></ul>	2 3 4	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime":</li> <li>"I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime":</li> <li>"I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations."</li> <li>RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime":</li> <li>"I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations."</li> <li>RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime":</li> <li>"I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations."</li> <li>RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime":</li> <li>"I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations."</li> <li>RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your managing director, if you go back up, FTI, to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started working as the CFO, the new CFO?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your managing director, if you go back up, FTI, to the A. For tax declaration. Not for accounting.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started working as the CFO, the new CFO?</li> <li>A. She has never been CFO.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your managing director, if you go back up, FTI, to the A. For tax declaration. Not for accounting.</li> <li>Q (overspeaking) question, Mr Sindayigaya. Mr Quam</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started working as the CFO, the new CFO?</li> <li>A. She has never been CFO.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that they terminated you in September 2012?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your managing director, if you go back up, FTI, to the A. For tax declaration. Not for accounting.</li> <li>Q (overspeaking) question, Mr Sindayigaya. Mr Quam instructs you you're to get these documents out in</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started working as the CFO, the new CFO?</li> <li>A. She has never been CFO.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that they terminated you in September 2012?</li> <li>A. They have never terminated me.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your managing director, if you go back up, FTI, to the A. For tax declaration. Not for accounting.</li> <li>Q (overspeaking) question, Mr Sindayigaya. Mr Quam</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started working as the CFO, the new CFO?</li> <li>A. She has never been CFO.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that they terminated you in September 2012?</li> <li>A. They have never terminated me.</li> <li>Q. But</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Excuse me, sir, can you also read the first phrase after "Dear Aime": "I kindly request for the following binders in purpose of RRA declarations." RRA means Rwanda Revenue Authority. It's only for reviewing the tax purposes, it's not for accounting purposes.</li> <li>Q. Just as I agreed with you A. Yes.</li> <li>Q that there's other emails referring to work you've done that's not included in this email, can you also agree with me that there's other emails and communications about accounting work being done by Van Kays with information that also aren't included and referenced in this one email?</li> <li>A. Pardon? That was very fast in your explaining.</li> <li>Q. Why don't I just move on. This is the request, your managing director, if you go back up, FTI, to the A. For tax declaration. Not for accounting.</li> <li>Q (overspeaking) question, Mr Sindayigaya. Mr Quam instructs you you're to get these documents out in binders by the fifth day of the month, no excuses, this</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>institution there might be delays into report, there might be things that affect the reporting, but if the managing director intervened, it was to give his push to get the work done faster. I agree. And this is not if he was not satisfied with my work, he should have looked for substitution, and if I left in September I stayed with this company until September 23rd, which means he was he did not dismiss me for any reporting matter that you are raising now.</li> <li>Q. Well, that's an interesting segue. In fact, Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana, do you recall Ms Zuzana Mruskovicova? I am sorry, I am just incapable of doing the right job with that name and I am so embarrassed by it. Do you recall her?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall her.</li> <li>Q. And you recall her position? She came in and started working as the CFO, the new CFO?</li> <li>A. She has never been CFO.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that they terminated you in September 2012?</li> <li>A. They have never terminated me.</li> </ul>

15:33 1	working without being paid.	15:37 1	that it has never been there.
2	Q. I understand that strike the question, I'll start	2	Q. Just to be clear, based on your last answer, we do agree
3	again.	3	that if you were the professional senior accountant that
4	Would you agree that before your employment ceased	4	you say you were in the witness statements you
5	at the company	5	provided
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana let you know that as	7	Q. You would understand that a person in such a role at
8	a result of investigating certain things, they	8	a company would provide the management team above him
9	considered you to be under suspicion of false	9	with notice, reasonable notice of how long they have to
10	bookkeeping, among other things, and therefore you had	10	fill his important position because they're leaving.
11	to leave?	11	You agree that that would normally be done by such
12	A. I have come to know those false allegations last year	12	employees; correct?
13	when I saw Mr Marshall counter reply to my testimony.	13	A. I agree.
14	Those are fabricated lies from one to the last point.	14	Q. And you claimed in your witness statement in response so
15	Q. And you've been interested in establishing, making clear	15	the second witness statement if I could ask to be
16	that those are lies and that that didn't happen. Since	16	brought up, the witness statement that you gave after
17	seeing it, you've tried to show that's not true, haven't	17	trying to respond to Claimants' position that you were
18	you?	18	terminated and why, instead of showing such notice, you
19	A. It's not true. I've never been. I have never been	19	say you got fed up, you got tired because the company
20	investigated, I have never been to any matter terminated	20	was in disarray with huge debts, and you had enough, so
21	for fraud or investigation by Mr Marshall and Madam	21	you left. I'm sorry if I didn't say it if I could
22	Zuzana.	22	ask you to draw the witness's attention to paragraph 25
23	Q. And you've worked hard to try to put as much information	23	of his supplemental witness statement. Apologies again,
24	forward to establish the truth of your belief that it	24	Mr Sindayigaya, I'm not focused enough on what you are
25	was a lie: you were not terminated, you in fact	25	being shown.
	Page 73		Page 75
15:35 1	resigned; correct?	15:39 1	A. Yes, I am having it on the screen.
15:35 1 2	A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give	15:39 1 2	Q. That's your explanation for what you say really
	A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.		Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?
2	<ul><li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li><li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li><li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. I apologise.</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li><li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. I apologise.</li><li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li><li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. I apologise.</li><li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li><li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise. <ul> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would have reached the management, because there was no</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q a notice of resignation or a statement of immediate</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would have reached the management, because there was no processes, there was nothing at that moment.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q a notice of resignation or a statement of immediate resignation is because none exists; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would have reached the management, because there was no processes, there was nothing at that moment.</li> <li>Q. The statement that the company was in such disarray and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q a notice of resignation or a statement of immediate resignation is because none exists; correct?</li> <li>A. I assume there should have been some form of informing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would have reached the management, because there was no processes, there was nothing at that moment.</li> <li>Q. The statement that the company was in such disarray and that's why you gave up at the moment you did, and now</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q a notice of resignation or a statement of immediate resignation is because none exists; correct?</li> <li>A. I assume there should have been some form of informing the management, but at any point I've not been asked to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would have reached the management, because there was no processes, there was nothing at that moment.</li> <li>Q. The statement that the company was in such disarray and that's why you gave up at the moment you did, and now you're saying you recognise that you didn't write</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, when I saw the fabricated letters I had to give information, counter information on that.</li> <li>Q. And yet you're unable to provide a copy of a resignation letter, email, handwritten note, anything from you that said: I'm now giving you notice, or I'm now resigning, anything like that at all. You can't provide it, can you?</li> <li>A. Well, sir, this thing has gone for I left in September 2012. It's now more than nine years, so it will be impossible to tell me that I have all the documents in my possession on things that happened in September 2012.</li> <li>Q. In fact, the reason you can't provide a notice of resignation or a notice of immediate departure is because none exists, isn't it?</li> <li>A. Pardon?</li> <li>Q. The reason you can't provide</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q a notice of resignation or a statement of immediate resignation is because none exists; correct?</li> <li>A. I assume there should have been some form of informing the management, but at any point I've not been asked to provide such document, so it's a document into things</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. That's your explanation for what you say really happened; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. I apologise.</li> <li>You do not say in paragraph 25 when setting out your whole response to what you say are inaccurate representations by the Claimants, you don't say here that you in fact made the decision to leave the company for these reasons and gave them notice of any period of time, you gave them a writing of anything that you say you know professionals in the senior accounting position that you say you held would be expected to provide, do you?</li> <li>A. I was not being specific on that particular point, how I left, because the company was in such a state of disarray, like I said, and I'm not sure even if the letter and things that someone would have sent would have reached the management, because there was no processes, there was nothing at that moment.</li> <li>Q. The statement that the company was in such disarray and that's why you gave up at the moment you did, and now</li> </ul>

15:41 1	Q. Sorry, let me restate the question because	15:45 1		pause right there, you're talking about sold by Starck
2	(overspeaking) I misspoke for a moment so I would like	2		to Spalena; correct?
3	to just start over?	3	A	A. Yes.
4	MR HILL: I think the witness is trying to say something	4	(	Q. So Mr Marshall is just about to come on the scene:
5	anyway, if you just let him speak, please.	5		" we were on the verge of bankruptcy we had
6	A. I'm saying there's a statement he's saying that	6		not received any funding from Starck for a long time and
7	I recognised I did not do anything to notify that I am	7		the money we were receiving from mineral sales was not
8	leaving, but that's wrong. They might be informed of	8		enough to pay the company's debts."
9	communication that had led them to communicate that	9		Do you see that?
10	I'm leaving the company, but with the time being and so	10	A	A. I'm seeing it.
11	on, it's something you cannot trace as I have no access	11		Q. And then you go on in your account of the story to say,
12	to the NRD documents and so on, and maybe from time to	12		in section 19, Mr Marshall showed up and fired people.
13	time I just destroyed such all documents. In nine	13		So that structure you said that existed you said
14	years there have been a lot of things. It might be	14		immediately went away. That's what you said in your
15	an email, it might be an SMS. It's not something that	15		statement, again, not that we're agreeing with how you
16	at this moment should really be a concern as you agreed	16	5	presented it.
17	that I left in September 2012, to the knowledge of the	17	' A	A. I did not get the question. I read the extract, but
18	management.	18		I did not get the question you are how you are
19	MR COWLEY: And the reasons that you have given, or you have	19		correcting it.
20	stated	20		Q. Well, I'm picking up on what you said was the
21	A. Yes.	21		explanation, that there was a significant difference in
22	Q was why you gave up at that time, and in parts of	22		the financial situation at the company and in the
23	some answers you said why you felt the company was in	23		structure and organisation of its employees from when
24	such disarray, a written document wouldn't even get	24		Mr Marshall first took over when it was very good
25	anywhere. That reason, according to your witness	25		A. Yes.
				D 50
	Page 77			Page 79
				i uge //
	1 ugo / /			1 uge / )
15.42 1		15.46 1		
15:43 1	statements when read together, that existed the entire	15:46 1		Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and
2	statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the	2		Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he
2 3	statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?	2 3		Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of
2 3 4	statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it? A. Not from the period that if you compare the period	2 3 4		Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?
2 3 4 5	statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it? A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into	2 3 4 5	A	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it? A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and	2 3 4 5 6	I	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li><li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	P	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ł	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ł	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	P	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	1	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a CFO, there was the senior</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<b>P</b>	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was the senior accountant, there was a structure that was working.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<i>P</i>	<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a STPC, there was the senior accountant, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 18.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said. So, is it accurate to say, based on how you're</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 18. Now, Claimants don't accept your version of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said. So, is it accurate to say, based on how you're describing the NRD situation before the sale to Spalena,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 18. Now, Claimants don't accept your version of the story</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said. So, is it accurate to say, based on how you're describing the NRD situation before the sale to Spalena, you will agree that in your telling of the story, NRD</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a Structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 18. Now, Claimants don't accept your version of the story</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said.</li> <li>So, is it accurate to say, based on how you're describing the NRD situation before the sale to Spalena, you will agree that in your telling of the story, NRD was not experiencing a situation where miners weren't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 18. Now, Claimants don't accept your version of the story</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q but working within your version of the story, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said. So, is it accurate to say, based on how you're describing the NRD situation before the sale to Spalena, you will agree that in your telling of the story, NRD was not experiencing a situation where miners weren't getting paid, employees were going unpaid, assets were</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>statements when read together, that existed the entire time that Mr Marshall's group was involved in the company, didn't it?</li> <li>A. Not from the period that if you compare the period when I left and the period when Mr Marshall came into the company, there's a huge difference financially and even on daily(?) activities, because at the time I left, no one was having control, it was like sorry to use it was like a jungle, no one was managing the company and it was like in the market where people meet, no one knows what he's doing and so on. But when he joined there was a structure, there was a managing director, there was a Structure that was working. So there is a big difference between the moment I left and the moment Mr Marshall joined the company.</li> <li>Q. Let's call up your first witness statement.</li> <li>A. Please.</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 18. Now, Claimants don't accept your version of the story</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q but working within your version of the story, you actually said, when you first gave a witness statement,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		<ul> <li>Q to when you had to leave when it was very bad, and I'm asking you, do you agree you in fact said when he first took over the company was on the verge of bankruptcy, so it was as bad as it gets, right?</li> <li>A. Yes, it was in bad it was in bad shape, but not to the extent of being totally in disarray when there is no more management, there is no activities, no one could go to the mines because miners were not paid. There were some sites where we had mines, but you could not even access there. But when Mr Marshall joined, at least that time, despite the financial difficulties, there was a learning structure, there was something there was a structure which was fighting to get back, and that structure, from the time Mr Marshall got the company, it went down until the time I left, when I saw that there was no return, no point of return.</li> <li>Q. We'll get back to that in a moment, but I want to stick with something you just said.</li> <li>So, is it accurate to say, based on how you're describing the NRD situation before the sale to Spalena, you will agree that in your telling of the story, NRD was not experiencing a situation where miners weren't</li> </ul>

15:48 1	A. Before sorry, can you rephrase the question?	16:23 1	THE PRESIDENT: Well, will you kindly inquire of them why it
2	Q. Yes. You're saying that at the time Starck sold Spalena	2	hasn't arrived. I'm not saying do this immediately, but
3	to excuse me Starck sold NRD to Spalena	3	on Monday we would like to know.
4	A. Yes.	4	MR HILL: If I can just update the position. I have
5	Q it was not true that NRD had already experienced	5	instructions that payment has been transferred, or is in
6	a situation where miners were going unpaid, debts were	6	the course of being transferred, so if it hasn't
7	being run up unpaid, assets were being sold to pay	7	arrived, no doubt it will. We have a payment transfer
8	employees. That's	8	slip on our side already, from yesterday, I understand.
9	A. It had experienced some situation in the past, but	9	MR KAPLAN: Mr Hill, if that can be provided confidentially
10	because of the support we were still getting from	10	to me, I can have it traced so we can get the it will
11	sorry, are you getting me?	11	facilitate the deposit.
12	Q. I think you're frozen. FTI can take over from here.	12	MR HILL: I will.
13	MR WATKINS: One moment.	13	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.
14	THE PRESIDENT: We're due very soon for a half an hour	14	MR COWLEY: I have nothing further.
15	break; would it be a good idea to take that now?	15	I did not mean with the witness, I meant now.
16	MR COWLEY: Yes, sir, so long as are we able to give some	16	MR WATKINS: Would you like the witness brought back in?
17	instruction to Mr Sindayigaya about the rule of not	17	THE PRESIDENT: I would, yes, please.
18	conferring with others during this break, I would	18	MR COWLEY: May I continue, Mr President?
19	appreciate it.	19	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you may.
20	A. Sorry?	20	MR COWLEY: Mr Sindayigaya, can I ask you oh, I'm sorry,
21	THE PRESIDENT: Ah. We're going to have half an hour's	21	FTI, can you please bring back up his initial witness
22	break.	22	statement? And if you could return to paragraph 18.
23	A. Yes.	23	Mr Sindayigaya, if you could see in the middle of
24	THE PRESIDENT: And it's one of our rules that a witness	24	paragraph 18 what I had asked you about before the
25	cannot discuss the case in the course of giving	25	break, your testimony regarding being on the verge of
	<b>D</b> 01		D 02
	Page 81		Page 83
15:50 1	evidence. I'm sure that won't be a hardship to you. So	16:25 1	bankruptcy. If I could ask you to look forward in that
2	we can come back in half an hour.	2	same sentence, there's a dash, you testified:
3	A. So you mean I will have to come back?	3	" we had not received any funding from Starck
4	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, in half an hour's time.	4	for a long time and the money we were receiving from
5	A. In half an hour.	5	mineral sales was not enough to pay the company's
6	THE PRESIDENT: We're just having a comfort break.	6	debts."
7	A. Good. Good. Thank you. I can stay here?	7	Do you recall that this is referring to the time
8	MR WATKINS: Mr Sindayigaya, if you could stay on the line	8	before the sale by Starck of NRD?
9	for me, I'm going to open the breakout rooms for	9	A. Yes, I recognise.
10	everyone else.	10	Q. And that was your memory excuse me. That was your
11	(3.51 pm)	11	statement of the financial status of the company at the
12	(Adjourned until 4.20 pm)	12	time prior to the sale: support money hadn't come in
13	(4.22 pm)	13	from Starck for a long time, correct?
14	MR COWLEY: If the Tribunal does not have any issue, I would	14	A. Right.
15	just like to pick back up what we started with this	15	Q. And if you could scroll back
16	morning in terms of the question about confirmation of	16	A. I confirm. I confirm.
17	payment, as I understand it, and I'm not the best with	17	Q. I ask if you could scroll back up to FTI, to 17, the
18	1.2		
10	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day	18	bottom of 17, just let the witness see that paragraph as
19		18 19	
	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day		well. I'm going to direct your attention,
19	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day for banks in Kigali. Presumably if any confirmation of	19	well. I'm going to direct your attention, Mr Sindayigaya, to the bottom sentence. You confirm
19 20	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day for banks in Kigali. Presumably if any confirmation of a payment could be provided today, that opportunity is	19 20	well. I'm going to direct your attention, Mr Sindayigaya, to the bottom sentence. You confirm that same point twice over:
19 20 21	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day for banks in Kigali. Presumably if any confirmation of a payment could be provided today, that opportunity is now over, and I would just ask if the Tribunal has	19 20 21	<ul><li>well. I'm going to direct your attention,</li><li>Mr Sindayigaya, to the bottom sentence. You confirm that same point twice over:</li><li>"We did not receive any further money from stark</li></ul>
19 20 21 22	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day for banks in Kigali. Presumably if any confirmation of a payment could be provided today, that opportunity is now over, and I would just ask if the Tribunal has received the required payment by the represented time.	19 20 21 22	<ul><li>well. I'm going to direct your attention,</li><li>Mr Sindayigaya, to the bottom sentence. You confirm that same point twice over:</li><li>"We did not receive any further money from stark after this"</li></ul>
19 20 21 22 23	math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day for banks in Kigali. Presumably if any confirmation of a payment could be provided today, that opportunity is now over, and I would just ask if the Tribunal has received the required payment by the represented time. MR KAPLAN: Members of the Tribunal, counsel, I have	19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>well. I'm going to direct your attention,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, to the bottom sentence. You confirm that same point twice over:</li> <li>"We did not receive any further money from stark after this"</li> <li>And that's referring to \$100,000 for some six-month</li> </ul>
19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul><li>math, but as I understand it, it's now post business day for banks in Kigali. Presumably if any confirmation of a payment could be provided today, that opportunity is now over, and I would just ask if the Tribunal has received the required payment by the represented time.</li><li>MR KAPLAN: Members of the Tribunal, counsel, I have received no correspondence from the Respondent regarding</li></ul>	19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>well. I'm going to direct your attention,</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya, to the bottom sentence. You confirm that same point twice over:</li> <li>"We did not receive any further money from stark after this"</li> <li>And that's referring to \$100,000 for some six-month</li> </ul>

16:27 1	paragraph, do you see that?	16:31 1	13th February 2012 under my tenor as senior-chief
10.27 1	A. I confirm.	10.51 1	accountant. I was doing chief accounting but not
2	Q. And based on the descriptions in your witness	3	formally; informally, let me say.
			Q. Thank you, but my question, in case I didn't say it
4	statements	4	
5	A. Yes.	5	right, my question I intended to ask was how was it that
6	Q is it accurate to say as you've told the story of the	6	you had those documents in your possession as
7	financial conditions of the company, from the period	7	of May 2019?
8	where we just saw you describing verge of bankruptcy and	8	A. You mean how I I NRD did not have an email server
9	why, through to your leaving, it never got better. You	9	or something to use. We all used our private emails
10	say it just continued to get worse. That's how you	10	like you have seen on the emails. So I managed to get
11	describe it; correct?	11	it from my old emails.
12	A. Correct.	12	Q. So you retained these company documents?
13	Q. And in telling your description of the financial status	13	A. To some extent. No one has ever asked me to return it,
14	of the company at around the time of the sale, you	14	and it was in my emails.
15	provided, as part of your witness statement, a handful	15	Q. Correct. But, sir, in your telling of events, you say
16	of accounting documents; do you recall that?	16	no one was asking you to leave. You say
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay, if I could ask FTI to bring up three, they're	18	Q you professionally gave notice of some sort that you
19	sequential, R-232, R-233, R-234.	19	had had enough and you had to leave, and that's how you
20	Mr Sindayigaya, just so it's clear what we're	20	handled it, as a senior accountant at the time in 2012.
21	talking about, starting with the first one in order,	21	That's your telling of the story; correct?
22	R-232, if I could draw your attention to that. I hope	22	A. Yes.
22	you have that?	22	Q. So you were in your telling, you were acting
23 24	A. I have it in front.	23 24	professionally; correct?
			A. Yes.
25	Q. It should say at the top "Profit & loss Account for the	25	A. Tes.
	Page 85		Page 87
	-		-
16:29 1	period ended 31 December 2010"?	16:33 1	Q. And you understood that anyone who actually holds the
16:29 1 2	period ended 31 December 2010"? A. Yes.	16:33 1 2	Q. And you understood that anyone who actually holds the title of senior accountant at a company, has access to
2	A. Yes.	2	title of senior accountant at a company, has access to
	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li></ul>		
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>	2 3 4	title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li><li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li><li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person;</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li><li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li><li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li><li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li><li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li><li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li><li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li><li>A. To some extent, yes.</li><li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li><li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li><li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. T'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> <li>Q. So how is it that you had in your possession and were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you access it. It's not such a confidential document to some extent.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. T'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> <li>Q. So how is it that you had in your possession and were able to add to your witness statement these three year</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you access it. It's not such a confidential document to some extent.</li> <li>Q. Then either way, if it really is public and available</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> <li>Q. So how is it that you had in your possession and were</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you access it. It's not such a confidential document to some extent.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. T'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> <li>Q. So how is it that you had in your possession and were able to add to your witness statement these three year</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you access it. It's not such a confidential document to some extent.</li> <li>Q. Then either way, if it really is public and available</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. T'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> <li>Q. So how is it that you had in your possession and were able to add to your witness statement these three year end financial statements for the Starck-owned NRD in</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you access it. It's not such a confidential document to some extent.</li> <li>Q. Then either way, if it really is public and available for everybody to obtain</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's for the company NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The next document, R-233, is a balance sheet as of the same year end time period for NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. I confirm.</li> <li>Q. Well, if you don't mind, just let them bring it up. It is, I'm sorry. So you said yes, you agree that's what that document is?</li> <li>A. Yes, I agree.</li> <li>Q. And then R-234, please. This is a little harder to read on my screen because it's so wide it makes it small, but on the left-hand side, yes. Thank you. You can see that this is a property, plant and equipment summary sheet, or spreadsheet, that begins with information from the beginning of 2010 and ends at the same year end time period; do you see that?</li> <li>A. T'm seeing it and I confirm.</li> <li>Q. So how is it that you had in your possession and were able to add to your witness statement these three year end financial statements for the Starck-owned NRD in 2010?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>title of senior accountant at a company, has access to a lot of confidential proprietary company information; correct?</li> <li>A. To some extent, yes.</li> <li>Q. The senior accountant has access to information that one would need to have a lot of trust to give a person; correct?</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase the question?</li> <li>Q. The information such as the three documents that we just looked at is the type of confidential information that a company only gives out to those employees in a position of trust; correct?</li> <li>A. Not such confidential, because here in Rwanda the audited financials are public. There's no such confidentiality that someone would know your position because it's published, it's given to the tax authorities, anyone coming to give you financing, you access it. It's not such a confidential document to some extent.</li> <li>Q. Then either way, if it really is public and available for everybody to obtain</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>

16:34 1	asked you to give anything back, you equally had access	16:38 1 to 36 of that document. This is the last page well,	
2	to the monthly and year end financial information for	2 actually, if we could it's better that I start with	
3	the company in the years 2011 and up through the 2012	3 something that's more explanatory. Can I ask FTI to	
4	year, that you say you left on your own; right?	4 switch and go back to the first page. Now, I'm just	
5	A. Unfortunately, when I left, 2011 was not yet finalised.	5 showing you the first page for a reason, Mr Sindayigaya	
6	Q. Right, but because of your important position, you had	6 This is this document purports to be the agreement by	
7	the non-finalised version. You had the accounting	7 which Starck sold NRD's parent company to Spalena, an	ıd
8	information that was going to be sent to an auditor	8 there's more pages than this between the one I'm going	
9	asking for their approval. You had the internal company	9 to actually focus on, which I'm choosing not to show you	u
10	confidential version because of your important position;	10 because I would like to just ask you, did you ever see	
11	right?	11 that while working at the company? Were you asked to	
12	A. There's something I have again to cross-check.	12 look at the contract between Starck and Spalena?	
13	Q. I apologise, but I don't understand. When you say you	13 A. Depending on the position I was holding when the com	pany
14	have to cross-check, is it simply that you're saying you	14 was sold, I did not have access to this document.	
15	don't know if you had it, you'd have to go back and	15 Q. So you don't recall ever seeing the agreement?	
16	look?	16 A. Not at the time of acquisition.	
17	A. At this point of time it's hard for me to know what	17 Q. You saw it at a later time?	
18	documents is in my possession, what has been lost,	18 A. If I recall this, I saw it during this process of	
19		19 disputes.	
20		20 Q. How is it that you came by this document to see it?	
21	this date I have this and this. So if you ask me to re	21 MR HILL: He's not allowed to ask that. This witness made	le
22		it quite clear that he has seen this in the context of	
23	this session.	this arbitration, this dispute, and Mr Cowley shouldn't	
23	Q. Well, we can agree that	24 be asking him about the witness's communications in	
25	A. Yes.	<ul><li>25 relation to this dispute.</li></ul>	
20	1. 105.		
	Page 89	Page 91	
16:36 1	Q as you described your access and why you would have	16:40 1 MR COWLEY: It can't be a witness's communication with	h his
2	it as of the day you left	2 counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of	n his
	it as of the day you left A. Yes.	<ul><li>2 counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li><li>3 the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li></ul>	n his
2 3 4	it as of the day you left A. Yes. Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> </ul>	h his
2 3	<ul><li>it as of the day you left</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on</li></ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> </ul>	h his
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>it as of the day you left</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did</li></ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> </ul>	n his
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>it as of the day you left</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> </ul>	n his
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> </ul>	n his
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> </ul>	n his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> </ul>	n his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> </ul>	n his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> </ul>	nda's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> </ul>	nda's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> </ul>	nda's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> <li>Mr Hill.</li> </ul>	nda's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> <li>A. The company had huge debt compared to the assets and net</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Can I ask that you turn to page 36. This is</li> </ul>	nda's
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> <li>A. The company had huge debt compared to the assets and net cash working capital, let me say it that way.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree witf</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Can I ask that you turn to page 36. This is</li> <li>a certificate signed by Mr Ehlers. You can see the</li> </ul>	nda's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> <li>A. The company had huge debt compared to the assets and net cash working capital, let me say it that way.</li> <li>Q. And that's what you meant by the phrase "verge of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> <li>Mr Hill.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Can I ask that you turn to page 36. This if</li> <li>a certificate signed by Mr Ehlers. You can see the</li> <li>effective date, December 22nd, 2010, that accompanied</li> </ul>	nda's
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> <li>A. The company had huge debt compared to the assets and net cash working capital, let me say it that way.</li> <li>Q. And that's what you meant by the phrase "verge of bankruptcy", right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> <li>Mr Hill.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Can I ask that you turn to page 36. This if</li> <li>a certificate signed by Mr Ehlers. You can see the</li> <li>effective date, December 22nd, 2010, that accompanied</li> <li>the agreement. Can I ask you to read to yourself</li> </ul>	nda's
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> <li>A. The company had huge debt compared to the assets and net cash working capital, let me say it that way.</li> <li>Q. And that's what you meant by the phrase "verge of bankruptcy", right?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Can I ask that you turn to page 36. This is</li> <li>a certificate signed by Mr Ehlers. You can see the</li> <li>effective date, December 22nd, 2010, that accompanied</li> <li>the agreement. Can I ask you to read to yourself</li> <li>Mr Ehlers's paragraph stating what he's certifying to.</li> </ul>	nda's
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>it as of the day you left</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q you didn't choose to put forward any other financial information that a senior accountant would have on a monthly, quarterly, annual basis for 2011 or 2012, did you?</li> <li>A. Sorry? I missed the words in the question.</li> <li>Q. I'll move on.</li> <li>Can I ask FTI now to bring up R-235. This is another financial document for the period ending year end 2010 that you attached to your witness statement when describing the state of financial affairs then; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And this list of creditors, your description of things at the company helped support your explanation that the company was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time; right?</li> <li>A. The company had huge debt compared to the assets and net cash working capital, let me say it that way.</li> <li>Q. And that's what you meant by the phrase "verge of bankruptcy", right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>counsel. This is a witness who is a former employee of</li> <li>the opposing party, and if anything being asked to talk</li> <li>about actions by the witness in his capacity as an agent</li> <li>and he gives himself significant capacity as an agent of</li> <li>NRD, he is not a Rwandan witness who is within the</li> <li>protection of privilege in discussions with Rwanda's</li> <li>counsel, if anything, he might be with NRD's counsel,</li> <li>and I'm not claiming to have had any such privileged</li> <li>communication, so I don't agree that that's what</li> <li>I'm asking.</li> <li>MR HILL: Well, I disagree with that characterisation.</li> <li>Obviously communications between our lawyers Rwa</li> <li>lawyers and the witnesses are, by their nature,</li> <li>privileged, irrespective of the source of employment of</li> <li>the witness.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: I would ask the Tribunal for its ruling.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll give a ruling: we agree with</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Can I ask that you turn to page 36. This is</li> <li>a certificate signed by Mr Ehlers. You can see the</li> <li>effective date, December 22nd, 2010, that accompanied</li> <li>the agreement. Can I ask you to read to yourself</li> <li>Mr Ehlers's paragraph stating what he's certifying to.</li> </ul>	nda's

16:42 1	A. I've read it.	16:47 1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Now, if we go back to the document, please, R-235.	2	Q. And did you look over the certificate and help prepare
3	THE PRESIDENT: Just before we leave this, something that's	3	it for Mr Ehlers before he signed it?
4	been puzzling me, could we go back to that. This	4	A. No, no, no. No.
5	witness may be able to help. There's a reference there	5	Q. If I could ask that Mr Sindayigaya's first witness
6	to:	6	statement be brought up. Paragraph 21, please. If you
7	" loans from the majority-shareholder of NRD	7	could see in your statement, in describing Mr Marshall's
8	(HC Starck Resources)"	8	activities from the day he started, you say, in addition
9	Was NRD indebted to Starck Resources to your	9	to alleging that he showed no interest in the mines, you
10	knowledge?	10	specify he did not visit them, from day one. That was
11	A. Pardon, Mr President? I did not get the question.	10	your intended testimony: that you were swearing, you
12	THE PRESIDENT: Sorry. Looking at this certificate	11	know Mr Marshall's activities every single day from the
13	A. Yes.	12	beginning of January 2011 to September 2012, and are
13	THE PRESIDENT: it states:	13	attesting he was never at a mine on any of those days;
15	" other than tax liabilities to the Rwandan	15	is that how you understood your testimony?
16	State in respect of expatriate employees"	16	A. May I read?
17	A. Yes.	10	To my recollection he has never been into the
18	THE PRESIDENT: " and loans from the	18	biggest part of the mine, which are the western
10	majority-shareholder of	10	concessions.
20	( Starck Resources)"	20	Q. That's not what this statement says. You'll agree with
20	I am asking you whether there was indebtedness on	20	that, right?
22	the part of NRD to Starck Resources?	21	A. When I say visit, it's kind of getting deep, getting to
23	A. Yes, mainly for the construction of the factory, because	22	the mines, know the programmes, know that the people
23	most of the material were bought by Starck in Rwanda.	23	don't have shovels, don't have overalls, don't have
25	THE PRESIDENT: Have you any idea the amount of that	24	anything. That's the kind of feeling when I stated
25	THE TREBEER (T. There you any face the unbuilt of the	23	unyuning. That's the kind of feeling when I stated
	Page 93		Page 95
16:44 1	indebtedness?	16:49 1	this, that Marshall never got that extent of visiting
16:44 1 2	A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of	16:49 1 2	the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that
	A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall,		the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.
2	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> </ul>	2	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never</li></ul>
2 3	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li><li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li><li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were western concession, and that's what the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate fails to mention the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate, yes.</li> <li>Q. You'll agree that the certificate fails to mention the remainder; correct?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> <li>A. They might sorry they might a slight, let's say</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate, yes.</li> <li>Q. You'll agree that the certificate fails to mention the remainder; correct?</li> <li>A. If we take the 751 million, plus the 362, it's already,</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> <li>A. They might sorry they might a slight, let's say there was some mines which are in the radius of Kigali.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate fails to mention the remainder; correct?</li> <li>A. If we take the 751 million, plus the 362, it's already, to my quick addition, it's 1.1 billion, which is</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> <li>A. They might sorry they might a slight, let's say there was some mines which are in the radius of Kigali. That's one, he will just pass by and so on and see. But</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate, yes.</li> <li>Q. You'll agree that the certificate fails to mention the remainder; correct?</li> <li>A. If we take the 751 million, plus the 362, it's already, to my quick addition, it's 1.1 billion, which is meaning, the remainder is 76 million, less than</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> <li>A. They might sorry they might a slight, let's say there was some mines which are in the radius of Kigali. That's one, he will just pass by and so on and see. But there was never a visit that he made purposely to know</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate, yes.</li> <li>Q. You'll agree that the certificate fails to mention the remainder; correct?</li> <li>A. If we take the 751 million, plus the 362, it's already, to my quick addition, it's 1.1 billion, which is meaning, the remainder is 76 million, less than \$100,000.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were western concession, and that's what the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> <li>A. They might sorry they might a slight, let's say there was some mines which are in the radius of Kigali. That's one, he will just pass by and so on and see. But there was never a visit that he made purposely to know the status of the remote mines which are western, these</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. I'd refer to the 235, if I recall, the list of creditors. But it's something around 1.7, if I recall, billion. I don't have the figures in</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, alright. Thank you.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If we could now turn to R-235. If you could blow that up a little bit for him so he can see it. Thank you.</li> <li>Mr Sindayigaya you said, I think, a moment ago that on this list of creditors is the Starck parent company that loaned money to NRD, its subsidiary?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's part, if you go to lines 6 and 7, that's Starck. HC Starck. That's their abbreviation.</li> <li>Q. So the certificate specifically said it wasn't including those two items.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And certain other tax liabilities.</li> <li>A. That's what I saw on the certificate, yes.</li> <li>Q. You'll agree that the certificate fails to mention the remainder; correct?</li> <li>A. If we take the 751 million, plus the 362, it's already, to my quick addition, it's 1.1 billion, which is meaning, the remainder is 76 million, less than</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>the mines and get that feeling of the acquisition that he's getting.</li> <li>Q. What you actually said was, from day one he never visited the mines. Did you mean to convey to the Tribunal that you knew his whereabouts on every day between 1st January 2011 and September 2012 when you left, and you were attesting he never set foot at any mine; is that what you were intending?</li> <li>A. The way you are phrasing the sentence is not the way I would phrase it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you will acknowledge that it's entirely possible that Mr Marshall visited one or more of the mines over that time period, any number of times, and you don't know?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge he never visited the biggest part of the mines which were remotely not</li> <li>Q. So that means you that means you account</li> <li>A. They might sorry they might a slight, let's say there was some mines which are in the radius of Kigali. That's one, he will just pass by and so on and see. But there was never a visit that he made purposely to know</li> </ul>

Page 94

-				
16:51	1	O. Mr. Sindavigava, you'll agree that whataver Mr. Marshall	16:55 1	related to the mining and production. So during that,
10:51	1	Q. Mr Sindayigaya, you'll agree that whatever Mr Marshall did on any day he visited a local to the Kigali office		from the time I joined, I managed to put in place
	2		2	
	3	mine, or distant mine, you weren't with him; will you	3	a system, a reporting system every Thursday that I'll
	4	agree to that?	4	know how much minerals have been produced so that I can
	5	A. Can you rephrase the question again?	5	budget my cash flow. It gave me a I was not on site
	6	Q. You'll agree that whatever Mr Marshall did, any time he	6	but I had a full insight on what was happening on site,
	7	set foot at any of the mines	7	let's say, a weekly basis, and there was never
	8	A. Yes.	8	a production that came out of the plant under my tenure.
	9	Q wherever located, you weren't with him?	9	Q. So this detailed focus from all these people every day
	10	A. No, I do not agree.	10	telling you exactly what they were doing, you
	11	Q. So you were with him when he visited a mine?	11	maintain let me finish, sir you maintained that as
	12	A. I never been with him to any mine.	12	you became the senior staff attorney, that's also your
	13	Q. Okay. So should he have visited any, you weren't there	13	testimony; right?
	14	with him and you don't know what he did when he was	14	I'm sorry, I misspoke. It's your testimony, sir,
	15	there; correct?	15	that this detailed daily reporting from everybody about
	16	A. Pardon? Can you repeat? Sometimes you are going fast.	16	what everything was happening at each mine, that
	17	Can you repeat?	17	continued when you became the senior accountant at the
	18	Q. Let me ask a different question, because you seem to be	18	company; correct?
	19	struggling with that concept, and it's probably my	19	A. Yes, it continued.
	20	fault, but I'd like to ask a little bit different	20	Q. Okay. So if it's your testimony and you want the
	21	question but for the same purpose.	21	Tribunal to believe that if any miner walked up to the
	22	A. Yes.	22	plant, the washing station, with a bucket of ore and
	23	Q. Can't you agree with me that you actually didn't work so	23	cleaned it, because it would help speed up the
	24	closely with Mr Marshall, given your disparate	24	extraction of minerals, you were told immediately.
	25	positions, that you actually accounted for his	25	That's your testimony; right?
	-0			
		Page 97		Page 99
16:52		whereabouts on every single day between January 1st,	16:56 1	A. I'll phrase the other way, that if the production if
16:52	2	2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?	2	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed
16:52		2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that? A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small	2 3	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing
16:52	2 3 4	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are</li></ul>	2 3 4	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than
16:52	2 3	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has</li></ul>	2 3	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was
16:52	2 3 4	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for</li></ul>	2 3 4	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the
16:52	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li><li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to be operation?</li> <li>A. No, I'm referring to have the plant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you actually didn't spend all day every day that you worked</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you actually didn't spend all day every day that you worked at the Rutsiro plant out in the field?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> <li>A. No, I'm referring to have the plant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the whole chain producing what was supposed to be coming from it, which means is the artisanal work of the</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you actually didn't spend all day every day that you worked at the Rutsiro plant out in the field?</li> <li>A. As part of when I joined NRD I was assigned</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> <li>A. No, I'm referring to have the plant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the whole chain producing what was supposed to be coming from it, which means is the artisanal work of the mineral.</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you actually didn't spend all day every day that you worked at the Rutsiro plant out in the field?</li> <li>A. As part of when I joined NRD I was assigned specifically to be to monitor the transactions which</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> <li>A. No, I'm referring to have the plant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the whole chain producing what was supposed to be coming from it, which means is the artisanal work of the</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you actually didn't spend all day every day that you worked at the Rutsiro plant out in the field?</li> <li>A. As part of when I joined NRD I was assigned specifically to be to monitor the transactions which are happening on site, which had given me a crucial time to build a network of site supervisors and their support</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> <li>A. No, I'm referring to have the plant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the whole chain producing what was supposed to be coming from it, which means is the artisanal work of the miners.</li> <li>Q. Right. So if the plant was being operated quite often, in parts some miners would come up and use the crusher</li> </ul>
16:52	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>2011 and September 2012; can't you agree to that?</li> <li>A. I cannot agree because in a such, let's say, small company, all the movements of the chairman/big boss are known and prepared by the office. Which means if he has to go to any mine, there will be some provision for driver allowances, and so on. Those are the things that would get my attention, without even going with him, he would have to get some accommodation and so on, and those such expenses have never come to my desk during my tenure in NRD.</li> <li>Q. Can we go to paragraph 9 of that same witness statement? Do you see in this paragraph that you refer to the plant at the Rutsiro concession and say that it never operated during the entire time you were with NRD?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that? Now, can't you agree that, given your job as, at that time, staff accountant, that you actually didn't spend all day every day that you worked at the Rutsiro plant out in the field?</li> <li>A. As part of when I joined NRD I was assigned specifically to be to monitor the transactions which are happening on site, which had given me a crucial time</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>there were trucks that were carrying out unwashed minerals into the plant and get the production washing process from the plant, which is industrial rather than the artisanal, I would have known it because that was I was really interested to see that plant coming into my report that the plant has started giving out the minerals. It would have come to my attention on that same day.</li> <li>Q. So it's now your statement that what you meant to be referring to was only one operation of the plant, which is loading the maximum amount from a truck at the top and having it run through the entire plant all the way to the bottom. That's all you meant to refer to in this statement; is that your explanation?</li> <li>A. No, I'm referring to have the plant to be operational rather than having small test or some miner coming to wash the minerals at Rutsiro plant. I'm referring to have the plant being fully operational and have the whole chain producing what was supposed to be coming from it, which means is the artisanal work of the miners.</li> <li>Q. Right. So if the plant was being operated quite often, in parts some miners would come up and use the crusher</li> </ul>

		r	
16.59 1	would use the sifter to sutreat this as from their load	17.02 1	statements some other recents I talked to told me that
16:58 1	would use the sifter to extract things from their load.	17:02 1	statement: some other people I talked to told me that
2	You are not saying that didn't happen; you just say it	2	nobody else, no other miner anywhere near Bisesero ever
3	doesn't count, right?	3	did anything through the end of the year, 2010. Instead
4	A. It was not the plant was tested but never went to the	4	you say definitively you know that there was absolutely
5	full commissioning, that will be the exact word.	5	no mining by any person at the Bisesero concession
6	Q. And I want to be clear that you're agreeing	6	through that time period, don't you?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. If there was minerals and miners, it would have been
8	Q that parts of the plant, such as the washing station	8	part of the things we could have found on site
9	or the crusher, could have been used, and was used, by	9	in March 2011, and we found absolutely nothing. We
10	miners from time to time throughout, and you're not	10	found only closed mines.
11	actually saying that aspect of the plant wasn't	11	So my affirmation is that it's impossible to have
12	operating regularly; you're just not talking about it,	12	mining activity in 2010 and find that you had no
13	right?	13	minerals on site, you had no staff, you had no operating
14	A. I'm not talking about the site jobs; I'm talking about	14	mines because they were all full of mud, mud slides.
15	the industrial part of the plant.	15	They were all closed. For a long time. We had to do
16	At the place where the plant is situated you might	16	the bush clearing to get to the first mining.
17	find a miner has preferred not to do his things in the	17	I remember myself when the Bobcat went there, we had to
18	forest because he is staying near the plant and they	18	bring the Bobcat to even get a pathway to the tunnel
19	will just come and do the things there.	19	where the mines were supposed to be. It was completely
20	The production from those small jobs will not be	20	a state of
21	accounted as from the production of the plant.	21	Q. It's 12,000 acres, isn't it?
22	Q. In your view; correct?	22	A. In March or April. I don't recall the exact date.
23	A. Sorry?	23	Q. It stayed the same size: it's 12,000 acres; correct?
24	Q. If I could ask the supplemental witness statement to be	24	A. Pardon?
25	brought up, paragraph 9. There you go. If you could	25	Q. The Bisesero concession
	Page 101		Page 103
	1 age 101		1 age 105
17:00 1	take a look at this paragraph and your next witness	17:04 1	A. Yes.
2	statement, you can see that you're referring to activity	2	Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?
2 3	statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?	2 3	<ul><li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li><li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li></ul>
2 3 4	statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession? A. Yes.	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li><li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li><li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li><li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya. <ul> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya. <ul> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that I spent a lot of time with them in Bay View house in</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go there in less than half a day.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that I spent a lot of time with them in Bay View house in Nyamishaba, they were telling me that there was no</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go there in less than half a day.</li> <li>Q. And you won't agree with me that you never covered all</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that I spent a lot of time with them in Bay View house in Nyamishaba, they were telling me that there was no activity in Bisesero because they're only busy with the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go there in less than half a day.</li> <li>Q. And you won't agree with me that you never covered all 12,000 acres or however many thousands of acres it is,</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that I spent a lot of time with them in Bay View house in Nyamishaba, they were telling me that there was no activity in Bisesero because they're only busy with the clinic.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go there in less than half a day.</li> <li>Q. And you won't agree with me that you never covered all 12,000 acres or however many thousands of acres it is, right? You don't agree?</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that I spent a lot of time with them in Bay View house in Nyamishaba, they were telling me that there was no activity in Bisesero because they're only busy with the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go there in less than half a day.</li> <li>Q. And you won't agree with me that you never covered all 12,000 acres or however many thousands of acres it is,</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>statement, you can see that you're referring to activity at the Bisesero concession?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And I want to draw your attention to the fact that you're talking about through the end of 2010, and then a little bit forward as you go through the paragraph. Do you see the time periods in the concession reference?</li> <li>A. Yes, I recall everything and I confirm those.</li> <li>Q. Throughout 2010, NRD, you say, had nothing to do with Bisesero, right?</li> <li>A. To my knowledge, nothing.</li> <li>Q. So this statement, if one were to read it, to be true would have to be given by someone who, on a daily basis, was at the Bisesero concession and observing absolutely no miner doing any mining activity there each and every day for that statement to be true; correct?</li> <li>A. That statement is true to the testimony we got when we started visiting the mines, especially the information from the Slovak team that was building the clinic, that I spent a lot of time with them in Bay View house in Nyamishaba, they were telling me that there was no activity in Bisesero because they're only busy with the clinic.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q is 12,000 acres large; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have those technical and size data.</li> <li>Q. Can you at least agree with me that however many thousands of acres Bisesero is</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q there isn't any one time you ever undertook to visit the whole thing, let alone visit the whole thing every single day?</li> <li>A. From the time we started visiting, Bisesero was much smaller than our five concessions in Rutsiro, Giciye, Sebeya.</li> <li>I was doing from the time before Bisesero came in I used to do one day, all the five mines in a remote forest, and Bisesero was the simplest one, which I would spend the night in Kibuye with the Slovak team, and the following morning I would just do Bisesero site in half day because that was much smaller than NRD concessions. I would not say that I would go into the mines itself, but the main point of collection of minerals, I would go there in less than half a day.</li> <li>Q. And you won't agree with me that you never covered all 12,000 acres or however many thousands of acres it is, right? You don't agree?</li> </ul>

17:06	1	each mine had a point where you meet the miners and get	17:09 1	In the first line; do you see that?
17.00	2	the minerals. I always did it for Bisesero as I was	2	A. Yes.
	2	doing for NRD concessions.		
		Q. Mr Sindayigaya	3	Q. That's to orient you. I want to ask now that the second page be brought up. If you could scroll down and I'll
	4		4	
	5	A. Yes.	5	let you read it as much as you need, sir, but what
	6	Q is it fair for me to say you have a tendency to	6	I wanted to show you is that there's a document titled
	7	exaggerate to make a point, and you feel comfortable	7	"Cooperation agreement", and Mr Ehlers's signature, the
	8	leaving a lot of details out because you feel justified	8	bottom. Mr Ehlers never informed you that such
	9	in your point so you will say it the way you want?	9	an agreement was being entered between the two
	10	A. Pardon? The connection is cutting out.	10	companies; correct?
	11	Q. Mr Sindayigaya	11	A. Never.
	12	A. Yes, sir.	12	Q. And that's because, given your position at the company,
	13	Q don't you acknowledge that you have a tendency to	13	it was something you simply had no use to know; correct?
	14	exaggerate when making a point?	14	A. Correct, because at the time this happened, I was having
	15	A. It's not a tendency, it's how do I explain that,	15	Mr Kabera I apologise at the time all this has
	16	English is not my native language and I always have to	16	been happening, I was having Mr Chege as chief
	17	look for perfect words, wording.	17	accountant and some of finance managers. So I was not
	18	Q. If I could ask that C-210 be brought up.	18	involved into big deals in November or December 2010.
	19	Do you recall, sir, that in your witness statement,	19	Q. Or even the fact that an agreement would be signed that
	20	I believe it's the supplemental witness statement, I'll	20	would relate to expenses and operation of mining
	21	find it if it is necessary because you don't recall it,	21	activity; correct?
	22	but do you recall addressing Mr Marshall's statement	22	A. That's what surprised me that I just saw. I saw this
	23	that there was a cooperation agreement between BVG's	23	agreement in the process of this dispute, it has never
	24	Bisesero concession and NRD leading up to the sale from	24	came to my attention that there was a cooperation
	25	Starck to Spalena?	25	agreement.
		Page 105		Page 107
15.00				
17:08		A. I don't recall anything like this.	17:11 1	Q. But you do agree that Mr Ehlers didn't feel you were the
17:08	2	Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation	2	type of employee that would need to know information
17:08	2 3	Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?	23	type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?
17:08	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li><li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li><li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would</li></ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li><li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li><li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this</li></ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li><li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li><li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li><li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a</li></ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li><li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li><li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li><li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li></ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li><li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement</li></ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li><li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li><li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could</li></ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it?</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly. Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next page, the email. It says "Cooperative Agreement".</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> <li>A. I did a supplemental witness statement, yes.</li> </ul>
17:08	$     \begin{array}{r}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\       21 \\     \end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next page, the email. It says "Cooperative Agreement".</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> <li>A. I did a supplemental witness statement, yes.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: Is this the section you're looking for, paragraph 24?</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next page, the email. It says "Cooperative Agreement".</li> <li>A. I'm seeing an email sent yes, I'm seeing the subject matter.</li> <li>Q. And then it says:</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I m sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> <li>A. I did a supplemental witness statement, yes.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: Is this the section you're looking for, paragraph 24?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Yes, I'm very grateful for that help.</li> </ul>
17:08	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6 \\       7 \\       8 \\       9 \\       10 \\       11 \\       12 \\       13 \\       14 \\       15 \\       16 \\       17 \\       18 \\       19 \\       20 \\       21 \\       22 \\       23 \\       24 \\     \end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next page, the email. It says "Cooperative Agreement".</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> <li>A. I did a supplemental witness statement, yes.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: Is this the section you're looking for, paragraph 24?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Yes, I'm very grateful for that help. I apologise, again, for delaying others. If you could</li> </ul>
17:08	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You don't recall the reference to a cooperation agreement?</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I was not involved in any matter in the sale of NRD.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, but I just need to, because I didn't take accurate notes, I just need one moment, please, and I apologise for the delay, but just to find the paragraph. (Pause).</li> <li>A. Pardon? (Pause).</li> <li>Q. Well, I apologise already, and I'll say it again out of consideration that I'm holding the Tribunal and opposing counsel up, I will put that question aside and move on to another question about this document. Mr Sindayigaya</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q if I could ask FTI, if I could ask you to scroll down to the next page, first of all, do you see the reference to the "Cooperation Agreement" on the next page, the email. It says "Cooperative Agreement".</li> <li>A. I'm seeing an email sent yes, I'm seeing the subject matter.</li> <li>Q. And then it says:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>type of employee that would need to know information like that given your responsibilities; right?</li> <li>A. That will be Mr Ehlers to appreciate to whom he would share the information, but I know that at the time this happened I was still, let's say, not really a big a senior staff, like I would say.</li> <li>Q. If I could ask that the supplemental witness statement be brought up and paragraph 20 be focused on. Could paragraph 20 be brought up so he could read it? Can you see?</li> <li>A. I'm seeing it.</li> <li>Q. I m sorry, is this the supplemental witness statement?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. I apologise, I'm sorry, it says page 20, and there isn't one, so I again, this is my fault and I will find it shortly.</li> <li>Do you recall providing in your supplemental witness statement an explanation of a dispute with Mr Quam?</li> <li>A. I did a supplemental witness statement, yes.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: Is this the section you're looking for, paragraph 24?</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Yes, I'm very grateful for that help.</li> </ul>

17:14	1 you now recall the specifics that you provided regarding	17:18 1	Mr Sindayigaya.
	2 a dispute with Mr Quam?	2	A. Yes, sir.
	3 A. Yes, I recall.	3	MR COWLEY: Thank you.
	4 Q. And because of this dispute, because the two of you	4	Re-direct examination by MR HILL
	5 became angry with each other about the things you	5	MR HILL: Mr Sindayigaya, you were asked some questions at
	6 raise	6	the beginning of the cross-examination about emails
	7 A. Yes.	7	relating to your position as senior accountant.
	8 Q you disliked him; correct?	8	A. Yes, sir.
	9 A. At the end of the at the end of the day, when he was	9	Q. Can you be shown bundle R-236. This is an email from
	10 fired we were, let me say, not the best friends, to just	10	you in May 2011 to Mr Marshall, amongst others, and can
	11 put it gentle.	11	we look at how you sign yourself on that email; do you
	12 Q. Well, you didn't put it gentle to Mr Quam, did you? Can	12	see how you've signed yourself?
	13 I ask that C-183 be brought up?	13	A. Yes, that's always my style of even today that's how
	14 A. Sorry?	14	I'm signing my emails, professional emails, related
	15 Q. They're bringing up another document, sir.	15	emails.
	16 In October 2011 when Mr Quam was let go by the	16	Q. And do you see the title that you refer to there of
	17 company, you were anything but gentle to Mr Quam;	17	"Senior Accountant NRD Rwanda"; do you see that?
	<ul><li>correct? Those are your messages to his phone that are</li></ul>	18	A. I'm seeing it.
	at the bottom of the email; correct?	10	Q. Did Mr Marshall ever suggest to you at any time, for
	20 A. Correct.	20	instance when you sent this email, that you shouldn't be
	21 Q. It's accurate to call that taunting, at the very least,	21	giving yourself that title and it wasn't your title?
	to Mr Quam, isn't it?	22	MR COWLEY: I do object to the leading.
	23 A. Can you rephrase the question?	23	A. Not at all.
	24 Q. Because Mr Quam did things like treat you poorly as	24	MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Sindayigaya. No further questions.
	a result of your calling him out on certain expenses,	25	A. Thank you, Mr Hill.
	Page 109		Page 111
	C C		C C
1			
17:16	1 because of how he talked to you in the email we looked	17:20 1	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your assistance.
17:16	<ol> <li>because of how he talked to you in the email we looked</li> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> </ol>	17:20 1 2	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your assistance. You're free to leave.
17:16	•		
17:16	2 at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back	2	You're free to leave.
17:16	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> </ul>	2 3	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President.
17:16	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> </ul>	2 3 4	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President. MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.
17:16	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President. MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested
17:16	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President. MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has
17:16	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President. MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President. MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You're free to leave. A. Thank you, Mr President. MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers. THE PRESIDENT: Yes. MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> <li>what happened under my tenure in NRD, and I feel that</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> <li>MR HILL: Oh, I may be wrong about that. I'm wrong about</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> <li>what happened under my tenure in NRD, and I feel that</li> <li>this should not be a reference because those messages</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> <li>MR HILL: Oh, I may be wrong about that. I'm wrong about that. We have Mr Kagubare. Shall I find out if he is</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> <li>what happened under my tenure in NRD, and I feel that</li> <li>this should not be a reference because those messages</li> <li>were made by 10 years ago. I have more experience,</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> <li>MR HILL: Oh, I may be wrong about that. I'm wrong about that. We have Mr Kagubare. Shall I find out if he is ready and then I will tell you whether he can be</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> <li>what happened under my tenure in NRD, and I feel that</li> <li>this should not be a reference because those messages</li> <li>were made by 10 years ago. I have more experience,</li> <li>I have more, I would say, more wisdom than that time.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> <li>MR HILL: Oh, I may be wrong about that. I'm wrong about that. We have Mr Kagubare. Shall I find out if he is ready and then I will tell you whether he can be interposed.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> <li>what happened under my tenure in NRD, and I feel that</li> <li>this should not be a reference because those messages</li> <li>were made by 10 years ago. I have more experience,</li> <li>I have more, I would say, more wisdom than that time.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> <li>MR HILL: Oh, I may be wrong about that. I'm wrong about that. We have Mr Kagubare. Shall I find out if he is ready and then I will tell you whether he can be interposed.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, and there's also Mr Nsengiyuma, both</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>at at the beginning, you were very happy to get him back</li> <li>as much as you could when you found out he was let go;</li> <li>isn't that true?</li> <li>A. Yes, at some extent I was happy that he was out of the</li> <li>company before me.</li> <li>Q. But more than being quietly happy about it, it was your</li> <li>nature to rub it in his face as much as you could so</li> <li>that the sting of the event was really felt. That's</li> <li>what you wanted to do; right?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Isn't that exactly what you're doing in these witness</li> <li>statements with your reference to, in every way</li> <li>possible, calling Mr Marshall and Ms Zuzana liars and</li> <li>wrong about everything, you are trying as hard as</li> <li>possible to get back at them for letting you go, for</li> <li>terminating you; isn't that really what all these</li> <li>statements are about in your witness statements?</li> <li>A. No. This time I'm trying to give as much as truth about</li> <li>what happened under my tenure in NRD, and I feel that</li> <li>this should not be a reference because those messages</li> <li>were made by 10 years ago. I have more experience,</li> <li>I have more, I would say, more wisdom than that time.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>You're free to leave.</li> <li>A. Thank you, Mr President.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, we now have Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Mr President and Mr Hill, Mr Ehlers was tested at the last break and was in the waiting room but has just dropped off the meeting. We've reached out to him. It just happened a few minutes ago, and our system is offline. I know he has very weak internet, so we just emailed him in an attempt to figure out why he dropped off, but that just happened. He was in the waiting room as of about a couple of minutes ago. I've not heard back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we any other witnesses immediately available?</li> <li>MR HILL: No, I am afraid not, Mr President. Mr Ehlers is the only other witness for today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: I see.</li> <li>MR HILL: Oh, I may be wrong about that. I'm wrong about that. We have Mr Kagubare. Shall I find out if he is ready and then I will tell you whether he can be interposed.</li> </ul>

17:21 1	MR HILL: I'll find out.	17:26 1	A. He is muted, I can't hear him.
2	MR WATKINS: And they are using the same kit that	2	MR HARRISON: Thank you, I apologise.
3	Mr Sindayigaya was using, so they just need to be in the	3	You confirmed to Mr Hill, you said you were
4	room and we can just bring them in.	4	a Director of Operations and Production; is that right?
5	MR HILL: Mr President, may I just drop off the screen for	5	A. That's right.
6	a moment, then I will try and find out what's going on	6	Q. And you also manage security for the mines according to
7	and whether someone else can be interposed?	7	your witness statement; is that right?
8	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, certainly.	8	A. That was one of the activities under operations.
9	MR BRODSKY: James, I sent a wake-up message to the computer	9	Q. Okay.
10	through Splashtop but I don't know if he had it	10	A. Yes.
11	plugged in earlier so I don't think it's a power issue.	11	Q. Okay. And I want to back up a minute. What is the
12	(Pause).	12	Virunga Group of Companies?
13	MR HILL: Mr President, I understand that Mr Kagubare is	13	A. Virunga Group of Companies was my company, a
14	available and can be put up if that helps, only	14	construction company.
15	obviously if Mr Cowley is prepared for that.	15	Q. What type of construction does the company do?
16	MR COWLEY: We're prepared, but I'm going to be going dark	16	A. Normal construction. I'm a civil engineer by
17	and Mr Harrison will come up.	17	profession.
18	MR WATKINS: Shall I bring in the witness? I can see he's	18	Q. Is it, you know, residential buildings, houses,
19	available.	19	commercial structures?
20	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please.	20	A. Everything. Buildings, roads, bridges, everything, you
21	MR WATKINS: Alright, I will go off camera, Mr President.	21	name it.
22	MR JOHN BOSCO KAGUBARE (called)	22	Q. And did you do any work for mining companies on behalf
23	THE PRESIDENT: Good evening, Mr Kagubare, can you hear me?	23	of Virunga, building roads or bridges?
24	I see you nod. If you look at your screen, you will see	24	A. No, I didn't.
25	a witness declaration, and I would be grateful if you	25	Q. Before joining NRD, did you have any mining experience?
	Page 113		Page 115
	-		-
	-		
17.04 1		15.05.1	
17:24 1	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can	17:27 1	A. Before?
2	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted	2	Q. Before.
2 3	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.	2 3	Q. Before. A. No.
2 3 4	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you. MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Before.</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you. MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone. THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Before.</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li><li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li><li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Before.</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. Before.</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li><li>A. That's right.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. Before.</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li><li>A. That's right.</li><li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you. MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone. THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again? THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again. THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you. MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone. THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again? THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again. THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Direct examination by MR HILL MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right? A. That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you started work there from the second half of 2013?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did Virunga stop operating?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you started work there from the second half of 2013?</li> <li>A. I believe so. I can't remember the exact date, but it's around that time.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did Virunga stop operating?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it stopped operating, but in the way the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you started work there from the second half of 2013?</li> <li>A. I believe so. I can't remember the exact date, but it's around that time.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did Virunga stop operating?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it stopped operating, but in the way the market works here, if there are no contracts, you can't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you started work there from the second half of 2013?</li> <li>A. I believe so. I can't remember the exact date, but it's around that time.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Harrison,</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did Virunga stop operating?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it stopped operating, but in the way the market works here, if there are no contracts, you can't operate. I can spend a year without a contract, but if</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you started work there from the second half of 2013?</li> <li>A. I believe so. I can't remember the exact date, but it's around that time.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Harrison, who acts on behalf of the Claimants, is going to ask you some questions.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did Virunga stop operating?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it stopped operating, but in the way the market works here, if there are no contracts, you can't operate. I can spend a year without a contract, but if there's a contract, I would get involved and go do the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>could read that. Could you raise your hand if you can hear me, Mr Kagubare? Yes, I think you are muted because we can't hear you.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: It may be a physical button on the microphone.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Sorry, can I read it again?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please read it again.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I shall speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: You're welcome.</li> <li>Direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, I understand you were the former Director of Operations and Productions at Natural Resources Development, or NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And as I understand from your witness statement, you started work there from the second half of 2013?</li> <li>A. I believe so. I can't remember the exact date, but it's around that time.</li> <li>MR HILL: Thank you. Now, if you wait there, Mr Harrison, who acts on behalf of the Claimants, is going to ask you some questions.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. Before.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. When you joined NRD that was the first time that you were working on behalf of a mining company; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And according to your witness statement, and we don't need to bring it up right now, you have been the managing director of Virunga since it began in 2011; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. So you were the managing director of Virunga the entire time that you also worked for NRD; is that right?</li> <li>A. By the time I started working with NRD, it had stopped operations because of lack of contracts.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So when did just to be precise, when did Virunga stop operating?</li> <li>A. I wouldn't say it stopped operating, but in the way the market works here, if there are no contracts, you can't operate. I can spend a year without a contract, but if there's a contract, I would get involved and go do the tenders, do the bidding, until I get something to do.</li> </ul>

17:29	1	a new contract; right?	17:33 1	Q. FTI, could you actually make it smaller again and maybe
		. Yes.	2	just blow it up but not quite as much, and then
	3 Q.	. When was the last time you had a contract for Virunga?	3	Mr Kagubare, I'll ask you a few questions. I want to
	4 A.	. Around, I think, 2018. 2017/2018.	4	make sure we can both read it well.
	5 Q.	. And before that, before 2017/2018 did you have any	5	So you can see at the top it's an email from
	6	contracts let me rephrase that did you have any	6	marshall[attorney]@yahoo.com. As you understand it,
		contact between 2013 and 2017 or 2018?	7	that's Mr Roderick Marshall; right?
	8 A.	. I believe I did.	8	A. Yes.
	9 Q.	. Approximately how many per year?	9	Q. And then the "to" field is John Kagubare, that's
	10 A.	. About two.	10	kagubare@gmail.com?
	11 Q.	. And I know you told Mr Hill you don't recall the exact	11	A. That's right.
	12	date of when you joined NRD, but do you recall the	12	Q. Let's just down for a second, it is forwarding an email,
	13	month?	13	in the below email, do you recognise any of those names,
	14 A.	. I believe it was in the second half of 2013.	14	there's Sauer Jiri and Martin I truthfully cannot
	15 Q.	. So we're saying some time you would agree with me	15	read that on the screen?
	16	maybe that's August or later in 2013; is that fair?	16	A. Yes, I do. I recognise the names.
	17 A.	. I don't remember. I wouldn't say I wouldn't be	17	Q. Okay, that's helpful, thank you. Who were those people?
	18	specific on the month, but I believe it must have been	18	A. These were people who were introduced to me by Rod who
	19	after July, I guess.	19	were, I think, Czech and Slovak, that were looking for
	20 Q.	. And you currently work for the Directorate of Military	20	a market to sell their trucks and repair of helicopters
	21	Intelligence, which I'll abbreviate DMI?	21	in the African countries.
	22 A.	. No.	22	Q. And do you have an understanding as to why Mr Marshall
	23 Q.	. Have you ever worked for DMI?	23	was introducing them to you?
	24 A.	. Yes.	24	A. Yes, because he knew I was in the army and some of my
	25 Q.	. And when did you work for DMI?	25	colleagues I was with in the army were still in the
		Page 117		Page 119
I				
17:30		From it depends, I worked for the DMI in Uganda in	17:34 1	army, and he thought I could contact them to see if they
17:30	2	1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly	2	are interested.
17:30	2 3	1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.	2 3	are interested. Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th,
17:30	2 3 4 Q.	<ul><li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li><li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service</li></ul>	2 3 4	are interested. Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?
17:30	2 3 4 Q. 5	<ul><li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li><li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	are interested. Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct? A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.
17:30	2 3 4 Q. 5 6 A.	<ul><li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li><li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li><li>No.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	are interested. Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct? A. I can read it, that's the date, yes. Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this
17:30	2 3 4 Q. 5 6 A. 7 Q.	<ul><li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li><li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li><li>No.</li><li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>are interested.</li><li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li><li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li><li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information</li></ul>
17:30	2 3 4 Q. 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>are interested.</li><li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li><li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li><li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army;</li></ul>
	2 3 4 Q. 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>are interested.</li><li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li><li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li><li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li></ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>are interested.</li><li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li><li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li><li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li><li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying</li></ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A.	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 2 3 4 2 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 15 10 11 13 14 15 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 2 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 16 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 17 10 10 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 16 17 18 A.	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link,</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 16 17 13 14 15 13 14 15 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 Q. 20 10 11 20 10 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>That you were acting as a liaison between NRD and the military?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> </ul>
	2         3         4       Q.         5          6       A.         7       Q.         8          9          10          11       A.         12          13          14          15       Q.         16          17          18       A.         19       Q.         20          21       A.	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>No, I wasn't.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> <li>Q. And it says:</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>No, I wasn't.</li> <li>Could FTI bring up C-136. Well, I'll ask you,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> <li>Q. And it says:     "This is manual and also description of drawings</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 Q. 13 14 15 16 17 12 11 13 14 15 20 10 11 13 14 15 20 10 11 13 14 15 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>No, I wasn't.</li> <li>Could FTI bring up C-136. Well, I'll ask you, Mr Kagubare. Can you read that? I'm ask you.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> <li>Q. And it says:     "This is manual and also description of drawings what they have on vehicles"</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 11 13 14 15 Q. 11 13 14 15 16 17 10 11 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>That you were acting as a liaison between NRD and the military?</li> <li>No, I wasn't.</li> <li>Could FTI bring up C-136. Well, I'll ask you, Mr Kagubare. Can you read that? It's a little fuzzy, the email.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> <li>Q. And it says:     "This is manual and also description of drawings what they have on vehicles"     Below. You see that?</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 11 13 14 15 Q. 11 13 14 15 16 17 10 11 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>No, I wasn't.</li> <li>Could FTI bring up C-136. Well, I'll ask you, Mr Kagubare. Can you read that? I'm ask you.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> <li>Q. And it says:     "This is manual and also description of drawings what they have on vehicles"</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 4 5 6 A. 7 Q. 8 9 10 11 A. 12 13 14 15 Q. 11 13 14 15 Q. 11 13 14 15 16 17 10 11 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	<ul> <li>1986 to 1990, and in Rwanda I didn't. I worked briefly with the National Security Service in 2000-2004.</li> <li>Did you have any role with the National Security Service in 2013?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>And just so I understand, I want to make sure that I'm asking the right question, is there a Directorate of Military Intelligence in Rwanda, or is that the National Security Service?</li> <li>The National Security Service is it has several bodies under it. That is immigration, internal security and external security. Military intelligence is not one of them.</li> <li>And do you have an understanding that when you were working for NRD you were helping liaise between NRD and the military?</li> <li>I was doing what?</li> <li>That you were acting as a liaison between NRD and the military?</li> <li>No, I wasn't.</li> <li>Could FTI bring up C-136. Well, I'll ask you, Mr Kagubare. Can you read that? It's a little fuzzy, the email.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>are interested.</li> <li>Q. And so he forwarded this email to you on December 24th, 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. I can read it, that's the date, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay, your understanding is that he was forwarding this email in order that you would provide that information to some of your contacts that remained in the army; correct?</li> <li>A. What is in the message? He just said that he's trying to get in touch with me. Excuse me.</li> <li>Q. So if you look down at the body of the email below that he's forwarded:     "Enclosed you will find"     And there's a link; do you see that?</li> <li>A. A link?</li> <li>Q. "Enclosed you will find"     And then it says https, and there's a URL link, app.box.com?</li> <li>A. Yes, I see it.</li> <li>Q. And it says:     "This is manual and also description of drawings what they have on vehicles"     Below. You see that?</li> </ul>

17:35 1	Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding of what the	17:39 1	Q. And we covered this just briefly before, but one of your
17.55 1	subject line "RM-70" means, or it is a reference to?	17.39 1	jobs was to manage security at the mines; correct?
3	A. Yes, it is a truck that carries artillery. It is one of	3	A. That's not correct. I was a director, and those who
4	the Tatra trucks that were used, they were marketing,	4	manned the security were my responsibility. Security
5	that carry these long-range missiles.	5	was one of the activities under the operations
6	Q. And so understanding what the context of this email is,	6	department.
7	when you received it you understood that Mr Marshall was	7	Q. So you were in charge of everybody who was providing
8	sending it to you with the intention that you would pass	8	security at NRD's mines; correct? Is that your
9	it along to your contacts in the army, correct?	9	understanding of your role?
10	A. He was trying to get to markets, as a liaison, he was	10	A. They were under they were my responsibility, yes.
11	a businessman, he wanted to get money from all corners,	11	Q. And so you wanted to ensure that when you joined NRD,
12	so that's one of these channels where he thought he	12	that there wasn't illegal mining; right?
13	could introduce these products into the country.	13	A. Not necessarily illegal mining, but theft of minerals.
13	Q. And you were helping him to do that, right?	13	That's why we deployed the security personnel on the
15	A. Yes.	15	entrances of the tunnels and on the stores so that no
16	Q. We can take that down, FTI, thanks.	16	minerals were stolen.
17	Your witness statement says that you were approached	17	Q. Can we pull up paragraph 14 of Mr Kagubare's witness
18	by a friend to ask whether you were interested in	18	statement.
19	working for NRD. Who is the friend you're referring to?	19	So some time starting in the second half of 2013 you
20	A. A businessman here in Kigali who is the who knew them	20	joined and you state:
21	before I knew them. It was a businessman in Kigali by	21	"I hired a security team of over 200 personnel to
22	the names of Charles.	22	patrol the mines and to put an end to the illegal
23	Q. Charles?	23	miners."
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Does Charles have a last name?	25	Q. So you agree that you were trying to help stop illegal
_		_	
	Page 121		Page 123
17:37 1	A. Ngarambe.	17:41 1	mining at NRD's mines; right?
2	Q. And	2	A. Yes.
2 3	<ul><li>Q. And</li><li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And</li><li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li><li>Q. That would be great?</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And</li><li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li><li>Q. That would be great?</li><li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li><li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li><li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li><li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li><li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li><li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> <li>Q. And you wanted to ensure that the minerals mined on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> <li>Q. Transport?</li> <li>A. Did you say transport?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> <li>Q. And you wanted to ensure that the minerals mined on NRD's concessions were properly tagged</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> <li>Q. And you wanted to ensure that the minerals mined on NRD's concessions were properly tagged</li> <li>A. They (overspeaking)</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> <li>Q. Transport?</li> <li>Q. And is that, you know, commercial trucking, or what does</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> <li>Q. And you wanted to ensure that the minerals mined on NRD's concessions were properly tagged</li> <li>A. They (overspeaking)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I spoke over you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> <li>Q. Transport?</li> <li>Q. And is that, you know, commercial trucking, or what does that mean?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> <li>Q. And you wanted to ensure that the minerals mined on NRD's concessions were properly tagged</li> <li>A. They (overspeaking)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I spoke over you.</li> <li>A. Before they are tagged, they come from the mines, then</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. Do you want me to spell it for you?</li> <li>Q. That would be great?</li> <li>A. N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E.</li> <li>Q. You may not believe me, but I spelled it right in my notes.</li> <li>And what was Mr Ngarambe's relationship to NRD that he was making this introduction?</li> <li>A. I didn't know. He told me he had friends, an American who was in the mining business, but he was failing, he had failed to operate his mining contracts in Rwanda and he was pulling out. But then he said: John, can you help? I said: what do they need help with? So he said: let's go and meet them, so we went and met them and they told me what they wanted from me.</li> <li>Q. What line of business is Mr Ngarambe in?</li> <li>A. He's in the transport business.</li> <li>Q. Transport?</li> <li>Q. And is that, you know, commercial trucking, or what does that mean?</li> <li>A. Buses.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And separately, and in addition to that, you wanted to stop the theft of minerals from NRD's mines?</li> <li>A. No, the people the way mining, these artisan miners work, they are the same people who mine the minerals during the day, they are the ones who come and before security was there, the same people would come in in the evening, after everybody's gone, they either take the minerals that they left behind, or there are some minerals that they didn't want to let the management know, they mine at night, the same people. Because they would be the one who spent there the whole day, then they would know which spots to go to during the night. So there were our miners during the day and they were doing what was not with our consent in the night or in the evening. So I termed that as illegal mining because we had not authorised them to do that.</li> <li>Q. And you wanted to ensure that the minerals mined on NRD's concessions were properly tagged</li> <li>A. They (overspeaking)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, I spoke over you.</li> <li>A. Before they are tagged, they come from the mines, then the security escorts them to our stores, and then we</li> </ul>

17:42 1	Kigali to the buyers, that's when we go and get the tags	17:45 1	A. That's right.
2	to tag them. So they are not tagged every day they come	2	Q. And did you at the time have an understanding that this
3	from the mines, they are tagged like once a week, when	3	
4	they are ready for transport.	4	
5	Q. And in that interim period as they're ready for	5	
6	transport, they're stored at NRD's facility; right?		
7	A. Yes.	6	
		7	1 5
8	Q. And part of your goal in stopping the illegal mining or	8	
9	the theft is to ensure that NRD is credited with those	9	
10	minerals and they're tagged appropriately as NRD's;	10	
11	correct?	11	
12	A. Say that again.	12	
13	Q. Part of your goal in ensuring that there's no theft and	13	0 0
14	no illegal mining is to have these minerals tagged	14	
15	properly as NRD's; correct?	15	
16	A. No, no, that's not the tagging. The tagging was	16	· · · ·
17	there's a system of traceability by the government to be	17	0
18	able to track the amount and type of minerals from	18	
19	particular mines to their destination. It was not to do	19	
20	with the tagging stopping theft. That's a totally	20	
21	different thing altogether.	21	6
22	Q. Well, you would agree that if a miner is stealing	22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
23	a mineral that was mined on NRD's concession, it's not	23	•
24	going to be tagged as NRD's, because that miner wants to	24	6
25	make a private sale of those minerals, right?	25	do.
	Page 125		Page 127
	1 450 125		1450 127
17:44 1	A. When the miners steal the minerals from the mines, they	17:47 1	Q. And because of the impact that the security that you put
17:44 1 2	A. When the miners steal the minerals from the mines, they don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the	17:47 1 2	Q. And because of the impact that the security that you put in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the
	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless		
2	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or	2	in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the
2 3	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless	2 3	in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?
2 3 4	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or	2 3 4	<ul><li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li><li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li><li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li><li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li><li>A. That's correct.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Yes, they did.</li><li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li><li>A. That's correct.</li><li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached. <ul> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think</li>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is</li>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on the screen, or blown up on the screen, you acknowledge</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is scheduled to go today and starting again Monday, and we</li>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on the screen, or blown up on the screen, you acknowledge that you the team that you put in place was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is scheduled to go today and starting again Monday, and we certainly do want to cross-examine Mr Ehlers.</li>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on the screen, or blown up on the screen, you acknowledge that you the team that you put in place was successful in stopping most of the stealing by artisanal</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is scheduled to go today and starting again Monday, and we certainly do want to cross-examine Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, well then Mr Nsengiyuma ought to be told he can go home; isn't that right?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, that's fine.</li>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on the screen, or blown up on the screen, you acknowledge that you the team that you put in place was successful in stopping most of the stealing by artisanal miners; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is scheduled to go today and starting again Monday, and we certainly do want to cross-examine Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, well then Mr Nsengiyuma ought to be told he can go home; isn't that right?</li>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on the screen, or blown up on the screen, you acknowledge that you the team that you put in place was successful in stopping most of the stealing by artisanal miners; correct?</li> <li>A. Not 100%, but they did to a very big extent.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> </ul> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is scheduled to go today and starting again Monday, and we certainly do want to cross-examine Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, well then Mr Nsengiyuma ought to be told he can go home; isn't that right?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, that's fine.</li>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>don't come to us, they just disappear halfway in the bushes, so we have no idea how much is going unless we've caught somebody, and we find them with a kilo or 2 kilos, that we know that was theft. So there's no way we could control the thieves unless that's how I developed an idea of putting up a security system for waiting at the entrance of the mines, waiting at the vents, which were like escape routes from the mines, and also escorting the minerals from the mines to the stores, but in that whole process there's no tagging involved.</li> <li>Q. And I guess I do believe we're actually saying the same thing here, but just if these minerals are stolen then there's no chance they're going to be stored and subsequently tagged as NRD's, correct?</li> <li>A. When they are stolen you wouldn't know even they exist.</li> <li>Q. And sticking with the paragraph that's highlighted on the screen, or blown up on the screen, you acknowledge that you the team that you put in place was successful in stopping most of the stealing by artisanal miners; correct?</li> <li>A. Not 100%, but they did to a very big extent.</li> <li>Q. And you also say here that sales increased as a result</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>in place had, NRD was able to make more money from the sale of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, they did.</li> <li>Q. And so this was the second half of 2013 and going through into 2014; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And could FTI bring up R-203. <ul> <li>I do see on the schedule that we're supposed to</li> <li>I think we're supposed to take a break in two minutes.</li> <li>I was going to change topics, if that's amenable?</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, we'll take a 10-minute break and counsel ought to decide whether they can stand down one of the two witnesses who have not yet been reached.</li> <li>It's not realistic, is it, to think we're going to manage to deal with both of them?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I will confer with Mr Cowley but I do think that both of Mr Ehlers well, Mr Nsengiyuma is scheduled to go today and starting again Monday, and we certainly do want to cross-examine Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright, well then Mr Nsengiyuma ought to be told he can go home; isn't that right?</li> <li>MR HARRISON: Okay, that's fine.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, a 10-minute break.</li> </ul>

		1	
15 50 1		10.10.1	
17:50 1	(A short break)	18:10 1	And do you recall that she was the bailiff that did
2	(6.06 pm)	2	an assessment at Nemba in, I believe, late August of
3	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, please bring him in.	3	2014?
4	Please continue.	4	A. I don't believe I met that Jacquie, but the bailiff
5	MR HARRISON: Mr Kagubare, do you have a headphone in right	5	I only remember having any dealings was Bosco. I don't
6	now?	6	remember meeting this Jacquie.
7	A. Sorry, yes, I was on the phone while I was on my break.	7	Q. And when you say "Bosco", who was Bosco?
8	Q. Were you discussing your testimony on your break?	8	A. Bosco Nsengiyuma, he was a bailiff who was acting on
9	A. I was talking to my wife.	9	behalf of Ben Benzinge, and they had come to claim that
10	THE PRESIDENT: The answer is implicit!	10	Ben Benzinge had shares in the company, and I told them
11	MR HARRISON: I don't need to follow up.	11	as far as I'm concerned, I only know Rod Marshall as
12	FTI, could you bring up R-203. These are meeting	12	the chairman and owner of the company, I didn't know
13	minutes from September 16th, 2014; do you see that?	13	Ben Benzinge, and I challenged them and they left to go
14	A. Yes, I can see it.	14	and bring proof and they never came back.
15	Q. And the attendees listed are you, Zuzana Mruskovicova,	15	Q. And Mr Kagubare, if I could just direct you to what's on
16	Peter Martin, and the Minister of State in Charge of	16	the second page here, which the court bailiff,
17	Mining; do you see that?	17	Ms Umurungi, identified as damage to the Nemba site
18	A. I can see it.	18	after Mr Ben Benzinge's temporary possession. Is this
19	Q. And the Minister of State in Charge of Mining is	19	consistent with what let me strike that.
20	Mr Evode Imena; correct?	20	This is consistent with what you saw for damages at
21	A. I believe by then. He is no longer the Minister of	21	the site; right? (Pause).
22	State.	22	A. Yes, I've gone through it.
23	Q. So do you recall the content of this meeting?	23	Q. And that's consistent with the damages you saw when you
24	A. Not really. Unless I read through it.	24	visited the Nemba site; correct?
25	Q. So I do I really just want to talk about what's in	25	A. I recall it's because this Gabriel Kayonga mentioned
	Page 129		Page 131
19.09 1		19.12 1	
18:08 1	that first paragraph, and specifically the last	18:12 1	here, I'm the one who employed him, and mentioning him
2	sentence, where it says:	2	meaning that was during my time as the Director of
2 3	sentence, where it says: "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's	2 3	meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details
2 3 4	sentence, where it says: "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by	2 3 4	meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not
2 3 4 5	sentence, where it says: "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."	2 3 4 5	meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things
2 3 4 5 6	sentence, where it says: "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge." Do you see that sentence?	2 3 4 5 6	meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about,
2 3 4 5 6 7	sentence, where it says: "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge." Do you see that sentence? A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's	2 3 4 5 6 7	meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sentence, where it says: "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge." Do you see that sentence? A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li><li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li><li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li><li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li><li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li><li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li><li>event.</li><li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> <li>You supervised them, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> <li>You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> <li>You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was</li> <li>a subcontractor.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> <li>You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was</li> <li>a subcontractor.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was a subcontractor.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused" <ul> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> <li>You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was</li> <li>a subcontractor.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused" <ul> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of</li> <li>Operations there, but I can't remember all the details</li> <li>of what was there because most of the things were not</li> <li>like an attack and they stole all the things: things</li> <li>were missing because of the theft that I told you about,</li> <li>so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular</li> <li>event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe,</li> <li>it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana,</li> <li>and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those</li> <li>were people who were employed by you, is that right?</li> <li>You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was</li> <li>true and accurate based on what he was saying at the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> <li>Q. So can we pull up C-075. This is a document titled</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was a subcontractor.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was true and accurate based on what he was saying at the site at Nemba; right?</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused"</li> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> <li>Q. So can we pull up C-075. This is a document titled "Report on a Natural Resources Development Rwanda Ltd</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was a subcontractor.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was true and accurate based on what he was saying at the site at Nemba; right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused" <ul> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> <li>Q. So can we pull up C-075. This is a document titled "Report on a Natural Resources Development Rwanda Ltd at Nemba Mining Site", and if, FTI, you could go</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga was a supervisor and Mr Musabyimana was a subcontractor.</li> <li>Q. And Mr Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was true and accurate based on what he was saying at the site at Nemba; right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Could FTI pull up paragraph 20 of Mr Kagubare's witness</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused" <ul> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> <li>Q. So can we pull up C-075. This is a document titled "Report on a Natural Resources Development Rwanda Ltd at Nemba Mining Site", and if, FTI, you could go actually to the second page, where I think there's</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was true and accurate based on what he was saying at the site at Nemba; right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Could FTI pull up paragraph 20 of Mr Kagubare's witness statement. I'll just give you a moment to read that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused" <ul> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> <li>Q. So can we pull up C-075. This is a document titled "Report on a Natural Resources Development Rwanda Ltd at Nemba Mining Site", and if, FTI, you could go actually to the second page, where I think there's a signature:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was true and accurate based on what he was saying at the site at Nemba; right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Could FTI pull up paragraph 20 of Mr Kagubare's witness statement. I'll just give you a moment to read that. Let me know when you have.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>sentence, where it says:</li> <li>"NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused by Benzinge."</li> <li>Do you see that sentence?</li> <li>A. "NRD also provided the Minister with NRD's bailiff's findings in Nemba showing the extent of damage caused" <ul> <li>I can see that sentence, yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you understand that to be a reference to let me restart that. You understand "Benzinge" to be a reference to Ben Benzinge?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that the damage referred to in Nemba, as a result of damage caused when Mr Ben Benzinge had temporary possession of the Nemba concession; correct?</li> <li>A. I believe I quashed their attempt to take possession of the mines; they never took possession of it.</li> <li>Q. So can we pull up C-075. This is a document titled "Report on a Natural Resources Development Rwanda Ltd at Nemba Mining Site", and if, FTI, you could go actually to the second page, where I think there's</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>meaning that was during my time as the Director of Operations there, but I can't remember all the details of what was there because most of the things were not like an attack and they stole all the things: things were missing because of the theft that I told you about, so I cannot be specific that it happened on a particular event.</li> <li>Q. And this states that the bailiff interviewed, I believe, it looks like Gabriel Kayonga and Emmanuel Musabyimana, and I apologise to him for how I pronounced that. Those were people who were employed by you, is that right? You supervised them, correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Gabriel Kayonga reported to you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, he did.</li> <li>Q. So you trust that what he told the court bailiff was true and accurate based on what he was saying at the site at Nemba; right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Could FTI pull up paragraph 20 of Mr Kagubare's witness statement. I'll just give you a moment to read that.</li> </ul>

10.15 1	O Se continuir vour witness statement vou sou that you	10.10 1	that when you stemped you will out of the mines and if
18:15 1 2	Q. So earlier in your witness statement you say that you were you had a salary of US\$2,000 a month; right?	18:18 1 2	that when you stopped you pull out of the mines, and if I recall very well, those thefts that were mentioned
		3	there were not during my presence, and whatever was done
3	<ul><li>A. That's right.</li><li>Q. And so in December 2015, Ms Mruskovicova gave you that</li></ul>	4	was an assessment that was done when we were accepted to
4	last \$2,000 monthly payment; correct?	4 5	come back to the mines.
5	A. I believe so.		
6		6 7	Q. And are you able to help, then, do you know who might have been responsible, what type of people might have
7 8	Q. And that was for work you were doing on behalf of NRD up through December 2015; correct?	8	been responsible for them?
° 9	A. I don't remember. It was late 2015, might	° 9	A. The locals, the people who were because even if they
	be November, December or October. I don't remember.		stopped us, the locals who would benefit from the
10	Q. You agree with me though: she was paying you for work	10 11	
11 12	that you were doing on behalf of NRD through October	11	illegal mining, they would come overnight or during the day because there was no management, they would do
12	or November or December 2015, whenever that last date	12	
13	was?	13	
14	A. That's right.	14	
15	Q. And these were security services and operational	15	
10	services that you were providing on behalf of NRD	10	
17	through this time period?	17	
18	A. Basically I almost did everything. For them, they just	10	MR HILL: Thank you, Mr Kagubare. No further questions.
20	sat in Kigali and waited for minerals to come. Only at	20	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much for your assistance.
20	times when she would just come to the mines, and she	20	You're now free to go home.
21	would push her weight around and at times there were	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you so much.
22	cases of theft she comes and sometimes the miners would	23	MR HILL: Mr President, I think we have both Mr Ehlers and
23	not want to mine because they didn't get their money,	23	
25	then she would have to come up and give reasons why	25	is now working, in which case we'll have Mr Ehlers, but
		20	
	Page 133		Page 135
18:16 1	money is not coming up.	18:20 1	if it is not, we've got Mr Nsengiyuma.
18:16 1 2	money is not coming up. But most of the time I was the one running the	18:20 1 2	if it is not, we've got Mr Nsengiyuma. THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've
2	But most of the time I was the one running the	2	THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've
2 3	But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my	2 3	THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.
2 3 4	But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.	2 3 4	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li><li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes. Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li><li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes. Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li><li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li><li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li><li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li><li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li><li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li><li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li><li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li><li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li><li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li><li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li> <li>Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li> <li>Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say thatTHE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li> <li>Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say thatTHE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li> <li>Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say thatTHE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li> <li>Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say thatTHE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera.</li> <li>Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say thatTHE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say that THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible for thefts?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say that THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth that we just need that bandwidth to connect him on his</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible for thefts?</li> <li>A. Now when by the list, when we're looking back at the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say that THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth that we just need that bandwidth to connect him on his iPad.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible for thefts?</li> <li>A. Now when by the list, when we're looking back at the list of the materials, during that time I was not in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say thatTHE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth that we just need that bandwidth to connect him on his iPad.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, never mind. Let's move on.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible for thefts?</li> <li>A. Now when by the list, when we're looking back at the list of the materials, during that time I was not in charge because I believe there are times when we would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say that THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth that we just need that bandwidth to connect him on his iPad.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, never mind. Let's move on. MR ANTHONY EHLERS (called)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible for thefts?</li> <li>A. Now when by the list, when we're looking back at the list of the materials, during that time I was not in charge because I believe there are times when we would be stopped by the OGMR, which was the body governing the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say that THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth that we just need that bandwidth to connect him on his iPad.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, never mind. Let's move on. MR ANTHONY EHLERS (called)</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good evening, Mr Ehlers. Do you see on your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>But most of the time I was the one running the operations and production, so that payment was for my services, yes.</li> <li>Q. Just to clarify, part of your job was to help prevent the theft of minerals; correct?</li> <li>A. Part of my job, yes.</li> <li>MR HARRISON: I have no other questions, Mr Kagubare. Thank you.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr President, would you just give me one minute just to have a discussion, and then I'll come back.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: I'm grateful. (Pause). Re-direct examination by MR HILL</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Kagubare, you were asked some questions about what was said to be thefts of equipment at the Nemba site. Your understanding at the time, were there thefts and, if there were, who did you think was responsible for thefts?</li> <li>A. Now when by the list, when we're looking back at the list of the materials, during that time I was not in charge because I believe there are times when we would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, let's have Mr Ehlers, and we've got 40 minutes before we break.</li> <li>MR WATKINS: Please note that due to the internet connectivity with Mr Ehlers we have brought him in on an iPad, so it's obviously just a little bit different than a webcam, and he needs the iPad to contact to his hearing aid, so bear with the awkwardness of the position of the camera. Mr Ehlers, can you please unmute yourself?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, I think we need to say that THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: We can hear you, one moment.</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: In addition, because Mr Ehlers is connecting on his iPad only, there is no 360 modality for his testimony; is that correct?</li> <li>MR WATKINS: That is correct. The camera would have just taken too much bandwidth. He has such limited bandwidth that we just need that bandwidth to connect him on his iPad.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes, never mind. Let's move on. MR ANTHONY EHLERS (called)</li> </ul>

18:21 1	THE PRESIDENT: You don't see on your screen yet.	18:24 1	A. That's correct.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.		Q. I'll say it a little bit differently because I meant to
3	THE PRESIDENT: Is there a witness declaration that you can	3	be more coherent, sorry.
4	see?	4	You were hired into the position of managing
4 5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	4 5	director of NRD by Starck; correct?
6	THE PRESIDENT: Would you be kind enough to read it, if you		A. That's correct.
7	are prepared to make that declaration?		Q. And there was a period of time where Starck's subsidiary
8	THE WITNESS: It's very small that I see. It's	8	was owned by Starck, the parent, and you were the
8 9	I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience	8 9	managing director on the ground, passed the sale of the
	THE PRESIDENT: If you listen, you can repeat after me:	9 10	
10			subsidiary that owned NRD shares to Spalena; correct?
11	I solemnly declare upon my honour can you repeat		A. Correct. Not very long after that, very early in the
12	this?	12	new year, I went for an eye op and Mr Marshall
13	THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour.	13	terminated my services
14	THE PRESIDENT: And conscience.		Q. While you were
15	THE WITNESS: And conscience.		A when I came back to Rwanda.
16	THE PRESIDENT: That I shall speak the truth, the whole		Q. While you were the managing director under Starck's
17	truth and nothing but the truth.	17	ultimate ownership, there was no one from the Starck
18	THE WITNESS: That I shall speak the truth, the whole truth	18	organisation on the ground in Rwanda supervising your
19	and nothing but the truth.	19	efforts; correct?
20	THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.		A. No, there was not.
21	Direct examination by MR HILL		Q. When
22	MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were just give me one moment.		A. Did you hear that? You heard my reply?
23	You've provided three witness statements, haven't you:		Q. I did, and if I pause I apologise, I'll probably
24	a witness statement, a supplemental witness statement,	24	pause for other reasons, but I'm pausing right now
25	and one that you provided which the Tribunal should have	25	because you're freezing and I can't tell if you could
	Page 137		Page 139
	1420137		Tuge 157
18.23 1	had today?	18.26 1	hear me. I'm sorry if I sound like I'm stuttering but
18:23 1	had today?	18:26 1	hear me. I'm sorry if I sound like I'm stuttering but
2	A. Yes, those are my statements.	2	your picture is I'm doing the best I can.
2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li></ul>	2 3	your picture is I'm doing the best I can. A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li><li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement</li></ul>	2 3 4	your picture is I'm doing the best I can. A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection. Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD,
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li><li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	your picture is I'm doing the best I can. A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection. Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li><li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li><li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li><li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li><li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li><li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li><li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the office together because you were away for an eye</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Ehlers.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the office together because you were away for an eye operation and when you came back you were immediately</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the office together because you were away for an eye operation and when you came back you were immediately terminated?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. I would like to pick right up with the question to</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the office together because you were away for an eye operation and when you came back you were immediately terminated?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is as I remember it. In the period that</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure. Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. I would like to pick right up with the question to confirm, you began in the position of managing director</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the office together because you were away for an eye operation and when you came back you were immediately terminated?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is as I remember it. In the period that I was in South Africa, we might have spoken by telephone</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, those are my statements.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Have we had one today?</li> <li>MR KAPLAN: Yes, there was a supplemental witness statement of Mr Ehlers that was emailed to the Tribunal during the first break today.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Alright.</li> <li>MR HILL: Just to be clear, for the Tribunal, that's the one we were directed to provide dealing with the cooperation agreement.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Oh, yes, yes, no, I've have seen that. Thank you, yes.</li> <li>MR HILL: Mr Ehlers, you were the former managing director of NRD, or Natural Resources Development Rwandan Limited, weren't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>MR HILL: Now, if you wait there, Mr Cowley, who acts for the Claimant, is going to ask you some questions.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Cross-examination by MR COWLEY</li> <li>MR COWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr Ehlers.</li> <li>A. Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. I would like to pick right up with the question to</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>your picture is I'm doing the best I can.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I've just got a very bad connection.</li> <li>Q. When Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, Mr Marshall came to Kigali and was on the ground as CEO above you at the company for that limited period of time; correct?</li> <li>A. My services were terminated as soon as I returned to Rwanda, so I never really reported to Mr Marshall.</li> <li>Q. So you're suggesting after the Christmas break at the end of 2010, you didn't come back to Rwanda until March or later, when you were terminated?</li> <li>A. I can't remember the exact dates, but I know I went for an eye operation. I went to South Africa for an eye operation. I had a cataract in my one eye and that was removed.</li> <li>Q. So, as you understand it today, do you agree that from the point where Spalena acquired the parent company of NRD, you and Mr Marshall spent virtually no time in the office together because you were away for an eye operation and when you came back you were immediately terminated?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is as I remember it. In the period that</li> </ul>

18:28 1	have communication.	18:31 1	answer he was giving rather than being cut off.
2	Q. And Mr Marshall instructed you before you returned and	2	A. Sorry, I didn't hear that. Is that a question for me?
3	were informed of your termination, that you should not	3	THE PRESIDENT: Mr Ehlers, if you wish to add something,
4	be returning to the country in order to work	4	please do so.
5	A. Correct.	5	A. Oh, what I wanted to say, this letter was brought to me
6	Q. Let me just finish the whole question	6	and Mr Quam told me to sign it because there were still
7	A. Correct.	7	monies owing to me, and I signed it. He said nothing
8	Q. You should not be returning to the country in order to	8	can proceed if I don't sign it, so I signed it in the
9	continue working for NRD; correct?	9	hope of getting my severance package.
10	A. Correct.	10	MR COWLEY: Now, you say that you had a position at the time
11	Q. Nevertheless, you came back to Rwanda; correct?	11	that the company owed you a specific amount of money for
12	A. Correct.	12	services already; correct?
13	Q. And that's when you were informed of your termination;	13	A. Yes.
14	correct?	14	Q. And you say that in your witness statement; correct?
15	A. Correct.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Can I ask that C-173 be brought up. Now, I'm going to	16	Q. And now you just described being told that if you sign
17	ask you preliminarily, Mr Ehlers, I want to get	17	it, you'll get severance, an amount for leaving
18	an understanding of, given the device you're on, what is	18	A. Well, maybe I'm not using the right terminology. If
19	it that you can see in terms of a document that's been	19	I sign it, I will get the money that was owing to me.
20	brought up?	20	Q. Now, you'll agree, sir, that of all the documents
21	A. I can see the "Natural Resources Development" right down	21	attached to and referenced in your three witness
22	to "This resolution being adopted by unanimous	22	statements, you don't attach any document in which
23	written"	23	a statement that the company owes you money for services
24	Q. Thank you. Very descriptive. Can you see it in large	24	already provided as of March 5th, 2011, has been
25	enough print to actually read it?	25	provided to this Tribunal; correct?
	·····go	-	1
	Page 141		Page 143
18:29 1	A. Yes.	18:33 1	A. I can't remember exactly what I put in there, but
2	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could	2	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies
	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI		I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.
2	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li><li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li></ul>	2	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you
2 3	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li><li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at</li> </ul>	2 3 4	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li><li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently.</li><li>Do you recall when you signed various witness</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li><li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently.</li><li>Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD;</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes. A (overspeaking) as I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes. A (overspeaking) as I recall. Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li> <li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. <ul> <li>Do you recall when you signed various witness</li> <li>statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Oh yes <ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A (overspeaking) as I recall.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes. A (overspeaking) as I recall. Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to address whether or not that's your signature on that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes. A (overspeaking) as I recall. Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you did not actually provide a copy of any documentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to address whether or not that's your signature on that day?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li> <li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. <ul> <li>Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Oh yes <ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A (overspeaking) as I recall.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you did not actually provide a copy of any documentation between you and the company or you and anyone else in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to address whether or not that's your signature on that day?</li> <li>A. No, that is my signature. It was brought to me by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li> <li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. <ul> <li>Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Oh yes <ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A (overspeaking) as I recall.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you did not actually provide a copy of any documentation between you and the company or you and anyone else in which a claim as of March 2011 had been documented that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to address whether or not that's your signature on that day?</li> <li>A. No, that is my signature. It was brought to me by Mr Quam</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes. A (overspeaking) as I recall. Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you did not actually provide a copy of any documentation between you and the company or you and anyone else in which a claim as of March 2011 had been documented that money was owed to you; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to address whether or not that's your signature on that day?</li> <li>A. No, that is my signature. It was brought to me by Mr Quam</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I just wanted to make sure you didn't have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly. Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents? A. Oh yes Q. Yes. A (overspeaking) as I recall. Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you did not actually provide a copy of any documentation between you and the company or you and anyone else in which a claim as of March 2011 had been documented that money was owed to you; correct? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that document, and I could ask FTI</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. Yes, I have read it before.</li> <li>Q. And if you scroll it down. Scroll it down a little bit further, please, I'm sorry. Is that your signature at the very bottom, handwritten signature?</li> <li>A. Yes, on 5th March, that is my signature.</li> <li>Q. And you understood when you were signing it that you were acknowledging being provided notice on that date that you were terminated as managing director of NRD; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Now</li> <li>A. If I</li> <li>Q. If I may ask questions, I'm sure if there is is there something that you need to follow up on in order to address whether or not that's your signature on that day?</li> <li>A. No, that is my signature. It was brought to me by Mr Quam</li> <li>Q. Thank you. I just wanted to make sure you didn't have anything else to say in answer to my last question.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>I think in my statement I did say that there was monies owing to me. I can't remember exactly.</li> <li>Q. I asked a poor question if you thought that I meant you didn't say it in a statement. Let me ask it differently. <ul> <li>Do you recall when you signed various witness statements that there were exhibits, other documents, documents from the company or somewhere else, that you reference in your statement, but they were otherwise existing documents?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Oh yes <ul> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A (overspeaking) as I recall.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that while your witness statement says that you signed this thinking that it's because they had to pay you money and that's why you signed it, that you did not actually provide a copy of any documentation between you and the company or you and anyone else in which a claim as of March 2011 had been documented that money was owed to you; correct?</li> </ul> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And nevertheless, when you signed that statement</li>

18:34 1	I started it, I'll return to it in a moment.	18:37 1	you were keeping it for a period of time; correct?
2	But if we could scroll back up, can we confirm that	2	A. Yes, what did you I didn't hear that last sentence?
3	you read the statement that is there before signing?	3	Q. Certainly, I'll ask it again. You understood,
4	A. Yes.	4	after March 5th, 2011, having signed this document, that
5	Q. And you'll agree that when you read it, you recognised	5	you were going to hold that laptop with all the
6	that the statement itself doesn't say: and the company	6	confidential information and company information it may
7	acknowledges that it owes you money currently and will	7	have had on it as of that day, you were going to hold
8	pay it; correct?	8	that for some period of time; correct?
9	A. That's correct.	9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. As of March 4th, the day before you signed this, you	10	
10	believed you were excuse me, that was poorly worded,	10	going to hold it until the company made good on paying
11	let me start over.	11	
12	As of March 4th, 2011, you were the managing	12	A. No, I didn't specify an amount, but I was waiting for
13	director of NRD; correct?	13	
14	A. Yes.	14	my Q. I'm sorry, you did freeze there. I don't know if I'm
			the only one who didn't hear your answer but I assume
16	Q. And you understood and believed that, despite the sale	16	
17	of the parent company from Spalena to excuse me	17	
18	you understood and believed that despite the sale of the	18	
19	parent company from Starck to Spalena, your position	19	A. Please, just do the question again?
20	didn't change on the day of the sale, you remained in	20	Q. Okay. When you maintained the laptop, when you
21	the same position with the same responsibilities;	21	continued to hold it, you thought you were going to hold
22	correct?	22	
23	A. Correct.	23	money by the company that you thought you were
24	Q. And you understood as part of your position throughout	24	expecting; correct?
25	as managing director, you had a company-owned laptop;	25	A. That's correct.
	Page 145		Page 147
18:36 1	correct?	18:39 1	Q. But will you agree with me, sir, that at no point did
18:36 1 2	correct? A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.	18:39 1 2	Q. But will you agree with me, sir, that at no point did you ever document, before or after that day: here is the
2	A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.	2	you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the
2 3	<ul><li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li><li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD</li></ul>	2 3	you ever document, before or after that day: here is the
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li><li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li></ul>	2 3 4	you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li><li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li><li>A. That's correct.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li><li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li><li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li><li>A. That's correct.</li><li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li><li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li><li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li><li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li><li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those responsibilities, you had access to on your laptop,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do not think there was payroll information on the computer.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those responsibilities, you had access to on your laptop, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do not think there was payroll information on the computer. That was kept by the accounts department.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those responsibilities, you had access to on your laptop, correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\end{array}$	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do not think there was payroll information on the computer. That was kept by the accounts department.</li> <li>Q. Okay, if I could draw your attention back to the body of</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those responsibilities, you had access to on your laptop, correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And so you knew when you were retaining, holding onto</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do not think there was payroll information on the computer. That was kept by the accounts department.</li> <li>Q. Okay, if I could draw your attention back to the body of the text that starts "Whereas", it purports to state</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those responsibilities, you had access to on your laptop, correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And so you knew when you were retaining, holding onto the laptop after March 5th, 2011, you had a lot of very</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\end{array}$	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do not think there was payroll information on the computer. That was kept by the accounts department.</li> <li>Q. Okay, if I could draw your attention back to the body of the text that starts "Whereas", it purports to state that the company is taking some action as a result of</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Just please repeat that. You broke up.</li> <li>Q. The entire time you served as managing director for NRD you had a company-owned laptop; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And you used that to do company business as managing director; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And I don't want to be pedantic and ask in any detail, but can we agree that as managing director you had the ultimate responsibility for the day-to-day operations below chairman, below the highest authority for the company, you had all the other responsibilities and everybody else in the company ultimately reported to you first; correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of that, a lot of confidential company information, employee information, other things that you would have to come across to carry out those responsibilities, you had access to on your laptop, correct?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. And so you knew when you were retaining, holding onto</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>you ever document, before or after that day: here is the money I am owed, so it has to be paid before you get the company laptop back?</li> <li>A. That's right, I didn't submit any documentation, I merely told Mr Quam: I will return your computers and stuff once I've been paid.</li> <li>Q. And you didn't specify a figure?</li> <li>A. I didn't specify an amount, but I was on a I was on a contract, so if it was so much per month, or so much per week, or per day, I had never submitted an invoice to NRD.</li> <li>Q. And you'll agree that, because you were holding onto the company records, including access to whatever payroll or employee information was on your laptop as the managing director, you'll agree that documentation that you had also didn't include some line items somewhere that specified an amount owed to you?</li> <li>A. No. No, I didn't. I can't remember exactly, but I do not think there was payroll information on the computer. That was kept by the accounts department.</li> <li>Q. Okay, if I could draw your attention back to the body of the text that starts "Whereas", it purports to state</li> </ul>

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan

10/11 1		10 44 1	
18:41 1	A. Can you just excuse me, please, for a minute. Just	18:44 1	that those were very serious allegations and you
2	my little dog has entered the room. Let me get him out	2	
3	of here, okay? Please. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, you're not allowed to have dogs in the	3	I'm not suggesting that that meant you said (overspeaking)?
4 5	room!	4	A. Oh, yes.
			Q. I'm sorry, I spoke over you and I did not mean to.
6 7	A. Thank you, I'm back. Can you see me? THE PRESIDENT: Yes, sir.	6 7	A. Yes. I I didn't agree
8	A. We were talking about that paragraph "Whereas".	8	Q. Mr Ehlers, I don't know if you are speaking but
9	MR COWLEY: Right, and you'll see the references there that	9	A. Sorry, no, I didn't agree with the allegations but
10	in setting up why the company is taking the specific	10	
10	action identified below, it's because, according to the	10	Q. You're frozen, so I'm hoping and waiting that
11	company, your return could cause great harm to the	11	
12	company, your return could cause great nam to the	12	
13	see that?	13	
14	A. I see that.	14	-
15	Q. And we could all see that it doesn't specify: here's	15	
10	what we say he did, or can do that constitutes those	10	
18	characterisations, it doesn't say it there; correct?	17	
10	A. Yes.	10	
20	Q. But you knew at the time that this was delivered and you	20	
21	signed it, you knew what the reason why the company	21	the reasons for this action made you angry; correct?
22	was saying it was taking this action, because it was	22	
23	reported to you; correct?	23	
24	A. Yes.	24	
25	Q. And Mr Marshall, and perhaps others, let you know that	25	Q. And it's your testimony that they didn't anger you?
	D 140		D 161
	Page 149		Page 151
18:43 1	what was reported to you was based on what was	18:46 1	A. Oh yes, I was upset. Angry.
2	characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of	2	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the
2 3	characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that	2 3	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right
2 3 4	characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these	2 3 4	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd
2 3 4 5	characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they	2 3 4 5	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to
2 3 4 5 6	characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li><li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li><li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li><li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li><li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li><li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li><li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li><li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li><li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li><li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations;</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. But</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community, didn't you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. I don't want to dwell too much on specifically what it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I did.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. I don't want to dwell too much on specifically what it is, but I wanted the record to be clear that you knew</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I did.</li> <li>Q. Could I ask that C-176 be brought up, please?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. I don't want to dwell too much on specifically what it is, but I wanted the record to be clear that you knew what the company was talking about, even though this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I did.</li> <li>Q. Could I ask that C-176 be brought up, please? Sorry, I'm just trying to catch up to you with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. I don't want to dwell too much on specifically what it is, but I wanted the record to be clear that you knew what the company was talking about, even though this document doesn't say it. So thank you for doing that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I did.</li> <li>Q. Could I ask that C-176 be brought up, please? Sorry, I'm just trying to catch up to you with a version that I could open and read that's large enough</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>characterised as an investigation by people on behalf of the company. They came up with certain information that they said in the company's position met these definitions and they communicated those to you but they didn't put them in writing; correct?</li> <li>A. Sorry, I'm not quite following what you're asking there.</li> <li>Q. The what was reported to you as the reasons was it was also explained to you that they were based on some people on behalf of the company doing what they considered to be an investigation. It looked into background facts and it had certain allegations; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And those allegations were communicated to you orally at least one time before you signed this; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. I don't want to dwell too much on specifically what it is, but I wanted the record to be clear that you knew what the company was talking about, even though this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. I'm going to put aside the allegations, at least for the time being, and let's say, regardless of who is right and who is wrong about those specific allegations, I'd like to focus on what we do know the company talked to you about and what was on the table, so to speak, in your limited time with the company before being terminated after Spalena bought it. So can we agree that among the responsibilities you held whilst serving in the role of managing director was to manage the other employees of the company?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And as a result of the role of having all the other groups, all the other operational departments and groups within the company all reporting ultimately to you, either directly or indirectly, you understood your position to be both the internal voice and face of the company to its employees, and external to the community, didn't you?</li> <li>A. Yes, I did.</li> <li>Q. Could I ask that C-176 be brought up, please? Sorry, I'm just trying to catch up to you with a version that I could open and read that's large enough for myself. That is the wrong one. No, my fault, not</li> </ul>

18:48 1	You're familiar with having seen this statement by	18:52 1	Q. Pascal? Is that who you referred to, Innocent Pascal?
2	Valery Mpongo before; correct?	2	A. I can't remember Innocent's surname was Mupenzi, and
3	A. Yes, yes, I saw it.	3	then Bernard Ndolo, he was also one of the site
4	Q. And in your witness statement you address the Claimants'	4	managers.
5	description of how this factored into a decision to	5	Q. Okay, take your time. Familiarise yourself with the
6	terminate you; correct?	6	email as much as you need to, my question is a bit more
7	A. That this factored into a decision to terminate me? No,	7	high level than the details of the individuals. You
8	I wasn't aware of that.	8	will agree that this email chain
9	Q. Okay. You addressed the allegation that Claimants make	9	A. Yes.
10	about the meaning of this statement already in a witness	10	Q is discussing an issue that at least some people
11	statement; correct?	11	thought was becoming of growing import among employees
	A. Yes.	12	of NRD?
13	Q. And other than taking issue with the fact that American	13	A. If I remember correctly, this was about a genocide
13	lawyers erroneously associate the name Valery with	13	statement, or a genocide mentality, which is a very
15	a female, for which we apologise to Mr Mpongo, you, in	15	serious thing in Rwanda.
15	reviewing this, did acknowledge that in fact you had	15	Q. Fair enough, and I appreciate I'm going in a little bit
			different direction than you, so just focus. All
17	an altercation, a physical altercation with Mr Mpongo;	17	• • •
18	correct?	18	I'm trying to establish is, do you agree that this email
19	A. That's correct.	19	chain related to something that was going on among
20	Q. And you admit becoming physical and striking him;	20	employees of the company?
21	correct?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes, I did.	22	Q. I think you said "Yes"; is that correct? You froze.
23	Q. And Mr Mpongo was an employee of the company; correct?	23	A. Yes, I did say "Yes".
24	A. That's correct.	24	Q. And then I want to focus on the last email on the chain,
25	Q. Would you agree, sir, that for any company, to have	25	the email from you in which you start by saying:
	D 152		Dec. 155
	Page 153		Page 155
			·
10.50 1		10 54 1	
18:50 1	a managing director who has going forward responsibility	18:54 1	"I have seen this over and over again. These people
2	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say	2	cannot work together. They need a white person to
2 3	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each	2 3	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who
2 3 4	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike	2 3 4	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."
2 3 4 5	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line	2 3 4 5	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." I'm going to leave that for a moment and then
2 3 4 5 6	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who	2 3 4 5 6	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for
2 3 4 5 6 7	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to	2 3 4 5 6 7	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." I'm going to leave that for a moment and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who	2 3 4 5 6	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for
2 3 4 5 6 7	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to	2 3 4 5 6 7	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." <ul> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." <ul> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could <ul> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178, and we will do this one at a time so if you scroll</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." <ul> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could <ul> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> <li>A. Please, just read it.</li> <li>Q. Is that better?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178, and we will do this one at a time so if you scroll down to the bottom we can see what C-178 is. It's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." <ul> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could <ul> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> </ul> </li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> <li>A. Please, just read it.</li> <li>Q. Is that better?</li> <li>A. Sentence by sentence. No, it's still really bad.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178, and we will do this one at a time so if you scroll down to the bottom we can see what C-178 is. It's an internal email chain, and the ultimate subject of</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." <ul> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> <li>A. Sentence by sentence. No, it's still really bad.</li> <li>Q. Let me try it a different way. Focus not on what you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178, and we will do this one at a time so if you scroll down to the bottom we can see what C-178 is. It's an internal email chain, and the ultimate subject of a handful of emails was the reaction that a situation at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> <li>A. Sentence by sentence. No, it's still really bad.</li> <li>Q. Let me try it a different way. Focus not on what you can't read, but I'm just going to tell you some details</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178, and we will do this one at a time so if you scroll down to the bottom we can see what C-178 is. It's an internal email chain, and the ultimate subject of a handful of emails was the reaction that a situation at which Mr Pascal was at the centre appeared to be growing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English." <ul> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then</li> <li>I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> <li>A. Sentence by sentence. No, it's still really bad.</li> <li>Q. Let me try it a different way. Focus not on what you can't read, but I'm just going to tell you some details of this because I'm only trying to establish if you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>to manage all the employees and ultimately have a say over all their various altercations and issues with each other, to cross the line and physically strike an employee as part of your own judgment crosses a line that can't be permitted? You can't have someone who would exercise their role, their authority, continue to be managing director, once that happens; can you agree with that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can I ask that C-178 be brought up, and C-177. Now, looking first at C-178, and because they're side-by-side, please let me know now, are they large enough for you to read at all?</li> <li>A. No, they're very small. Could I see 177 first?</li> <li>Q. My mistake, I should have recognised you are on a different device. Could FTI please close C-177 so we can make C-178, and we will do this one at a time so if you scroll down to the bottom we can see what C-178 is. It's an internal email chain, and the ultimate subject of a handful of emails was the reaction that a situation at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>cannot work together. They need a white person to supervise them. Zuzana get me the white shift boss who speaks English."</li> <li>I'm going to leave that for a moment and then I'm going to ask that the second doc that I called for before, C-177, be brought up. Do you recognise this email?</li> <li>A. Could you just expand that? Yes. It's very, very faint.</li> <li>Q. Is there any way, FTI, that you could</li> <li>A. No, it's a bad copy to start with.</li> <li>MR BRODSKY: I'm attempting to pull up a clearer copy. It might help a little bit but not much.</li> <li>MR COWLEY: If not, then give up and I think I can speed up by reading because it's short.</li> <li>FTI, shall I just read? Oh, sorry.</li> <li>A. Sentence by sentence. No, it's still really bad.</li> <li>Q. Let me try it a different way. Focus not on what you can't read, but I'm just going to tell you some details</li> </ul>

r			
18:56 1	The email is actually dated the day after you signed	18:59 1	MR COWLEY: Isn't it accurate to say that from the time you
2	the document we looked at a moment ago, you sent this	2	were fired, you immediately focused on how to get
3	email on March 6th, 2011, the subject is "Issues raised	3	Rod Marshall back for his view, how he treated you, and
4	by Julius", it's to Rod Marshall, and it says:	4	terminating you?
5	"I faintly heard that you said that Julius said that	5 A. No. No. I think no, I don't agree with that.	
6	I was aware of all the issues that he was being accused	6	I think I would say that you are referring to
7	of. I have told you many times when you have a hearing	7	the licence application I put in for Nemba.
8	with anyone especially a Rwandan get all the parties	8	MR COWLEY: Mr President, I am going to move on to
	together these people are natural born liars."	8	a different topic. It is right at the time, I want to
9	0 1 1		
10	That's the first sentence of the email to Rod on	10	recognise that.
11	that date. Do you recall the email and what it was	11	THE PRESIDENT: Yes, could you just assist me, because
12	talking about?	12	I have had some difficulty in following the relevance of
13	A. Oh yes, yes, yes. I recall that very well.	13	this, unless all this cross-examination has been what we
14	Q. So can you agree with me, sir, that Mr Marshall, as	14	lawyers call cross-examination as to credit; is that
15	chairman, once exposed to your viewpoint, and	15	what it's been?
16	understanding how that would impact and potentially	16	MR COWLEY: Exactly.
17	filter into interactions with the employees of this	17	THE PRESIDENT: I see. Very well. Well, we will now break
18	company going forward, simply had no choice but to	18	until tomorrow morning. I'm sorry, Mr Ehlers, but we'll
19	terminate you because he could not leave you in the	19	have to request you to come back tomorrow if you can do
20	position of managing the employees of NRD? Can you	20	so.
21	agree with that?	21	MR COWLEY: Mr President.
22	A. No. I can't agree with it. I can't agree with that.	22	A. Sure. Sure.
23	Mining is a it's not an easy business, and of	23	MR COWLEY: Mr President
24	course tempers do fray, and I did lose my temper with	24	THE PRESIDENT: And in the meanwhile, it's one of our rules
25	Valery, and what I was trying to say to Mr Marshall is,	25	that you mustn't talk about the case over the
	Page 157		Page 159
	č		C C
18:58 1	if he has a hearing, just get all the parties present.	19:00 1	adjournment. I'm sure that won't cause you any
18:58 1 2	if he has a hearing, just get all the parties present. Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on	19:00 1 2	adjournment. I'm sure that won't cause you any distress.
2	Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on	2	distress.
2 3	Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.	2 3	distress. A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li><li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li></ul>	2 3 4	distress. A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li><li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li><li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	distress. A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case. THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>distress.</li><li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li><li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>distress.</li><li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li><li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone.</li><li>I want to say one thing before we go off the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>distress.</li><li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li><li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li><li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone.</li><li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. T'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that what you're saying, I'm still angry at Mr Marshall?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good night.</li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. T'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that what you're saying, I'm still angry at Mr Marshall?</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good night. <ul> <li>Right, we shall adjourn until tomorrow. Not</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that what you're saying, I'm still angry at Mr Marshall?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: That is what he is saying, yes.</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good night. <ul> <li>Right, we shall adjourn until tomorrow. Not tomorrow, until Monday.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that what you're saying, I'm still angry at Mr Marshall?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: That is what he is saying, yes.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I'm not angry with him. I stayed on in</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good night. <ul> <li>Right, we shall adjourn until tomorrow. Not tomorrow, until Monday.</li> <li>It was going to be tomorrow, but it's not going to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that what you're saying, I'm still angry at Mr Marshall?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: That is what he is saying, yes.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, no, I'm not angry with him. I stayed on in Rwanda for quite a few years after this. I'm not angry</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. <ul> <li>I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. <ul> <li>But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yery well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good night. <ul> <li>Right, we shall adjourn until tomorrow. Not tomorrow, until Monday.</li> <li>It was going to be tomorrow, but it's not going to be tomorrow.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>Q. And I'm not quibbling with that, sir, I was focusing on something a little bit more high level.</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm listening.</li> <li>Q. Having been exposed to your viewpoint about the nature of people, and understanding that you're talking about employees of the company</li> <li>A. Correct. Correct.</li> <li>Q that with that viewpoint, you simply cannot be permitted to be the voice and face of NRD with its employees or with the community; that it simply could not be permitted. Can you agree with that view?</li> <li>A. Well, that was no, that was Mr Marshall's opinion, not mine.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it accurate to say that to this day you're still very angry at Rod Marshall?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I'm angry?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. You're breaking up. I'm angry at Mr Marshall? Is that what you're saying, I'm still angry at Mr Marshall?</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: That is what he is saying, yes.</li> <li>A. No, no, no, I'm not angry with him. I stayed on in Rwanda for quite a few years after this. I'm not angry with him. I just, you know, he's just it's no</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	<ul> <li>distress.</li> <li>A. No, I haven't spoken to anybody in Rwanda about this case.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.</li> <li>A. I can't remember having contact with anyone. I want to say one thing before we go off the subject.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Yes.</li> <li>A. Mr Valery Mpongo contacted me about five or six months ago, wanting to know he said he was now in the DRC and could I buy any minerals from him. So it's not as if, you know, from the we're certainly not I don't think if he hated me he would have approached me to buy minerals. So I didn't have a chance to say that. But, anyway, we can carry on talking tomorrow.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Very well, have a good evening.</li> <li>A. Thank you, good night.</li> <li>THE PRESIDENT: Good night. Right, we shall adjourn until tomorrow. Not tomorrow, until Monday. It was going to be tomorrow, but it's not going to be tomorrow.</li> <li>(7.02 pm)</li> </ul>

19:02 1 Monday, 28th June 2021) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	
19:02 1 Monday, 28th June 2021) 2 3	
2 3	
$\frac{2}{3}$	
3	
4	
5	
6	
8	
9	
10	
11 12 13	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
18	
18 19	
17	
20	
21	
22	
21 22 23	
24	
24 25	
25	
Page 161	

Friday, 25 June 2021

•	101-21	addressed 153:9	142.20 144.15	amount 15,10 02,25	155.16
<u>A</u>	101:21		143:20 144:15	amount 45:19 93:25	155:16
Aaronson 2:7,7,8,8	accounting 62:19,24	addressing 105:22	145:5 146:10 148:1	100:12 125:18	Approach 23:7
abbreviate 117:21	63:6,9 64:5,11,16	adjourn 160:20	148:13,16 151:7,9	143:11,17 147:12	approached 121:17
abbreviation 94:13	65:1 67:4,6,22	adjourned 82:12	152:8 153:25 154:8	147:13 148:9,18	160:14
ability 28:11	68:16,19 69:1,6	160:25	155:8,18 157:14,21	analysing 68:16	appropriate 34:12
able 6:18 10:5,5 26:1	70:7,14,20 71:1	adjournment 160:1	157:22,22 158:12	analysis 16:21	41:25
40:17 53:20 54:8,21	76:12 85:16 87:2	admit 153:20	159:5	anger 151:25	appropriately 125:10
60:19 81:16 86:21	89:7	admitted 37:17	agreeable 28:3	angry 109:5 151:18,21	approval 89:9
93:5 125:18 128:2	accounts 25:16 67:15	adopted 141:22	agreed 27:24 37:17	151:23 152:1	approvals 59:20 60:2
135:6	148:21	advance 2:21 3:8	70:9 77:16	158:16,17,19,20,22	approve 59:15
abolished 30:15	accruals 69:5	36:25 41:10	agreeing 67:25 79:15	158:23	approved 59:3,9
about 10:18 11:4 15:2	accumulated 124:25	advantage 127:13	101:6	ANNA 2:23	approximately 18:14
15:20 16:6 19:16,18	accurate 60:19 61:1	advised 14:13 38:18	agreement 91:6,15	Anne-Marie 1:23	18:15 117:9
20:16 22:25 31:20	71:12 80:19 85:6	affairs 90:13	92:23 105:23 106:3	annual 90:6	app.box.com 120:19
31:25 32:25 33:24	106:7 109:21	affect 72:3	106:19,20,24 107:7	anomalies 10:1	April 21:4,5 22:4
37:6 38:5,7,17	132:19 158:15	affidavit 33:6	107:9,19,23,25	another 33:8 90:11	103:22
51:23 52:7,9 54:20	159:1	affirmation 103:11	138:10	106:14 109:15	arbitration 1:1,1 40:
54:24 59:19 60:1,2	accurately 15:11	afraid 112:17	agro-industrial 12:16	156:25	40:13 91:23
63:3 64:2,6 68:9	accused 157:6	Africa 140:14,24	Ah 48:23 81:21	answer 2:4 8:14 13:11	ARB/18/21 1:4
69:14 70:14 71:5	acknowledge 96:12	African 119:21	ahead 5:7 9:23 37:9	15:13 32:18 33:24	areas 20:14,14
79:1,4 81:17 82:16	102:25 105:13	after 9:8 10:9,15	aid 136:8	47:11,14,14 49:22	argue 38:5
83:24 85:21 91:24	126:19 153:16	13:23 17:8,15 18:4	aided 33:22	52:6 68:13 69:19	argument 35:14 37:1
92:4 99:15 101:12	acknowledged 57:25	19:13 20:16 58:20	Aime 3:17 55:22 56:2	71:4 75:2 129:10	37:20
	acknowledges 145:7	59:2,11 60:21 70:2	70:3	142:23 143:1	arise 35:3 41:19
101:14,14 102:6	acknowledging	75:16 84:23 117:19	air 49:18	147:16,18	arises 35:13 36:18
106:14 109:5 110:7	142:10 144:24	124:9 131:18	ALASTAIR 2:6	answers 77:23	41:16
110:15,18,19 111:6	acquainted 26:16	137:10 139:11	ALEX 1:22	ANTHONY 4:1	army 119:24,25 120:
112:13,20,20	acquired 57:4,13	140:10 146:24	Alice 64:9	136:22	120:8 121:9
117:10 118:25	140:4,18	147:4 148:2 152:8	allegation 153:9	anticipated 2:23	around 2:13 71:16,1
129:25 132:6	acquiring 57:1	157:1 158:23	allegations 73:12	anybody 160:3	85:14 94:3 114:20
134:15 149:8	acquisition 91:16 96:2		8	anyone 88:1,18	117:4 133:22
150:22 152:4,6	-	afternoon 1:4 30:7	150:12,15,25 151:1		
153:10 155:13	acres 103:21,23 104:2	55:23 56:1,19	151:2,9,10,17,20	144:19 157:8 160:6	arrival 59:3
157:12 158:5,6	104:5,23,23	138:21,22	152:2,4	anything 5:12 10:4	arrived 18:7 26:9
159:25 160:3,10	across 21:17,20	afterwards 45:10	allegedly 127:5	29:2 35:16 36:24	57:16 58:2 59:11
above 75:8 84:25	146:19	again 1:13 11:16	alleging 95:9	37:9 48:3 60:1	61:7 83:2,7
140:6	acted 149:13	33:20 36:23 37:24	allow 61:23	68:17 74:5,7 76:11	arriving 57:11 58:20
abroad 16:25	acting 36:16 57:6	38:8 39:16 47:18,20	allowances 98:7	76:24 77:7 89:1	artillery 121:3
absence 50:24	87:23 118:19 131:8	61:6 70:25 73:3	allowed 21:22 41:4	92:3,8 95:25 103:3	artisan 124:5
absolutely 17:20	action 10:1 56:16	75:23 79:15 89:12	91:21 149:4	106:1 109:17	artisanal 15:17 100::
24:18 102:15 103:4	148:24 149:11,22	97:5 106:11 108:16	almost 57:3 61:19	134:25 142:23	100:21 126:21
103:9	151:21	108:24,25 114:5,6	62:7 133:19	anyway 20:22 77:5	ascertain 67:1
accept 37:3,4 78:20	actions 9:13 92:4	119:1 125:12	alone 29:16,19 104:8	160:16	ascribe 56:23
accepted 135:4	active 4:23	128:19 147:3,19	along 63:16 64:1	anywhere 77:25 103:2	Asian 18:22
access 15:6 47:7,8,24	activities 18:9 43:9,18	156:1	121:9	apologies 42:5 75:23	aside 106:13 152:2
48:1 77:11 80:10	43:23 45:7,8,9 51:5	against 14:19 151:2	aloud 55:25	apologise 2:21 6:22	asked 16:5 24:13,14
88:2,6,19 89:1 90:1	78:7 80:7 95:8,12	agency 37:5,8	already 11:10 47:3	30:17 35:8 47:16	36:25 38:17 47:15
91:14 146:20	115:8 123:5 134:24	agent 92:4,5	56:24,25 57:13 61:9	52:5 56:19,21 58:6	54:20,24 61:5 64:2
148:14	activity 46:5 48:14	ago 39:6,15 94:9	61:15 63:24 81:5	58:10 68:6,9 76:5	65:5 71:7 74:23
	102:2,16,23 103:12	110:22 112:9,13	83:8 94:21 106:11	89:13 106:6,8,11	83:24 87:13 89:1
			143:12.24 153:10	107:15 108:15.24	91:11 92:3 111:5
accompanied 92:22	107:21	157:2 160:11	143:12,24 153:10 alright 1:14 2:5 15 17	107:15 108:15,24 110:25 115:2	91:11 92:3 111:5 134·15 144·4
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17	157:2 160:11 <b>agree</b> 44:17,21,24	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17	110:25 115:2	134:15 144:4
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24	157:2 160:11 <b>agree</b> 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7	<b>alright</b> 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23	134:15 144:4 <b>asking</b> 60:24 66:4
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12	<b>alright</b> 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15	134:15 144:4 <b>asking</b> 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18	<b>alright</b> 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 <b>apparently</b> 39:2	134:15 144:4 <b>asking</b> 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 <b>apparently</b> 39:2 <b>appear</b> 15:21 16:8	134:15 144:4 <b>asking</b> 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11	157:2 160:11 <b>agree</b> 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13	157:2 160:11 <b>agree</b> 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12	134:15 144:4 <b>asking</b> 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 <b>asks</b> 39:9 71:5
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 <b>apparently</b> 39:2 <b>appear</b> 15:21 16:8 <b>APPEARANCES</b> 2:1 <b>appeared</b> 46:12 154:23	134:15 144:4 <b>asking</b> 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 <b>asks</b> 39:9 71:5 <b>aspect</b> 101:11
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12 154:23 applicants 22:9	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18 63:10 65:3 75:3	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 <b>apparently</b> 39:2 <b>appear</b> 15:21 16:8 <b>APPEARANCES</b> 2:1 <b>appeared</b> 46:12 154:23 <b>applicants</b> 22:9 <b>application</b> 6:4 40:15	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3 addition 23:7 61:9	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9 86:11 89:24 92:10	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16 111:13	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 <b>apparently</b> 39:2 <b>appear</b> 15:21 16:8 <b>APPEARANCES</b> 2:1 <b>appeared</b> 46:12 154:23 <b>applicants</b> 22:9 <b>application</b> 6:4 40:15 40:24 41:1 49:7	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25 assessment 131:2
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18 63:10 65:3 75:3	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3 addition 23:7 61:9 94:22 95:8 124:3	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9 86:11 89:24 92:10 92:18 94:19 95:20	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16 111:13 amenable 128:11	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12 154:23 applicants 22:9 application 6:4 40:15 40:24 41:1 49:7 159:7	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25 assessment 131:2 135:4
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18 63:10 65:3 75:3 78:14 87:2,20 88:2	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3 addition 23:7 61:9 94:22 95:8 124:3 136:11,14	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9 86:11 89:24 92:10 92:18 94:19 95:20 97:1,4,6,10,23 98:2	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16 111:13 amenable 128:11 American 122:10	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12 154:23 applicants 22:9 application 6:4 40:15 40:24 41:1 49:7 159:7 applications 15:7	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25 assessment 131:2 135:4 assets 80:23 81:7
account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18 63:10 65:3 75:3 78:14 87:2,20 88:2 88:6 90:5 98:18 99:17 107:17 111:7	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3 addition 23:7 61:9 94:22 95:8 124:3 136:11,14 additional 46:25	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9 86:11 89:24 92:10 92:18 94:19 95:20 97:1,4,6,10,23 98:2 98:3,17 104:4,22,24	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16 111:13 amenable 128:11 American 122:10 153:13	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12 154:23 application 6:4 40:15 40:24 41:1 49:7 159:7 applications 15:7 applying 14:14	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25 assessment 131:2 135:4 assets 80:23 81:7 90:20
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18 63:10 65:3 75:3 78:14 87:2,20 88:2 88:6 90:5 98:18 99:17 107:17 111:7 111:17	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3 addition 23:7 61:9 94:22 95:8 124:3 136:11,14 additional 46:25 additionally 29:16	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9 86:11 89:24 92:10 92:18 94:19 95:20 97:1,4,6,10,23 98:2 98:3,17 104:4,22,24 108:1 117:15	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altegether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16 111:13 amenable 128:11 American 122:10 153:13 among 60:7 73:10	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12 154:23 application 6:4 40:15 40:24 41:1 49:7 159:7 applications 15:7 applying 14:14 appointments 61:20	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25 assessment 131:2 135:4 assets 80:23 81:7 90:20 assign 37:16
accompanied 92:22 according 77:25 115:6 116:8 149:11 account 67:15 79:11 85:25 88:25 96:19 accountant 56:8,23 57:9,12,14,15,20,24 58:14,22,25 60:22 61:3,16 62:3,8,11 62:16,17,18,18 63:10 65:3 75:3 78:14 87:2,20 88:2 88:6 90:5 98:18 99:17 107:17 111:7	107:21 acts 114:22 138:17 actually 5:8 28:24 43:6 45:1 46:8 68:8 68:11,13 78:24 88:1 91:2,9 96:4 97:23 97:25 98:19 101:11 119:1 126:13 130:23 141:25 144:18 157:1 add 40:3 86:21 143:3 addition 23:7 61:9 94:22 95:8 124:3 136:11,14 additional 46:25	157:2 160:11 agree 44:17,21,24 45:7,15,21 47:4,7 47:23,25 48:7 49:12 50:7 51:4,10,18 52:8 64:3 65:14 66:4 67:19,19 68:22 69:12,14,21 70:1,13 71:3,20,21,22,25 72:5 73:4 75:2,11 75:13 80:2,21 86:9 86:11 89:24 92:10 92:18 94:19 95:20 97:1,4,6,10,23 98:2 98:3,17 104:4,22,24	alright 1:14 2:5,15,17 7:8,10,11,20 21:25 28:22 33:2,3 94:5 113:21 128:21 138:7 altercation 153:17,17 altercations 154:3 altogether 125:21 always 25:2 51:12 62:25 105:2,16 111:13 amenable 128:11 American 122:10 153:13	110:25 115:2 132:11 139:23 144:25 153:15 apparently 39:2 appear 15:21 16:8 APPEARANCES 2:1 appeared 46:12 154:23 application 6:4 40:15 40:24 41:1 49:7 159:7 applications 15:7 applying 14:14	134:15 144:4 asking 60:24 66:4 69:19 71:5 80:2 87:16 89:9 91:24 92:11 93:21 118:8 150:7 asks 39:9 71:5 aspect 101:11 aspects 41:9 assertion 67:25 assessment 131:2 135:4 assets 80:23 81:7 90:20

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 1

Friday, 25 June 2021

r	1		1		r
159:11	148:22 149:6 159:3	begins 86:16	30:2,7 31:2,3,8	128:10,12,24 129:1	buy 17:6 160:12,14
assistance 10:23 29:17	159:19	<b>behalf</b> 1:24 43:10	32:23 33:14 36:2	129:7,8 136:3 138:6	buyers 18:4 125:1
112:1 135:20	background 9:15	49:13 114:22	39:11	140:10 159:17	<b>BVG's</b> 105:23
assistant 2:23 7:3	5			breaking 158:19	<b>BVG S</b> 105.25
	12:18 35:22 58:16	115:22 116:5 131:9	Bidega's 1:21		
29:10	150:12	133:7,12,17 150:2	BIDWELL 1:11	breakout 5:4 11:6	C
assisted 29:17	bad 80:1,4,5,5 140:3	150:10	big 78:15 107:18	82:9	calculations 62:20
associate 153:14	156:12,20	behind 19:21,22 44:2	108:6 126:23	bridges 48:5 115:20	call 34:5 78:17 109:21
assume 74:22 147:16	bailiff 130:25 131:1,4	124:10	135:15	115:23	159:14
assumption 3:16,18	131:8,16 132:9,18	being 10:17 17:7	bigger 58:10	brief 10:21	called 3:4,7,11,13,17
assure 37:1 39:16	bailiff's 130:3,7	22:11 25:19 28:25	biggest 95:18 96:16	briefly 8:15 13:5	3:22 4:1 3:23 5:17
attach 143:22	balance 86:5	29:11,17 33:23 45:8	<b>billed</b> 45:20	118:2 123:1	7:18 11:20 13:7
attached 31:21 90:12	balanced 28:2	46:24 50:21 51:13	<b>billing</b> 45:11,14	bring 4:19 26:11	29:20 42:10 55:22
143:21	bandwidth 136:18,18	59:10 64:24,24 65:7	<b>billion</b> 94:4,22	27:20,21 31:1 34:16	
attack 132:5	136:19	70:14 73:1 75:25	<b>bills</b> 67:7,8	41:22 43:7 53:19	57:19 113:22
attacked 37:18	Bank 14:5,17	76:15 77:10 80:6,24	<b>binder</b> 68:18 69:24	54:3 55:12 58:5,7	136:22 156:6
				· · · · ·	calling 109:25 110:14
attacking 37:15	bankruptcy 79:5 80:4	81:7,7 83:6,25 92:3	<b>binders</b> 64:23 66:15	83:21 85:18 86:8	came 26:22 39:4 54:5
attempt 40:9 112:11	84:1 85:8 90:18,23	100:19,23 107:9	66:20 67:10 68:14	90:10,25 103:18	72:17 78:5 91:20
130:18	banks 82:19	110:7 141:22	70:4,23 71:9,17,18	108:25 113:4,18	99:8 104:13 107:24
attempting 156:13	Bank's 3:20	142:10 143:1,16	Bisesero 102:3,11,15	116:9 118:22 128:8	131:14 139:15
attendees 129:15	BARBARA 1:12	151:20 152:3,7	102:23 103:2,5,25	129:3,12 131:14	140:5,21 141:11
attention 68:5,11	Barthelemy 3:7 11:8	157:6	104:5,10,13,15,17	bringing 4:21 11:18	150:3
75:22 84:19 85:22	11:19,20,24 12:12	belief 73:24	105:2,24	28:7 42:1 55:14	camera 1:9 28:13
98:8 100:8 102:5	12:14,17 13:14,17	believe 4:23 9:11	Bisyo 22:12	109:15	29:14 34:16 55:20
107:24 148:22	19:10 23:15 24:4,8	32:19 33:20,21 39:8	<b>bit</b> 1:18 35:1 51:24	British 1:2	113:21 136:9,17
attesting 95:14 96:8	26:12 27:12	45:5 46:1 50:15	52:7 54:6 94:7	BRODSKY 2:22	
attorney 99:12	Barthelemy's 26:12	55:18 99:21 105:20	97:20 102:7 118:25	28:14 42:5 50:2	capable 18:11 69:17
<b>audio</b> 6:10,15 7:7 9:19	base 67:16 69:8	114:19 117:8,14,18	136:6 139:2 142:5	55:15,19 108:21	capacity 15:6 36:15
					92:4,5
27:22	based 25:20,24 50:23	122:6 126:13	151:19 155:6,16	113:9 156:13	capital 15:2 90:21
audit 25:15,15,19,21	75:2 80:19 85:3	129:21 130:18	156:14 158:3	broke 146:2 151:19	carried 16:21 17:1
audited 88:15	132:19 150:1,9	131:2,4 132:9,21	black 16:4,15,18	brought 41:12 58:1	22:20 54:20
auditor 25:20,23	basic 37:10	133:6 134:22	17:22	63:12 75:16 83:16	carries 121:3
69:10 89:8	Basically 66:25	believed 145:11,16,18	blacklisted 20:9	95:6 101:25 105:18	carry 15:16 55:2
August 117:16 131:2	133:19	<b>bell</b> 22:2	blast 24:25	107:4 108:9,10	121:5 146:19
Australian 54:4	basis 26:17 33:17	below 119:13 120:12	block 37:22	109:13 127:22	151:15 160:16
authorisation 25:22	48:25 53:17 63:7	120:24 146:12,12	blow 46:8 94:7 119:2	136:5 141:16,20	carrying 54:22,25
authorised 19:14	90:6 99:7 102:14	149:11	blown 126:19	142:20 143:5	100:2
124:18	Bay 1:15 2:4 102:21	Ben 130:13,16 131:9	blue 151:23	152:21 154:11	
authorities 88:18	bear 136:8	131:10,13,18	board 2:9 54:5	156:7	case 1:4 12:22 17:14
authority 30:9,19,23	bearing 7:6	Beneficiation 23:5	Bobcat 103:17,18	BRYAN 2:3	60:6 81:25 87:4
	became 58:24 99:12	benefit 1:20 135:10	-		135:25 159:25
70:6 146:12 154:7			bodies 118:12	bucket 99:22	160:4
available 2:9 11:8	99:17 109:5	Benzinge 130:5,12,13	body 120:12 134:23	<b>budget</b> 22:22 23:4,5,8	cases 127:9 133:23
88:21 112:16	becoming 153:20	130:16 131:9,10,13	134:24 148:22	23:9,11 99:5	cash 90:21 99:5
113:14,19 135:24	155:11	Benzinge's 131:18	bonjour 12:3	build 98:24	cashiers 62:9
avoid 40:1	before 1:10 1:18 2:3,5	Bernard 155:3	bookkeeper 60:7,14	building 43:25 50:12	cassiterite 14:11,14
aware 24:2 44:4 153:8	5:3 6:21 21:9 28:2	best 20:25 21:25 82:17	60:17,18 71:14	51:16 52:10,12 68:3	20:23 25:7,9,13
157:6	30:13,15 37:9 38:22	109:10 140:2	bookkeeping 73:10	102:20 115:23	54:13
away 19:10 79:14	38:23 41:7 47:14	better 15:10 37:5 85:9	born 157:9	buildings 2:6,6 115:18	cataract 140:15
140:20	49:3 54:5 57:1,3,16	91:2 108:25 156:19	Bosco 3:22 113:22	115:20	catch 45:12 152:22
awkwardness 136:8	64:15 65:5 67:1	between 18:12 19:6	131:5,7,7,8	built 45:1 47:4,6 51:7	categories 21:17,20
	73:4 80:20 81:1	21:14 25:5,8 43:1	boss 98:4 156:3	52:20 54:2,10	
B	83:24 84:8 93:3	60:1 78:15 91:8,12	<b>both</b> 13:8 18:23 36:18	<b>bulldozer</b> 45:23	23:20
	95:3 104:13 110:6	92:13 96:7 98:1	112:24 119:4	buildozers 46:2	CATHERINE 2:14
<b>B</b> 1:22		105:23 107:9 117:7	128:16,18 135:23		caught 126:4
back 1:25 5:5 17:14	115:25 116:1,2		· ·	bundle 111:9	cause 149:12 160:1
23:22 24:11 27:16	117:5,5 121:21	118:16,19 144:19	152:17	burden 38:3	caused 130:4,9,16
27:20,22 29:2 33:11	123:1 124:7,23	<b>bias</b> 36:24	bottom 5:15 22:3,15	BURNHAM 2:18	ceased 73:4
34:2 38:24 41:12	136:3 141:2 142:4	biased 67:16	24:25 43:8 45:6	buses 122:23,24,25	centre 1:2 154:23
43:17 47:21 51:2	145:3,10 148:2,3	bid 19:22 20:16 21:9	51:3 84:18,20	<b>bush</b> 103:16	CEO 57:6,16 59:19
57:18 65:6 67:5	150:16 152:7 153:2	22:24 24:20 26:15	100:14 107:8	bushes 126:3	140:5
68:7 70:19 80:13,17	156:7 160:7	26:23	109:19 142:7	business 14:2,4,14,16	certain 10:6 17:20
82:2,3,15 83:16,21	began 32:1 45:15,22	bidder 22:23	154:20	14:19 63:9 82:18	69:3 73:8 94:17
84:15,17 89:1,15	116:10 138:24	<b>bidders</b> 22:4,22	bought 14:6 93:24	122:11,17,18 146:6	109:25 150:3,12
04.13,17 09:1,13	begin 32:1 38:11	<b>bidding</b> 21:3,3,5,10	152:8	157:23	
01.4 02.2 4 110.2		0 , , , ,	breach 149:13	businessman 121:11	certainly 4:15 12:19
91:4 93:2,4 110:2	0	23.17 26.18 116.22			
110:16 112:14	beginning 46:5 47:10	23:17 26:18 116:23 Bidage 3:11 11:2 6			27:8 32:22 61:1
110:16 112:14 115:11 131:14	<b>beginning</b> 46:5 47:10 49:14 60:3 63:13,20	Bidega 3:11 11:2,6	break 5:5 27:25 28:2	121:20,21	113:8 128:20 147:3
110:16 112:14 115:11 131:14 134:11,20 135:5	<b>beginning</b> 46:5 47:10 49:14 60:3 63:13,20 86:17 95:13 110:2	<b>Bidega</b> 3:11 11:2,6 27:18 28:1,4,12,18	<b>break</b> 5:5 27:25 28:2 30:25 32:11 34:7,9	121:20,21 <b>busy</b> 3:11 102:23	113:8 128:20 147:3 160:13
110:16 112:14 115:11 131:14 134:11,20 135:5 139:15 140:11,21	beginning 46:5 47:10 49:14 60:3 63:13,20 86:17 95:13 110:2 111:6	<b>Bidega</b> 3:11 11:2,6 27:18 28:1,4,12,18 28:19,22,24 29:4,11	<b>break</b> 5:5 27:25 28:2 30:25 32:11 34:7,9 40:21 81:15,18,22	121:20,21 <b>busy</b> 3:11 102:23 <b>button</b> 5:15,23 6:24	113:8 128:20 147:3 160:13 certificate 92:21 93:12
110:16 112:14 115:11 131:14 134:11,20 135:5	<b>beginning</b> 46:5 47:10 49:14 60:3 63:13,20 86:17 95:13 110:2	<b>Bidega</b> 3:11 11:2,6 27:18 28:1,4,12,18	<b>break</b> 5:5 27:25 28:2 30:25 32:11 34:7,9	121:20,21 <b>busy</b> 3:11 102:23	113:8 128:20 147:3 160:13

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 2

Friday, 25 June 2021

r					
certification 25:14	clarify 55:15 134:5	communicate 77:9	confidentiality 88:16	contracts 116:16,20	<b>criteria</b> 22:22 23:17
86:24	CLAUDE 2:19	communicated 150:5	confidentially 83:9	117:6 122:12	cross 2:24 34:25 35:1
certifying 92:24	clean 25:1	150:15	confirm 6:20 50:10	control 25:12 28:11	
					35:2,2 154:4
CFO 72:18,18,19	cleaned 99:23	communicating 61:2	84:16,16,20 85:2	78:8 126:6	crosses 154:5
78:13	clear 30:18 34:18	communication 77:9	86:7,19 89:22 102:9	convenient 32:16	cross-check 89:12,14
CHABINSKA 2:24	36:15 63:3 73:15	92:1,10 141:1	138:24 145:2	conversation 59:22	89:22
chain 63:14,21 68:15	75:2 85:20 91:22	communications 31:9	confirmation 3:9,25	conversations 31:19	cross-examination 3:6
100:20 154:21	101:6 138:8 150:21	70:14 91:24 92:13	82:16,19	31:24,24 59:18 60:1	3:9,15,19,24 4:3
155:8,19,24	clearer 156:13	community 152:18	confirmations 4:7	conversion 22:25	2:20,25 8:23 13:16
chairman 57:7 131:12	clearing 103:16	158:11	confirmed 39:14	convey 96:5	35:10 39:10 43:5
146:12 157:15	clearly 7:22 38:8	companies 15:3,7,8,12	115:3	cooperation 105:23	56:18 111:6 114:25
chairman/big 98:4	clerical 71:14,25	18:15 19:3,4 30:15	confirming 44:14	106:2,19 107:7,24	138:20 159:13,14
challenged 131:13	<b>clerks</b> 98:25	107:10 115:12,13	confirms 3:13	138:9	cross-examine 41:8
chance 12:24 68:13	click 6:24	115:22	Congo 19:15	cooperative 106:20,24	128:20
126:15 160:15	client 17:5	company's 79:8 84:5	connect 136:19	coordinated 19:22	cross-examined 41:4
change 5:4 6:19 19:16	clients 15:18 20:4	150:4	connecting 33:23	copy 74:4 144:18	crucial 98:23
39:13 61:21,23,25	clinic 102:20,24	company-owned	136:14	156:12,13	crusher 100:24 101:9
128:11 145:20	close 14:2 26:5 54:16	145:25 146:4	connection 1:6,8 49:6	core 63:8,9	crushers 45:24 46:2
changed 30:22 37:4	154:18	compare 78:4	105:10 135:24	corners 121:11	crushing 45:24,24
		-			
58:1 62:3	closed 13:23 14:4,16	compared 90:20	140:3	correcting 79:19	CSR 23:8
channel 4:23,25 5:2	103:10,15	comparison 22:23	connectivity 11:7	correction 13:4	curb 126:25 127:4
6:17,23,25 7:7 28:6	closely 97:24	compensation 23:14	136:5	corrections 13:2	currency 22:25
28:14,25 29:3,8	closure 21:10	complete 13:11	conscience 8:4 12:8	correctly 54:10	current 8:10 12:13
34:12,14 35:6 41:25	COFFEE 2:15	completed 18:10	30:3 42:14 56:3	155:13	currently 6:2 27:2
channels 5:4,6 121:12	coherent 139:3	52:18 53:10 67:22	114:8 137:9,14,15	correspondence 4:11	117:20 145:7
CHAPIN 2:16	collapse 45:3	completely 103:19	consecutively 50:3	82:24	curtail 127:19
characterisation	colleagues 119:25	complied 17:12	consent 124:16	counsel 1:15 2:19	cut 143:1
92:12	collect 69:23	comply 13:11 16:24			
			consequences 15:22	11:12 33:18 82:23	cutting 52:5 105:10
characterisations	collecting 67:9	18:2	16:9,12	92:2,8,8 106:13	Czech 119:19
149:18	collection 104:20	compressed 49:18	consider 1:10 32:6	128:13	C-068 90:25
characterised 150:2	COLLEEN 2:23	compressor 49:19	38:15 39:22 41:10	count 101:3	C-075 130:20
charge 16:10 52:12	coltan 15:21 16:4,5,15	compressors 49:17,17	consideration 106:12	counter 73:13 74:3	C-136 118:22
123:7 129:16,19	16:15,22,25 17:6,7	49:18	considered 2:1 36:16	countries 119:21	C-147 44:8,11 48:24
134:22 135:17	17:9,22,23 19:8	computer 3:22 7:7	40:23 59:5 73:9	country 10:5 18:14	49:3 50:5
Charles 121:22,23,25	20:23	11:10 21:22 27:14	150:11	121:13 141:4,8	<b>C-173</b> 141:16
	column 21:16				
chasing 96:25		32:25 113:9 148:20	considering 71:13	couple 65:4 112:13	C-176 152:21
<b>chat</b> 6:6	come 3:16 5:5,16 6:23	computers 148:6	consistent 25:2 54:7	course 3:12 47:21	C-177 154:11,18
<b>check</b> 35:5	34:13 38:24 73:12	concept 97:19	131:19,20,23	81:25 83:6 157:24	156:7
Chege 57:24 61:17	79:4 82:2,3 84:12	concern 40:5 77:16	constitutes 149:17	court 127:9 131:16	C-178 154:11,12,18
107:16	98:10 100:8,24	158:25	construction 44:24	132:18	154:20
chief 42:23,24,25	101:19 113:17	concerned 11:2 15:24	46:17 50:8,9 93:23	Courts 130:25	C-183 109:13
57:24 61:12,15 62:8	124:7,8,23 125:2	131:11	115:14,15,16	covered 104:22 123:1	C-207 31:1
62:11,17,18 87:2	126:2 131:9 133:20	concerning 13:12 66:3	consultation 51:18,21	Cowley 2:3 3:12,19	C-210 105:18
107:16	133:21,25 134:11	concession 20:13,14	Consulting 2:22,22	4:3 1:19,25 2:8,16	J-210 10J.10
Chinese 18:3,4	135:5,11 140:11	48:4 96:17 98:14	contact 117:7 120:1	2:18 3:3 4:15 6:18	D
choice 62:25 157:18	146:19 159:19	102:3,8,15 103:5,25	136:7 160:6	11:3,14,16 30:6,7	daily 78:7 99:15
<b>choose</b> 34:12,14 90:4	comes 3:13 16:19	105:24 125:23	contacted 160:10	31:14 32:4,13,18,22	102:14
choosing 91:9	133:23	130:17	contacts 120:8 121:9	33:5,6,20 34:4,6	DALEY 2:12
Christian 12:14	comfort 82:6	concessions 19:19	contain 52:21	35:4,14,20 36:13,20	dam 51:16
Christmas 140:10	comfortable 63:15	20:24 21:16,19	contained 53:13	38:10,15,19,21	damage 130:4,8,15,16
Christophe 3:7 11:20	105:7	26:16,21,24 47:8	contains 63:24	39:19,23 40:6,13,16	131:17
12:14	coming 3:24 18:22	48:2 95:19 104:11	contemplates 69:25	41:3 56:15,18,19	
circumstance 39:17	26:5 29:5 55:6	104:18 105:3	content 14:8 129:23	77:19 81:16 82:14	damages 131:20,23
					dams 47:4,6 51:6
circumstances 37:4	59:23 88:18 100:6	124:20 127:5	context 1:21 58:8 72:1	83:14,18,20 91:23	DANIEL 2:7
38:1 41:12	100:17,20 134:1	conclusion 68:25	91:22 121:6	92:1,17,20 94:6	DANIELLE 2:8
citation 44:11	<b>comment</b> 3:4 4:14	condition 25:13	continuation 49:13	108:23 110:24	dark 113:16
civil 115:16 135:18	10:8,14	conditions 85:7	continue 1:12 48:9	111:3,22 113:15,16	dash 84:2
claim 57:15 131:9	commented 36:16	conduct 25:22	49:15 83:18 129:4	128:17 138:17,20	data 61:6 66:20 67:6
144:20	commercial 115:19	conducted 25:19	141:9 142:24 154:7	138:21 143:10	67:20 104:3
Claimant 138:18	122:21	43:23 44:23 45:9,11	continued 44:18 50:8	149:9 151:17	
Claimants 1:17 2:2	commissioned 52:17	45:19 46:13,21	50:11,14 51:11	156:15 159:1,8,16	date 21:7 31:15,17
	commissioning 53:10	<b>confer</b> 128:17			36:5,5,6 57:8 65:9
2:19 56:16 75:17	0		52:25 85:10 99:17	159:21,23	65:12,15 86:24
76:8 78:20 114:22	101:5	conference 1:5	99:19 147:21	crane 45:24 46:2	89:21 92:22 103:22
153:4,9	commitments 22:21	conferring 81:18	continuity 47:3	credit 159:14	114:19 117:12
claimed 75:14	committee 21:12	confidential 88:3,11	contract 31:20,25	credited 125:9	120:5 133:13
claiming 92:9	23:20,22	88:14,19 89:10	91:12 116:21,22	creditors 90:16 94:3	142:10 157:11
Claims 2:11,12,14	Committee's 21:13	146:17,25 147:6	117:1,3 148:10	94:10	dated 21:12 157:1
					unter 21.12 137.1

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan As amended by the Parties

Friday, 25 June 2021

ř.	1		1		
dates 36:3 37:12	deposited 4:1	139:5,9,16 142:11	dog 149:2	66:16,21,24 71:9,19	92:2 108:2 146:18
140:13	depreciation 69:4	145:14,25 146:3,7	dogs 149:4	99:16 102:16 105:1	148:15 153:23
DAVID 2:22	derailed 40:4	146:10 148:16	<b>Dohmann</b> 1:12 5:19	109:5 154:3	154:5
day 2:22 4:9 25:5 28:2	describe 9:8,12,25	152:10 154:1,8	6:23 7:3	earlier 84:25 113:11	employees 36:5 75:12
40:17 60:17 66:15	85:11	Directorate 117:20	doing 8:16 13:6 56:20	133:1	79:23 80:23 81:8
66:21 70:23 71:9,19	described 90:1 143:16	118:8	63:2 67:9 69:14,25	early 21:4,6 58:13,24	88:12 93:16 152:11
82:18 90:2 95:8,10	describing 58:15	disagree 92:12	72:13 78:11 87:2	139:11	152:18 154:2,24
					,
95:12 96:4,6 97:2	80:20 85:8 90:13	disappear 126:2	99:10 102:16	easier 58:8	155:11,20 157:17
98:1,19,19 99:9	95:7	disappearing 127:10	104:13,25 105:3	easy 38:4 157:23	157:20 158:7,11
100:9 102:17 104:9	description 85:13	disarray 75:20 76:17	110:12 118:18	effect 37:17	employment 8:10
104:14,18,21 109:9	90:16 120:22 153:5	76:21 77:24 80:6	124:16 133:7,12	effective 92:22	12:13 23:13,14 36:3
124:7,13,15 125:2	descriptions 85:3	disclose 36:4	140:2 150:10,23	efforts 126:25 139:19	36:7 37:7 41:5 73:4
135:12 142:19	descriptive 141:24	discloses 36:3	dollars 52:10	Ehlers 4:1 53:10	92:15
145:10,20 147:7	deserved 62:15	disconnect 6:14	Dominique 3:11 29:20	59:16,18,23 60:1	enabled 15:16
148:2,11 157:1	<b>desk</b> 98:10	discovers 9:13 10:1	30:2	61:9 92:21 95:3	Enclosed 120:14,17
158:15	despite 80:11 145:16	discuss 1:14 81:25	donations 44:3 46:18	107:8 108:1,4 112:4	end 18:10 21:10 52:1
days 39:6,14 65:5	145:18	127:7	done 6:20 10:17 13:4	112:6,17 128:18,20	52:19,21 86:6,17,22
95:14	destination 125:19	discussing 129:8	34:25 38:7 39:13	135:23,25 136:2,5	89:2 90:12 102:6
day-to-day 146:11	127:21	155:10	41:14 44:1 46:19	136:10,14,22,23	103:3 109:9,9
deadline 65:5	destroyed 77:13	discussion 3:3 33:21	48:4,14 50:16 51:22	137:22 138:5,13,21	123:22 140:11
deal 15:19 20:20	detail 146:9	134:11	52:2 54:4 63:4,6,8,9	141:17 143:3 151:8	ended 86:1 89:19
32:21 128:16	detailed 99:9,15	discussions 25:7 26:8	65:3 68:25 69:6	159:18	ending 90:11
dealing 17:22 20:20	details 35:20 36:23	33:18,20,22 92:7	70:12,14,24 72:5	Ehlers's 92:24 107:7	ends 3:21,22 86:17
138:9	61:22 105:8 132:3	disliked 109:8	75:11 135:3,4	135:24	enforced 14:19
dealings 131:5	140:25 155:7	dismiss 72:9	<b>DONNA</b> 2:16	eight 23:1,19	engage 43:19
deals 48:22 107:18	156:22	disorganised 19:2	dots 6:5	either 2:8 88:21 124:9	engaged 33:9
dealt 17:25	detected 16:22	disparate 97:24	doubt 10:18 52:13	134:25 152:16	engineer 115:16
<b>Dear</b> 70:3	determined 2:20	dispute 2:13,14 13:23	83:7	elderly 20:4	engineering 27:2,5
<b>debt</b> 90:20	developed 126:7	14:3 91:23,25	down 14:16 22:13	electricity 25:12 26:4	English 4:25,25 5:10
debts 75:20 79:8 81:6	development 23:2,10	107:23 108:19	31:22 46:7,9 49:25	electronic 3:25 61:2	5:11,24 7:25 28:6,6
84:6	42:22 56:8 114:15	109:2,4 134:25	51:9 63:13 68:8	element 22:16	28:25 29:3 31:9,10
December 31:16 57:4	130:21 138:14	disputes 1:2 2:12	80:15 106:18 107:4	elements 23:1,18,20	32:15 34:14,15
86:1 92:22 107:18	141:21	91:19	119:12 120:12	<b>ELIZA</b> 2:18	43:12,12,14 55:17
120:3 133:4,8,10,13	device 141:18 154:17	distant 97:3	121:16 128:13	email 31:3,15,21	63:16 105:16 156:4
decide 128:13	dialling 6:8	distress 160:2	141:21 142:5,5	32:25 61:2 63:14,21	enough 53:22 75:20
decided 127:10	difference 78:6,15	disturbing 9:16	154:20	64:3,10,18 65:4	75:24 79:8 84:5
decision 26:23 41:3,8	79:21	divided 21:19 65:2	Dr 52:11	66:11 67:16,20	87:19 124:25 137:6
41:13,18 62:22 76:9	different 15:2,3,12	<b>DMI</b> 117:21,23,25	draft 10:16 31:20,21	68:15,24 69:13,13	141:25 152:23
153:5,7	20:21 54:7 59:25	118:1	31:25 33:11,11 34:1	69:15,21 70:12,16	154:14 155:16
declaration 7:23 12:2	65:11 97:18,20	doc 156:6	drafted 49:11	70:25 71:3,5,12	ensure 5:9 123:11
12:4,7 29:23 42:3,4	125:21 136:6	doctors 46:18	drafting 49:9	74:5 77:15 87:8	124:19 125:9
42:8 55:24 70:20	144:25 154:17	document 22:8,24	drafts 33:6,17 36:17	88:25 89:20 106:20	ensuring 125:13
113:25 137:3,7	155:17 156:21	23:16 24:2 34:5	dramatically 37:4	106:21 109:19	entered 107:9 149:2
declarations 70:5	159:9	44:10 46:10 48:17	draw 75:22 85:22	110:1 111:9,11,20	entire 14:9 78:1 98:15
declare 8:3 12:7 38:10	differently 37:7 139:2	48:24 49:5,5,8,10	102:5 148:22	118:24 119:5,12,13	100:13 116:13
56:3 114:7 137:9,11	144:6	49:10 50:23 60:20	drawings 120:22	120:3,7,12 121:6	146:3
-	difficulties 80:11		<b>DRC</b> 16:19 160:11	154:21 155:6,8,18	
137:13 <b>deep</b> 95:22	difficulty 2:11 159:12	61:2,5 63:24 67:11 74:24 24 77:24 86:5	driver 98:7		entirely 96:13 entitled 4:15
		74:24,24 77:24 86:5		155:24,25 156:8	
<b>Defence</b> 27:2,8,9	diligences 15:16	86:10 88:19 90:11	drop 113:5 dropped 112:8,11	157:1,3,10,11	entrance 126:8
defined 23:25	direct 3:5,8,12,14,18	91:1,6,14,20 93:2		emailed 112:11 138:5	entrances 123:15
definitions 150:5	3:23 4:2 2:23 8:8	106:14 107:6	<b>Duane</b> 2:3,3	emails 37:14,16,18,21	entrench 40:2
definitively 103:4	12:11 30:6 42:19	109:15 130:20	due 15:16 64:23 81:14	69:17 70:11,13 87:9	entries 48:22
<b>delay</b> 6:22 52:6 58:6	53:7 56:6 84:19	141:19 142:2	136:4	87:10,11,14 111:6	environmental 23:11
106:8	114:12 131:15	143:22 147:4 148:2	DUFFIELD 2:8	111:14,14,15	43:20 45:7,8,9 51:4
delaying 108:24	137:21	148:25 150:23	DUNCAN 2:7	140:25 154:22	51:6,10,14,19,22
delays 72:2	directed 38:19 41:2	157:2	during 29:18 30:11	embarrassed 17:10	52:2,3 54:20,24
delivered 149:20	138:9	documentation	31:19,23 56:20	72:14	134:25
delivers 127:16	direction 36:1 155:17	144:18 148:5,16	81:18 91:18 98:10	emerged 35:11	equally 89:1
departing 27:24	directly 27:3 33:10	documented 144:20	98:15 99:1 124:7,14	Emmanuel 2:21	equipment 86:15
department 2:15,16	152:16	documents 23:18	124:15 127:12	132:10	134:16
67:4 123:6 148:21	director 13:17 30:12	64:12,19 69:23	132:2 134:21 135:3	employ 127:19	erroneously 153:14
departments 152:14	37:22 59:17,19,24	70:22 74:12 77:12	135:11 138:5	employed 30:21,22,23	escape 126:9
departure 74:15	61:10 65:21,22 66:2	77:13 85:16 86:25	<b>DUSHIMIMANA</b> 2:9	31:16 32:2 42:22	escorting 126:10
Depending 91:13	70:19 71:24 72:4	87:6,12 88:10 89:18	dwell 150:20	56:24,25 57:3 61:15	escorts 124:24
depends 72:1 118:1	78:13 114:14 115:4	143:20 144:8,9,11		62:1 132:1,12	especially 102:19
deployed 123:14	116:10,13 123:3	Dodd-Frank 13:12	E	employee 36:8 37:12	157:8
deposit 83:11	132:2 138:13,24	25:14	each 15:17 23:19	37:14 61:12 71:23	essentially 37:23

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan As amended by the Parties

Friday, 25 June 2021

establish 73:24 155:18	exceptions 66:17	99:24	filled 61:17	forego 2:20	furnace 24:25 25:1
156:23	exchange 31:3	extractions 19:6	filter 157:17	foregoing 2:25	further 1:11 10:19,20
establishing 73:15	exchanging 67:13	extremely 2:11 41:15	final 25:18 63:2,8	foreign 18:15	11:3 13:14 23:3
estimated 23:3,5,9,11	68:3	eye 139:12 140:14,14	finalised 86:25 89:5	foreign-language	24:4 27:11 32:4
European 18:16	excuse 5:8 24:14 33:7	140:15,20	finance 107:17	32:15	34:20 38:22,23 40:2
evaluated 23:1,20	33:12 46:15 47:13		financial 22:5,16,18	forest 101:18 104:15	46:25 54:17 55:4
evaluation 21:11,12	60:23 70:2 71:19	F	22:20 25:25 61:12	forget 51:2	83:14 84:22 110:24
21:13 22:16,19,20	81:3 84:10 120:11	fabricated 73:14 74:2	61:15 79:22 80:11	Forgive 13:21	111:24 135:19
23:18,25	145:11,17 149:1	face 16:12 110:8	84:11 85:7,13 86:22	form 74:22	142:6
even 19:7 25:21 36:17	excuses 70:23 71:10	152:17 158:10	89:2 90:4,11,13	formalistic 39:4	fuzzy 118:23,25
37:25 76:17 77:24	execution 33:11	faced 16:8	financially 78:6	formality 39:9	
78:7 80:9 98:8	exercise 22:14 154:7	faces 18:22	financials 88:15	formally 62:12 87:3	G
103:18 107:19	exhibits 144:8	facilitate 83:11	financing 88:18	Format 106:25	Gabriel 131:25 132:10
111:13 116:24	exist 126:17	facility 125:6	<b>find</b> 4:16 7:3,14 58:6	formed 48:24	132:14
126:17 135:9,18	existed 78:1 79:13	fact 15:22 19:21 37:20	101:17 103:12	former 19:18 21:15,19	galleries 46:23
150:22	existing 44:1 45:2	48:9 51:16 52:16	105:21 106:8,24	30:8 92:2 114:13	gas 26:5
evening 113:23 124:9	46:22 61:17 144:11	54:1,8 55:15,17	108:16 112:21	138:13	Gatare 14:1
124:17 136:23,25	exists 74:16,21	62:19 72:11 73:25	113:1,6 120:14,17	forward 27:6,6 73:24	Gatare's 13:25 24:10
160:17	expand 6:4 156:9	74:14 76:9 80:2	126:4	84:1 90:4 102:7	gathering 67:6
event 26:19 34:4	expatriate 93:16	102:5 107:19	findings 130:4,8	154:1 157:18	Gatumba 30:14
65:14 110:9 132:8	expect 4:13	127:14 153:13,16	fine 8:1 35:9 128:23	forwarded 33:7 120:3	gave 56:24 58:15,21
events 24:15 87:15	expected 76:13	factored 153:5,7	finish 99:11 141:6	120:13	75:16 76:10,11,22
ever 62:1 69:13 87:13	expecting 147:24	factory 93:23	142:25	forwarding 119:12	77:22 78:24 87:18
88:25 91:10,15	expedition 38:9	facts 150:12	finished 27:13 65:7	120:6	99:5 133:4
103:2 104:7 111:19	expense 38:23 40:1,4	failed 14:4 122:12	finishing 51:25	found 7:5 16:18 41:12	general 13:7 69:5
117:23 148:2	expenses 98:10 107:20	failing 122:11	Fink 52:11	103:8,9,10 110:3	genocide 155:13,14
every 5:4 69:13 95:12	109:25	fails 94:19	<b>fire</b> 36:6	foundry 13:10 18:8	gentle 109:11,12,17
96:6 98:1,19 99:3,9	experience 110:22	failure 14:20 53:11	fired 79:12 109:10	Francisco 25:20	gentleman 20:4,5 42:6
102:16 104:8	115:25	faint 156:10	159:2	frankly 20:3	geological 44:18
110:13 125:2	experienced 35:24	faintly 157:5	<b>firm</b> 62:19 63:6 64:5	fraud 73:21	geologist 42:20,23,24
everybody 1:5 34:11	81:5,9	fair 24:13,15 65:22,25	64:11,16 68:19	fray 157:24	42:25 54:5
41:23 88:22 99:15	experiencing 80:22	96:12 105:6 117:16	<b>firm's</b> 64:8	free 31:23 55:7 112:2	Geology 30:9,18
123:7 146:14	expert 42:4	150:25 155:16	first 1:6 16:23 19:8	135:21	Georgina 1:24
147:17	experts 51:22 52:3	fairer 32:20	25:11 26:13 30:17	freeze 3:23 147:15	gets 80:4
everybody's 3:11	explain 1:23 15:20	fairly 3:17 14:22	43:6 52:8 53:4 57:6	freezing 139:25	getting 22:21,23 25:22
124:9	24:19 26:13 30:9	falling 4:6	57:18 70:2 78:17,24	French 4:24 5:1,2,20	29:4 80:23,24 81:10
everyone 4:22 5:5	36:10 37:1 39:5	false 73:9,12	79:24 80:3 85:21	7:24,25 20:5 25:19	81:11 95:22,22 96:3
82:10	105:15	familiar 49:6,11 51:20	91:4,5 95:5 103:16	41:24 55:16	116:25 127:12
everything 3:13 5:11	explained 16:5,11	142:2 153:1	106:18 107:1	French-English 2:18	143:9
99:16 102:9 110:15	150:9	Familiarise 155:5	112:25 116:4 130:1	2:18,19	GIBSON 2:14
115:20,20 127:9	explaining 14:23	far 5:11 11:1 131:11	138:6 146:15	Friday 1:7 1:1	Giciye 47:8 48:1,5
133:19	24:16 70:17	fast 66:7 70:17 97:16	154:12,15 157:10	friend 121:18,19	104:11
evidence 7:19 11:21	explains 14:1 15:23	faster 65:24 66:10	fiscal 3:20,21	friends 109:10 122:10	give 6:19,22 10:17
19:18,20 29:21	22:25 23:19	72:5	<b>fishing</b> 38:8,11	front 5:18 7:23 11:9	19:18 23:15 32:5
34:20 35:11 36:14	explanation 76:2	fault 68:5 97:20	fit 40:15	32:24 42:9 55:24	39:20,24 48:18
41:6 42:11 82:1	79:21 90:17 100:15	108:16 152:24	five 20:17,24 40:19	85:24	58:20 62:14 64:12
evidence-in-chief 32:7	108:19	February 43:1,1 87:1	104:11,14 160:10	froze 155:22	67:20 72:4 74:2
Evode 15:5 18:21	explanations 20:8	fed 72:25 75:19	flexible 49:20	frozen 81:12 151:11	81:16 88:7,18 89:1
129:20	explanatory 91:3	feel 13:2 105:7,8 108:1	floor 5:10 34:14	151:16 ETL 2:22 22 6:2 11:2	92:18 98:25 110:19
exact 18:25 21:7 89:20	Exploration 23:3	110:20	flow 99:5	FTI 2:22,22 6:2 11:3	132:23 133:25
101:5 103:22	export 10:9,14 14:8	feeling 95:25 96:2	focus 2:3 19:11 56:22	21:18 24:10 26:11	134:10 137:22
114:19 117:11 140:13	16:23 25:23	felt 62:14 77:23 110:9	91:9 99:9 150:24	31:1,22 35:5 43:7	156:15
	exported 25:10,10	female 153:15	152:5 155:17,24 156:21	44:5 46:6 49:25	given 16:6 22:4 36:14
exactly 17:15 36:22 60:9 99:10 110:12	exporter 19:8	fence 135:13		51:9 53:7 68:7	52:11 62:13 63:19
	exports 19:12	FERGUSON 2:23	focused 26:20 75:24 108:9 159:2	70:19 81:12 83:21	77:19 88:17 97:24
144:1,3 148:19 159:16	<b>exposed</b> 157:15 158:5 <b>extent</b> 36:3,10 80:6	few 1:7,11 45:24,24	focusing 158:2	84:17 85:18 90:10 90:25 91:3 106:17	98:17,23 102:14
exaggerate 105:7,14	87:13 88:5,20 96:1	112:9 119:3 158:23	folks 7:1	90:25 91:3 106:17 118:22 119:1	107:12 108:3
exaggerate 105:7,14 examination 3:5,8,10	87:13 88:5,20 96:1 110:5 126:23 130:4	field 10:18 57:19	follow 129:11 142:17	121:16 128:8	141:18
3:12,14,16,18,21,23	130:8	62:10 98:20 119:9	followed 35:5	129:12 130:22	gives 88:12 92:5
3:25 4:2 8:8 12:11	external 26:22 62:23	fieldwork 44:18	following 5:19 14:20	132:22 142:3	giving 51:25 68:1,13
24:7 30:6 42:19	63:1,2,10 65:3	<b>fifth</b> 66:15,21 70:23	15:24 16:13 18:18	154:18 156:11,17	74:6 81:25 100:7
	69:10 118:13	71:9,19	20:7 21:13 70:4	full 8:9 12:12 99:6	111:21 143:1
54:18 56:6 111:4 114:12 134:14	152:18	<b>fighting</b> 80:13	20:7 21:13 70:4 104:17 150:7	101:5 103:14	glaring 37:19
137:21	extract 79:17 101:1	figure 112:11 148:8	159:12	fully 1:8 100:19	<b>go</b> 1:13,25 2:6 5:3,7
example 53:19 69:3	extract 79:17 101:1 extracted 19:4	figures 94:4	foot 96:8 97:7	funding 79:6 84:3	9:23 21:11,15 22:8
Excellent 28:23	extracted 19:4 extraction 46:21	file 39:1	footnote 44:12	funds 3:24 4:10	22:13 23:16 26:1
EACHUR 20.23	CALLACHOIL 40.21	<b>fill</b> 75:10	10011010 44.12	101103 3.24 4.10	27:16 28:23 29:14
	1		1		I

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 5

Friday, 25 June 2021

31:1 32:20 34:15	grounds 36:18 38:10	160:6	34:1 52:15 55:11,12	18:18,20	institution 61:21 66:1
35:20 36:23 55:7,20	38:11 39:4	HC 93:8 94:13	55:14 75:8 77:5	implicit 129:10	72:2
		head 8:17 15:23 22:2		import 154:24 155:11	instructed 66:6
61:22 63:20 66:25	group 1:15 2:4 57:1		91:24 94:7 97:3,9		
69:4,5 70:19 79:11	78:2 115:12,13	heading 22:19	97:11,12,14 98:8	important 25:2 60:13	127:23,24 141:2
80:7 86:24 89:15	groups 152:14,14	headphone 129:5	109:8,25 110:2	75:10 89:6,10	instruction 35:5 36:1
90:25 91:4 93:2,4	growing 154:23	headphones 5:20	112:8,11 115:1	146:25	81:17
94:12 98:6,12	155:11	hear 6:18 7:21,22	121:14 129:3 132:1	impossible 74:11	instructions 22:24
101:25 102:7	guess 117:19 126:13	11:19,22 28:12,14	132:1,11 136:5,19	89:20 103:11	55:12 83:5
104:19,20,25	5	28:18,19,21 29:11	149:2 153:20	improvement 47:7,23	instructs 70:22
109:16 110:3,16	Н	29:13 34:16 35:4,8	158:22,24 160:12	47:25	intelligence 117:21
113:21 116:22		37:2 47:10 113:23	himself 45:18 92:5	impugn 36:13	118:9,13
	Hage 2:7,7,8,8			inaccurate 76:7	
122:15 124:14	half 17:4,9 28:1 32:8	114:2,3 115:1	hire 36:5		intend 38:25
125:1 128:19,22	32:12 34:7 81:14,21	136:12,13 139:22	hired 36:4 57:18	inactivity 13:9	intended 65:9 87:5
130:22 131:13	82:2,4,5 104:17,21	140:1 143:2 147:2	123:21 139:4	inappropriate 40:9	95:11
135:21 160:7	114:18 117:14	147:16 151:12,14	hold 147:5,7,11,21,21	inaudible 13:9	intending 96:9
goal 125:8,13	123:19 128:5	151:15	holding 91:13 106:12	incapable 72:13	intention 3:7 121:8
goes 3:22 63:16,25	halfway 126:2	heard 5:11 11:3 35:7	146:23 148:13	incident 15:20 16:2,11	interactions 157:17
going 2:11 4:19 5:6,19	hand 6:7 15:14 20:23	35:15 36:20 112:13	holds 88:1	17:18	interest 20:25 95:9
7:14 11:16 17:6	25:4 26:25 114:1	139:22 157:5	home 128:22 135:21	include 148:17	interested 73:15 100:6
19:16 21:24,24		hearing 1:8 29:2	HON 1:11	included 48:12 50:17	120:2 121:18
22:17 25:16 26:21	handful 57:22 85:15	35:25 39:23 136:8	honour 8:3 12:8 30:3	51:1 70:12,15	interesting 72:11
	154:22				
27:20,21 28:4 31:5	handing 68:19 70:1	151:13,17,20 157:7	42:14 56:3 114:7	including 94:14	interference 9:18
32:10,23 35:9 38:12	handle 54:14 62:20	158:1 160:25	137:9,11,13	148:14	interim 125:5
38:14 39:3 40:16,18	handled 87:20	held 30:8 76:13 152:9	hope 7:24 55:9 85:22	increase 10:9,14 19:12	intern 2:24
43:17 46:9 49:25	handwritten 74:5	helicopters 119:20	116:25 143:9	increased 126:24	internal 36:2 89:9
53:7 56:16 63:14,19	142:7	help 4:3 9:20 32:13,16	hopefully 4:8	indebted 93:9	118:12 146:25
66:6,7,20,22 80:23	hanging 2:13	93:5 95:2 99:23	hoping 151:11	indebtedness 93:21	152:17 154:21
81:6,21 82:9 84:19	happen 73:16 101:2	100:25 108:23	hose 49:20	94:1	internally 65:2
89:8 91:8 97:16		122:14,14 123:25	hostile 38:10	indeed 9:3 15:5 44:23	international 1:2 2:11
98:8 113:6,16,16	happened 18:19 38:7	134:5 135:6 156:14	hour 28:1 32:9,12	INDEX 3:1	2:12,13 8:24
114:22 125:24	40:11 66:1 74:12	helped 90:17	34:7 81:14 82:2,5	indirectly 152:16	internet 112:10 136:4
	76:3 107:14 108:6	helpful 50:2 119:17			
126:3,15 127:5,11	110:20 112:9,12		hour's 81:21 82:4	individual 7:9	interposed 112:23
127:11 128:5,11,15	132:7	helping 118:16 121:14	house 102:21	individuals 155:7	113:7
138:18 141:16	happening 15:17 18:1	helps 113:14	housekeeping 2:16	industrial 100:4	interpret 31:5,13
147.571117701		how 72.15 16 17	houses 43:25 44:1	101:15	interpretation 1:9
147:5,7,11,17,21	80:25 98:23 99:6.16	her 72:15,16,17	nouses 45.25 44.1	101.15	interpretation 1.9
147:5,7,11,17,21 152:2 154:1 155:16	80:25 98:23 99:6,16 107:16	133:22	115:18	industrialisation 46:3	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17
	107:16				
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6	115:18 https 120:18	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8	107:16 <b>happens</b> 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3	115:18	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22	107:16 <b>happens</b> 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 <b>happy</b> 7:12 8:1 12:4	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 I	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 <u>I</u> ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 <b>interpreter</b> 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 <u>I</u> ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 <b>interpreter</b> 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 I ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 <b>interpreter</b> 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 <b>interpreters</b> 2:17 29:2
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 I ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 <b>interpreter</b> 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 <b>interpreted</b> 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 <b>interpreter</b> 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 <b>interpreters</b> 2:17 29:2
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19	$\begin{array}{c} 115:18 \\ \text{https} 120:18 \\ \text{huge} 75:20 \ 78:6 \ 90:20 \\ \hline \\ $	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14	$\begin{array}{c} 115:18 \\ \text{https} 120:18 \\ \text{huge} 75:20 \ 78:6 \ 90:20 \\ \hline \\ $	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 71:4 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21 10:20 12:11,12	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24	$\begin{array}{c} 115:18 \\ \text{https} 120:18 \\ \text{huge} 75:20 \ 78:6 \ 90:20 \\ \hline \\ $	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 interviewed 132:9
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 governing 134:23	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21 10:20 12:11,12 13:14 24:7,8 27:11	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 interviewed 132:9 introduce 121:13
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 governing 134:23 government 1:18 2:9	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21 10:20 12:11,12 13:14 24:7,8 27:11 43:3,5,6 45:21	$\begin{array}{c} 133:22\\ \textbf{hide} \ 19:21 \ 50:6\\ \textbf{high} \ 155:7 \ 158:3\\ \textbf{highest} \ 22:21,23\\ 146:12\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:18\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:16\\ hig$	$\begin{array}{c} 115:18 \\ \text{https} 120:18 \\ \text{huge} 75:20 \ 78:6 \ 90:20 \\ \hline \\ $	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 governing 134:23 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21 10:20 12:11,12 13:14 24:7,8 27:11	$\begin{array}{c} 133:22\\ \textbf{hide} \ 19:21 \ 50:6\\ \textbf{high} \ 155:7 \ 158:3\\ \textbf{highest} \ 22:21,23\\ 146:12\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:18\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:16\\ hig$	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 12:1 18:5 119:18
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21 10:20 12:11,12 13:14 24:7,8 27:11 43:3,5,6 45:21	$\begin{array}{c} 133:22\\ \textbf{hide} \ 19:21 \ 50:6\\ \textbf{high} \ 155:7 \ 158:3\\ \textbf{highest} \ 22:21,23\\ 146:12\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 16:16 \ 35:25\\ 37:24 \ 38:1 \ 39:16\\ \textbf{high-ranking} \ 27:4\\ \textbf{Hill} \ 2:6 \ 3:6,9,14,16,18\\ 3:21,23,25 \ 4:2 \ 2:11\\ 3:4 \ 4:14,15 \ 8:22,23\\ 8:24 \ 9:23,24 \ 10:19\\ 13:16,17 \ 24:4,13,14\\ 32:5,20 \ 34:18,24\\ 35:2,6,9 \ 36:12 \ 38:8\\ 40:3 \ 4:15 \ 4:219,20\\ 43:3 \ 54:18,19 \ 55:4\\ 55:9 \ 56:6,7,15 \ 77:4\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115:18 \\ \text{https} 120:18 \\ \text{huge} 75:20 \ 78:6 \ 90:20 \\ \hline \\ $	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24	107:16 happens 3:21 9:13 10:1 154:8 happy 7:12 8:1 12:4 110:2,5,7 hard 61:20 73:23 89:17 110:15 hardens 24:25 harder 86:12 hardship 82:1 harm 149:12 Harrison 2:3 3:5,8,10 3:15,24 5:14,22,25 6:1,6,9,13,19 7:6,10 7:12,13,16 8:8,9,21 10:20 12:11,12 13:14 24:7,8 27:11 43:3,5,6 45:21 47:16,21 48:19,21	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,69 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21	$\begin{array}{c} 115:18 \\ \text{https} 120:18 \\ \text{huge} 75:20 \ 78:6 \ 90:20 \\ \hline \\ $	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 interviewed 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 133:22\\ \textbf{hide} \ 19:21 \ 50:6\\ \textbf{high} \ 155:7 \ 158:3\\ \textbf{highest} \ 22:21,23\\ 146:12\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:18\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:19\\ hig$	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardship}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,69 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 interviewed 132:9 introduce 1:21 18:5 119:18 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5 127:11
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens} \ 3:21 \ 9:13\\ 10:1 \ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy} \ 7:12 \ 8:1 \ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard} \ 61:20 \ 73:23\\ 89:17 \ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens} \ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens} \ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens} \ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens} \ 86:12\\ \textbf{hardship} \ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm} \ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison} \ 2:3 \ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24 \ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19 \ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16 \ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20 \ 12:11,12\\ 13:14 \ 24:7,8 \ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6 \ 45:21\\ 47:16,21 \ 48:19,21\\ 48:24 \ 50:4 \ 52:5\\ 54:17 \ 113:17\\ 114:21,25 \ 115:2\\ 128:17,23 \ 129:5,11\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 133:22\\ \textbf{hide} \ 19:21 \ 50:6\\ \textbf{high} \ 155:7 \ 158:3\\ \textbf{highest} \ 22:21,23\\ 146:12\\ \textbf{highlight} \ 53:8\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:18\\ \textbf{highlighted} \ 126:19\\ hig$	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens} \ 3:21 \ 9:13\\ 10:1 \ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy} \ 7:12 \ 8:1 \ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard} \ 61:20 \ 73:23\\ 89:17 \ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens} \ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens} \ 23:35,8,10\\ 3:15,24 \ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,69,13,19 \ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16 \ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20 \ 12:11,12\\ 13:14 \ 24:7,8 \ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6 \ 45:21\\ 47:16,21 \ 48:19,21\\ 48:24 \ 50:4 \ 52:5\\ 54:17 \ 113:17\\ 114:21,25 \ 115:2\\ 128:17,23 \ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 interviewed 132:9 introduce 1:21 18:5 119:18 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5 127:11
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{harder}\ 86:12\\ \textbf{hardship}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inquire 83:1	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 1:21 18:5 119:18 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 good 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 governing 134:23 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,69 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 11:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 117:11 134:10,13	$\begin{array}{r} 115:18\\ \text{https} 120:18\\ \text{huge} 75:20\ 78:6\ 90:20\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ ICSID\ 2:23,24\\ \text{idea}\ 2:14\ 33:25\ 47:19\\ 81:15\ 93:25\ 126:3,7\\ 127:22\\ \textbf{identified}\ 44:11\ 45:16\\ 131:17\ 149:11\\ \textbf{identifying}\ 43:18\\ \textbf{illegal}\ 16:8,20\ 123:12\\ 123:13,22,25\\ 124:17\ 125:8,14\\ 126:25\ 127:4\\ 135:11\\ \textbf{image}\ 151:16\\ \textbf{Imena}\ 15:4,5\ 18:21\\ 129:20\\ \textbf{immediate}\ 74:15,20\\ \textbf{immediate}\ 59:13,14\\ 79:14\ 83:2\ 99:24\\ 112:15\ 140:21\\ \end{array}$	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inguire 83:1 inside 104:25	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20 investigating 73:8 investigating 15:25
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 governing 134:23 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13 gravity 54:15	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{harder}\ 86:12\\ \textbf{hardship}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ 37:18\ 38:17,24\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 117:11 134:10,13 134:14,15 135:19	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 I ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediately 59:13,14 79:14 83:2 99:24 112:15 140:21 159:2	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inguire 83:1 inside 104:25 insight 99:6	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 interviewed 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20 investigation 15:25 16:13 73:21 127:12
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13 gravity 54:15 great 5:25 13:10 35:23	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ 37:18\ 38:17,24\\ 43:21\ 71:15\ 72:25\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 11:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 17:11 134:10,13 134:14,15 135:19 135:23 137:21,22	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 59:13,14 79:14 83:2 99:24 112:15 140:21 159:2 immigration 118:12	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inquire 83:1 insight 99:6 instance 24:23 26:4	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introducing 119:23 introduction 122:9 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20 investigation 15:25 16:13 73:21 127:12
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13 gravity 54:15 great 5:25 13:10 35:23 37:6 122:4 149:12	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardship}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ 37:18\ 38:17,24\\ 43:21\ 71:15\ 72:25\\ 76:1\ 78:8\ 82:6\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 83:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 17:11 134:10,13 134:14,15 135:19 135:23 137:21,22 138:8,13,17 142:25	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediately 59:13,14 79:14 83:2 99:24 112:15 140:21 159:2 immigration 118:12 impact 32:9 41:7	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inquire 83:1 inside 104:25 insight 99:6 instance 24:23 26:4 111:20	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervene 31:8 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduced 132:9 introduced 132
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13 gravity 54:15 great 5:25 13:10 35:23 37:6 122:4 149:12 GROSH 2:11	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 23:3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ 37:18\ 38:17,24\\ 43:21\ 71:15\ 72:25\\ 76:1\ 78:8\ 82:6\\ 100:13,17\ 107:14\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 8:3:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 117:11 134:10,13 134:14,15 135:19 135:23 137:21,22 138:8,13,17 142:25 him 2:15 7:3,5 11:9,13	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 118:12 impact 32:9 41:7 128:1 157:16	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inquire 83:1 inside 104:25 insight 99:6 instance 24:23 26:4 111:20 instantaneous 4:7	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduce 121:13 introduce 11:21 18:5 119:18 introduce 11:21 18:5 119:18 introduce 11:22:9 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20 investigating 73:8 investigation 15:25 16:13 73:21 127:12 150:2,11 investment 1:2 2:12 2:13,14 15:2,12
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13 gravity 54:15 great 5:25 13:10 35:23 37:6 122:4 149:12 GROSH 2:11 ground 9:7,24 10:7	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardship}\ 82:1\\ \textbf{harm}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 2:3\ 3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ 37:18\ 38:17,24\\ 43:21\ 71:15\ 72:25\\ 76:1\ 78:8\ 82:6\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 8:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 17:11 134:10,13 134:14,15 135:19 135:23 137:21,22 138:8,13,17 142:25 him 2:15 7:3,5 11:9,13 11:18 27:20,22 28:7	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 159:2 immigration 118:12 impact 32:9 41:7 128:1 157:16 impacts 41:3	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent 154:25 insight 99:6 instance 24:23 26:4 111:20 instantaneous 4:7 instead 14:14,16	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervening 35:15 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introduced 1:21 18:5 119:18 introduced 1:22 18:5 127:11 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20 investigation 15:25 16:13 73:21 127:12 150:2,11 investor 19:21 57:1
152:2 154:1 155:16 155:19 156:5,6,22 157:18 159:8 160:22,22 gone 7:3 74:9 124:9 131:22 good 1:4,4 2:12,13 7:21,23 9:8,12,23 9:25 10:8,13 11:24 11:25 15:10 20:5 30:7 47:19 55:23 56:1,19 79:24 81:15 82:7,7 113:23 136:23,25 138:21 138:22 147:11 160:17,18,19 government 1:18 2:9 13:24 14:3,7,12 15:14 25:8 26:18 125:17 127:3,23,24 134:24 governmental 26:8 grateful 1:22 55:25 108:23 113:25 134:13 gravity 54:15 great 5:25 13:10 35:23 37:6 122:4 149:12 GROSH 2:11	$\begin{array}{c} 107:16\\ \textbf{happens}\ 3:21\ 9:13\\ 10:1\ 154:8\\ \textbf{happy}\ 7:12\ 8:1\ 12:4\\ 110:2,5,7\\ \textbf{hard}\ 61:20\ 73:23\\ 89:17\ 110:15\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 24:25\\ \textbf{hardens}\ 149:12\\ \textbf{Harrison}\ 23:3:5,8,10\\ 3:15,24\ 5:14,22,25\\ 6:1,6,9,13,19\ 7:6,10\\ 7:12,13,16\ 8:8,9,21\\ 10:20\ 12:11,12\\ 13:14\ 24:7,8\ 27:11\\ 43:3,5,6\ 45:21\\ 47:16,21\ 48:19,21\\ 48:24\ 50:4\ 52:5\\ 54:17\ 113:17\\ 114:21,25\ 115:2\\ 128:17,23\ 129:5,11\\ 134:8\\ \textbf{hated}\ 160:14\\ \textbf{having}\ 1:7\ 11:6\ 35:16\\ 37:18\ 38:17,24\\ 43:21\ 71:15\ 72:25\\ 76:1\ 78:8\ 82:6\\ 100:13,17\ 107:14\\ \end{array}$	133:22 hide 19:21 50:6 high 155:7 158:3 highest 22:21,23 146:12 highlight 53:8 highlighted 126:18 highlighted 126:18 highly 16:16 35:25 37:24 38:1 39:16 high-ranking 27:4 Hill 2:6 3:6,9,14,16,18 3:21,23,25 4:2 2:11 3:4 4:14,15 8:22,23 8:24 9:23,24 10:19 13:16,17 24:4,13,14 32:5,20 34:18,24 35:2,6,9 36:12 38:8 40:3 41:15 42:19,20 43:3 54:18,19 55:4 55:9 56:6,7,15 77:4 8:3:4,9,12 91:21 92:12,19 111:4,5,24 111:25 112:4,6,17 112:20 113:1,5,13 114:12,13,21 115:3 117:11 134:10,13 134:14,15 135:19 135:23 137:21,22 138:8,13,17 142:25 him 2:15 7:3,5 11:9,13	115:18 https 120:18 huge 75:20 78:6 90:20 ICSID 2:23,24 idea 2:14 33:25 47:19 81:15 93:25 126:3,7 127:22 identified 44:11 45:16 131:17 149:11 identify 30:8 46:12,17 46:25 50:24 identifying 43:18 illegal 16:8,20 123:12 123:13,22,25 124:17 125:8,14 126:25 127:4 135:11 image 151:16 Imena 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 74:15,20 immediate 15:4,5 18:21 129:20 immediate 118:12 impact 32:9 41:7 128:1 157:16	industrialisation 46:3 informally 87:3 information 2:18 3:15 20:19 26:22 39:3,7 44:9 52:11,13 63:11 67:9,14 68:1,3,14 68:18 69:7 70:15 73:23 74:3,3 86:16 88:3,6,10,11 89:2,8 90:5 98:25 102:19 108:2,5 120:7 146:18,18,25 147:6 147:6 148:15,20 150:3 informed 33:9 59:10 77:8 107:8 141:3,13 informing 74:22 infrastructure 23:9 48:1 50:11,16 ingots 25:11,24 initial 83:21 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent 154:25 155:1 Innocent's 155:2 inoperational 116:24 inquire 83:1 inside 104:25 insight 99:6 instance 24:23 26:4 111:20 instantaneous 4:7	4:23 5:6,21,22 6:17 6:23,25 7:7 29:8 34:12 41:25 interpreted 7:19 8:14 11:21 29:21 42:11 interpreter 2:18,18,19 2:19,20 28:21 29:4 29:13,19 31:5,5,13 45:18 47:10,16,17 51:25 interpreters 2:17 29:2 interrupting 40:24 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 72:4 intervened 132:9 introduce 121:13 introduce 121:13 introduce 11:21 18:5 119:18 introduce 11:21 18:5 119:18 introduce 11:22:9 investigate 16:5 127:11 investigated 73:20 investigating 73:8 investigation 15:25 16:13 73:21 127:12 150:2,11 investment 1:2 2:12 2:13,14 15:2,12

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 6

Friday, 25 June 2021

invited 11:15,17	K	127:20 131:11,12	124:10 131:13	list 22:9 43:9 46:13	25:11 40:6 76:9
<b>invoice</b> 148:11	Kabera 61:14 62:6	132:24 135:6,16	left-hand 86:14	64:12 67:5 68:14,15	91:21 96:23 110:22
involved 33:21 67:17	107:15	140:13 147:15	legitimate 59:23	90:16 94:2,10	147:11 151:17,20
78:2 106:4 107:18	<b>KABIBI</b> 2:9	149:25 151:8 152:5	less 39:6,14 94:23	134:20,21	151:21
116:22 126:12	Kagubare 3:22 112:21	154:13 156:25	104:21	listed 129:15	magnetic 16:17
in-chief 35:11	113:13,22,23 114:2	158:24 160:11,13	let 6:20 7:20 13:11	listen 4:24 5:1 137:10	main 104:20
iPad 136:6,7,15,20	114:13 118:23	knowledge 17:25 60:5	29:22 30:16 32:20	listening 158:4	mainly 18:16 93:23
irrespective 92:15	119:3,9 129:5	77:17 93:10 96:16	35:15 47:24 57:18	little 1:18 19:1 35:1	maintain 99:11
issue 17:24 26:2 39:10	131:15 134:8,15	102:12	63:15 64:17 73:7	51:24 53:13 54:6	maintained 99:11
82:14 113:11	135:19	known 98:5 100:5	77:1,5 78:25 84:18	59:25 86:12 94:7	147:20
153:13 155:10	Kagubare's 123:17	knows 78:11	86:8 87:3 90:21	97:20 102:7 118:23	majority-shareholder
issues 11:7 154:3	132:22	KPMG 86:24	97:18 99:11 104:8	136:6 139:2 142:5	93:7,19
157:3,6	kagubare@gmail.com		107:5 109:10,16	149:2 155:16	make 3:8 11:9 13:2
item 2:6 48:13 68:15	119:10	L	110:3 117:6 124:11	156:14 158:3	18:1 27:6,22 29:7
68:22 69:25	Kaplan 1:22 1:4,16	laboratory 16:22	130:11 131:19	LLC 1:15,16 2:4	34:11 35:18 38:12
items 46:12 49:16	3:12,15,18,19 4:6	lack 37:5 38:3 116:16	132:24 141:6 144:5	LLP 2:3,3,7,7,8,8	39:18,19 40:16 41:1
67:21 94:15 148:17	4:11 5:8,21,25 11:3	lacking 25:25	144:24 145:12	load 100:25 101:1	41:24 58:9 61:24
ITRI 16:5 17:3,8,17	27:19 29:16 82:23	lake 26:5	149:2,25 154:13	loading 100:12	68:25 105:7 118:7
18:4,18 19:14	83:9 136:11,14	Lakes 13:10	156:21	loan 14:5,18,21 18:12	119:1,4 125:25
IZABELA 2:24	138:4	language 33:15	lets 63:21	loaned 94:11	128:2 137:7 142:22
I&M 14:5,17	Kayonga 131:25	105:16	letter 74:5 76:18 143:5	loans 93:7,18	153:9 154:18
	132:10,14,16	laptop 5:13 6:16,24	letters 74:2	local 18:17 97:2	makes 25:15 27:5
J	Kavs 64:8,18 65:5	58:9 68:6 145:25	letting 110:16	locals 135:9,10	86:13
<b>J</b> 1:11	66:15,20,23 67:20	146:4,20,24 147:5	let's 2:6,15,17 19:4	located 97:9	making 3:15 35:14
Jacquie 130:25 131:4	69:1 70:15	147:20 148:4,15	53:4 67:19 69:3	location 30:14 55:10	73:15 105:14 122:9
131:6	keep 80:24	large 104:2 141:24	78:17 96:20 98:3	lodge 22:5	manage 115:6 123:2
James 2:22 5:8,14	keeping 147:1	152:23 154:13	99:7 108:6 119:12	log 28:11	128:16 152:10
28:21 29:5 55:15	kept 17:7 116:25	last 2:22 17:19 52:1	122:15 136:2,21	logging 9:21	154:2
113:9	148:21	73:12,14 75:2 91:1	151:15 152:3	London 2:23	managed 87:10 99:2
January 56:12,13	KG 1:11	112:7 117:3 121:25	level 71:23 155:7	long 63:22 75:9 79:6	management 23:11
57:4,5,7,19,25	<b>Kibuye</b> 104:16	130:1 133:5,13	158:3	81:16 84:4,13 89:19	57:25 59:14 62:22
60:21 61:3,18 95:13	Kigali 33:8 57:5 82:19	142:23 147:2,18	levels 10:9,14 15:2,11	103:15 139:11	63:4 71:23 74:23
96:7 98:1	96:21 97:2 121:20	155:24	liabilities 93:15 94:17	longer 20:20 29:18	75:8 76:19 77:18
Jean 2:19 3:17 55:22	121:21 125:1	lastly 3:3,6 5:3	liaise 118:16	129:21	80:7 124:11 127:14
56:2	133:20 140:5	late 14:17 21:4,5	liaison 118:19 121:10	long-range 121:5	135:12
<b>Jiri</b> 119:14	kilo 126:4	53:12 131:2 133:9	liars 110:14 157:9	look 21:22 22:3 44:14	manager 8:12,18,20
job 10:10,15 72:13	kilos 126:5	later 26:14 41:11 61:4	licence 14:7,11,15	44:17 45:1 61:6	12:15 13:7 62:5
88:24 98:18 134:5,7	kind 54:14 60:20 67:7	67:12 91:17 117:16	31:25 96:25 159:7	67:5 84:1 89:16	managers 27:4 107:17
jobs 101:14,20 123:2	69:10 95:22,25	140:12	<b>lie</b> 73:25	91:12 95:2 102:1	155:4
John 2:12 3:22 113:22	137:6	law 13:12 14:15 25:15	lies 73:14,16	105:17 111:11	managing 13:17 59:17
119:9 122:13	kindly 70:4 83:1	lawyers 92:13,14	light 32:6 34:19 35:11	113:24 120:12	59:19,24 61:9 62:10
join 11:17	Kinyarwandan 28:5	153:14 159:14	like 1:25 2:2 3:19 28:1	looked 34:19 72:7	65:21,22 66:2 70:19
joined 53:11 56:12,13	28:14 29:8	lead 46:25	28:16 32:13 35:20	88:11 110:1 150:11	71:23 72:4 78:9,12
59:13,16 60:17	Kinyarwandan-Eng	leading 105:24 111:22	36:1,5,7,13,22	157:2	116:10,13 138:13
78:12,16 80:10	2:19,20	leads 35:24	38:21 39:5,18 46:1	looking 47:21 48:19	138:24 139:4,9,16
98:21 99:2 116:4	<b>kit</b> 113:2	learning 80:12	47:14,17 60:3 62:11	58:12 63:17 68:6,11	142:11 145:13,25
117:12 123:11,20	knew 96:6 119:24	least 14:8 59:9 62:6	69:18 74:7 76:17	93:12 108:21	146:3,6,10 148:15
127:6	121:20,21 146:23	67:1 71:22 80:10	77:2 78:8,9,10	119:19 134:20	152:10 154:1,8
joining 115:25	149:20,21 150:21	104:4 109:21	82:15 83:3,16 87:10		157:20
Joseph 2:7,7,8,8 3:4	151:10	150:16 152:2	91:10 97:20 106:1	looks 28:16 36:12	manned 123:4
7:18 8:11	know 3:13 6:11 15:11	155:10	108:3,7 109:24	132:10	manner 41:2 62:11
<b>JR</b> 1:11	17:15 18:11 20:20	leave 1:9 5:4 30:25	125:3 126:9 132:5	LORD 1:11	manual 120:22
judgment 154:5	21:9 32:8 35:15,24	35:21 36:4 73:11	132:10 135:13	lose 157:24	many 36:5 60:7 104:4
Julius 61:14 157:4,5	44:7 45:10,20 50:14	76:9 80:1 87:16,19	138:23 140:1	loss 85:25	104:23 117:9 157:7
July 64:20 65:4,12,15	50:19,20 51:20,21	93:3 112:2 156:5	150:24 152:5	lost 89:18 127:8	mapping 44:19
117:19	52:3 59:18,25 60:6	157:19	limited 39:9 69:1	lot 18:22 77:14 88:3,7	Mara 43:20 49:20
<b>jump</b> 3:4	60:10 63:15,17,21	leaving 75:10 77:8,10	136:18 138:15	102:21 105:8	March 103:9,22
June 1:7 1:1 3:21	63:24 64:2 68:11	85:9 105:8 143:17	140:6 152:7	146:17,24	140:11 142:8
64:10,12 67:7,8	73:7,12 76:12 83:3	led 26:23 46:22 63:5	<b>limiting</b> 68:24	LOUTFI 2:23	143:24 144:20
138:25 161:1	88:16 89:15,17	77:9	line 26:13 48:13 67:21	low-level 71:14	145:10,13 146:24
jungle 78:9	95:12,23,23 96:15	left 9:2 10:9,15 26:9	82:8 107:1 121:2	Ltd 130:21	147:4 157:3
Jurisdiction 1:8	96:23 97:14 99:4	44:2,6,6 45:6 51:3	122:17 148:17	LUCY 2:8	market 78:10 116:20
Justice 2:15,16	103:4 107:13 108:2	56:13 61:22 62:7,7	154:4,5		119:20 135:15
justification 40:24	108:5 112:10	64:15 72:7,24 74:9	lines 94:12	M	marketing 121:4
justified 105:8	113:10 115:18	75:21 76:16 77:17	link 120:15,16,18	machines 45:23,25	markets 121:10
			liquid 24:24	34 1 72 01	marks 22:23 23:2,4,6
justify 36:9 37:1 38:1	117:11 122:10,21	78:5,7,16 80:15	-	Madam 73:21	
	117:11 122:10,21 124:12,14 126:5,17	78:5,7,16 80:15 89:4,5 90:2 96:8	LISA 2:11	made 15:1 19:23	23:7,8,10,12,14

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 7

Friday, 25 June 2021

	-				
Marshall 2:4 21:4	meeting 24:21 33:3	105:2 123:13,16	modality 136:15	150:20 155:6	62:4,8,11,17 72:19
22:10 31:20,25	58:21 112:8 129:12	124:4,6,10,11,19	moment 3:20 5:18	156:14 160:5	72:22 73:19,19,20
36:17 57:3,5,11,13	129:23 131:6	125:10,14,18,25	6:19,22 7:8 11:18	mud 103:14,14	75:1 85:9 95:14,17
57:16 58:1,19 59:2	meetings 18:20	126:1,10,14 127:10	12:6 23:15 29:5	MUGENZI 2:19	96:1,4,8,16,23
59:9,11,13 60:2,6	member 64:5	127:12,17,20 128:3	31:8 32:5,16 38:13	MUKARUTABANA	97:12 98:10,14 99:7
61:7 62:23 63:5	members 26:17 82:23	133:20 134:6	49:23 50:6 55:14	2:20	101:4 104:22 107:8
72:11 73:7,13,21	memory 17:17,19,20	160:12,15	56:22 65:1 69:3	multiple 37:12	107:11,23 127:24
78:5,16 79:4,12,24	18:13,23,24 20:24	miners 80:8,22 81:6	76:20,22 77:2,16	multiplication 19:3	130:19 131:14
80:10,14 96:1,13	21:25 84:10	100:22,24,25	78:15,16 80:17	Mupenzi 155:2	136:21 140:9
97:1,6,24 110:14	mentality 155:14	101:10 103:7 105:1	81:13 94:9 106:7	Musabyimana 132:10	148:11
111:10,19 119:7,22	mention 26:25 27:1	123:23 124:5,15	113:6 132:23	132:14	nevertheless 141:11
121:7 131:11	94:19	126:1,22 127:13	136:13 137:22	must 43:20 117:18	144:23
139:12 140:5,9,19	mentioned 52:4 127:8	133:23	145:1 156:5 157:2	mustn't 159:25	new 43:22 45:1 46:18
141:2 149:25	131:25 135:2	mines 8:12,13 15:18	Monday 39:24 83:3	mute 9:17,19 29:14	46:20,20,22 48:7,10
151:24 157:4,14,25	mentioning 132:1	18:23,25 26:19 30:9	128:19 160:21	muted 114:2 115:1	48:10,14 57:7 59:19
158:16,19,20 159:3	Merci 12:3	30:19 43:23 48:9	161:1	Muvara 2:22	66:13 72:18 117:1
Marshall's 57:1 78:2	mere 39:9	80:8,9 95:9,23 96:2	money 79:7 80:24	myself 29:14 58:7	139:12
95:7,12 105:22	merely 148:6	96:5,14,17,18,21,24	84:4,12,22 94:11	103:17 152:24	next 2:6 3:24 11:7
158:13	Merits 1:8	97:7 102:19 103:10	121:11 128:2	105.17 152.24	23:16 41:22 49:23
marshall[attorney	message 11:9 113:9	103:14,19 104:14	133:24 134:1	N	55:9 69:9 86:5
119:6	120:10	104:19,25 115:6	143:11,19,23		102:1 106:18,19
Martin 119:14 129:16	messages 109:18	123:2,8,22 124:1,4	144:17,21 145:7	name 2:22 8:9,11	Ngali 27:5,7,10
match 19:9	110:21	123:2,8,22 124:1,4 124:23 125:3,19	144:17,21 145:7	12:12,14,14 20:2,6	Ngarambe 122:1,17
material 93:24	met 122:15 131:4	124:25 125:5,19	monies 143:7 144:2	20:10 22:12 56:2,21	Ngarambe's 122:1,17
materials 26:7 134:21	150:4	130:19 133:21	monitor 98:22	64:8 72:14 115:21	0
materials 26:7 134:21 math 82:18	metal 13:8 135:15	135:1,5	monitor 98:22 monitoring 127:15	121:25 153:14	NICOLE 2:13 night 104:16 124:12
math 82:18 matter 1:1 3:11 38:4	Metals 13:8,18 18:1	135:1,5 MINIJUST 2:9	monitoring 127:15 month 66:16,22,25	names 20:19 112:25	124:14,16 160:18
38:17 66:3 72:10	18:11 24:20 25:8,25	mining 2:9 8:14 15:2,3	69:24 70:23 71:10	119:13,16 121:22	160:19
73:20 106:4,22	MICHAEL 2:15	15:4,7,8,12 18:21	71:19 117:13,18	NARCISSE 2:9	nine 74:10 77:13
matters 3:3 2:16	MICHAEL 2:13 MICHELLE 2:7		133:2 148:10	National 118:3,4,9,11	NKANIKA 3:13
maximum 100:12	microphone 9:15	19:1 30:12,15 46:3 46:21 48:8,10 54:22	monthly 62:20 63:6	native 28:6 34:15	42:10
max 1100.12 may 2:6 4:8,18 21:4,6	114:4	54:25 55:2 99:1	66:20,21 67:6 69:2	105:16	nobody 103:2
21:13,14 27:13,20	middle 35:25 53:7	102:16 103:5,12,16	89:2 90:6 133:5	natural 33:15 42:22	nod 113:24
31:8 35:18,19 36:20	83:23	107:20 115:22,25	months 160:10	56:8 114:14 130:21	noise 9:15
40:8 41:17,18 46:22	Mierlo 64:9	116:5 122:11,12	more 6:5 21:18 28:2	138:14 141:21	nominations 61:23
65:10 83:18,19	might 10:5 27:9 32:20	123:12,13 124:1,5	37:25 74:10 80:7	157:9	none 35:21 36:17
86:25 87:7 93:5	41:7 50:1 61:6	124:17 125:8,14	91:3,8 96:14 110:7	nature 92:14 110:8	74:16,21
95:16 111:10	65:13 72:2,3 77:8	126:25 127:4	110:22,23,23 128:2	158:5	nonsense 22:1
112:20 113:5 114:4	77:14,15 89:22 92:8	129:17,19 130:22	139:3 155:6 158:3	Ndolo 155:3	non-finalised 89:7
122:6 142:16 147:6	96:20,20 101:16	134:24 135:11,14	morning 1:4 7:21	near 101:18 103:2	non-governmental
maybe 6:14 26:25	133:9 135:6,7	157:23	11:24,25 82:16	necessarily 37:6	8:25
27:7 47:18 67:11	140:24 156:14	minister 15:4,14 18:22	104:17 159:18	123:13	non-magnetic 16:16
77:12 117:16 119:1	Mike 7:1	18:25 129:16,19,21	Morris 2:3,3	necessary 25:14 34:18	Normal 115:16
143:18	military 27:4 117:20	130:3,7	most 39:14 41:19	35:21 36:11 39:2	normally 10:2,17
Mbaya 3:4 2:7,12	118:9,13,17,20	Ministry 18:21 27:1,8	46:21 48:4 93:24	105:21	75:11
4:18,22,23 7:18,21	million 52:10 94:21,23	27:9	126:21 132:4 134:2	need 4:24,25 5:1,6	note 5:3 74:5 136:4
8:9,11,13,21,24	mind 21:8 68:12 86:8	minute 27:21 28:16	mostly 44:1 63:11	6:11 9:19 13:2	notes 106:7 122:7
10:19,21	136:21	115:11 134:10	move 14:25 27:6,6	27:21 31:12 40:16	nothing 8:5 12:6,9
McCARTHY 2:7	mine 23:2 47:1 52:20	149:1	41:20 49:25 51:9	40:17 41:24 43:12	30:4,4 35:7 42:15
McGowan 1:24	95:14,18 96:9 97:3	minutes 1:7,11 2:24	70:18 90:9 106:13	53:22 63:25 88:7	56:4 76:20 83:14
mean 26:13 30:23	97:3,11,12 98:6	40:19 112:9,13	136:21 159:8	106:6,7 107:5 108:2	102:10,12 103:9
45:10 58:19 59:2	99:16 105:1 124:6	128:10 129:13	moved 33:3	113:3 116:9 122:14	114:9 137:17,19
67:3 74:25 82:3	124:12 133:24	136:3	movements 98:4	129:11 136:11,19	143:7
83:15 87:8 96:5	158:14,25	mislead 52:15	moving 19:10 150:24	142:17 155:6 156:2	notice 2:24 19:6 68:7
122:22 151:6	mined 124:19 125:23	mispronounce 2:21	Mpongo 153:2,15,17	156:25 needed 26:7 60:2 61:6	74:6,14,15,20 75:9
meaning 35:23 66:11	127:20	mispronouncing	153:23 160:10		75:9,18 76:10 87:18
94:23 132:2 153:10	miner 16:7 99:21	56:21	Mruskovicova 72:12	66:25 NEEDLE 2:8	142:10
means 16:20 22:2 26:3	100:17 101:17	missed 90:8	129:15 133:4	needs 9:20 136:7	notification 60:20
35:12 56:25 70:6	102:16 103:2	missiles 121:5	much 8:6 10:22 27:12	<b>Nemba</b> 22:2 45:5 48:3	notified 3:1 55:11
72:9 96:19,19 98:5	125:22,24	missing 127:17 132:6	34:6 37:8 42:17		notify 77:7
100:21 121:2	mineral 23:3,5 25:17	mission 18:7,10	45:20 48:3 55:6	49:19 130:4,8,15,17	November 107:18
meant 33:24 64:24	52:25 53:16 79:7	misspoke 77:2 99:14	58:10 73:23 99:4	130:22 131:2,17,24	133:10,13
65:11 83:15 90:22	84:5 125:23	mistake 64:17 154:16	104:10,18 107:5	132:20 134:16 154:25 159:7	NRD 19:21 20:8 21:1
100:10,14 139:2	minerals 13:13 16:6	misunderstood 47:16	110:3,8,19 112:1	154:25 159:7 net 90:20	26:16 32:1 42:24
144:4 151:3	53:20,25 54:9 99:4	61:24	114:10 119:2 126:3	net 90:20 network 98:24	43:1,10,19 44:18
meet 78:10 105:1	99:24 100:3,8,18	mixed 16:4,15,20	135:20,22 136:18	network 98:24 never 14:9 37:13	45:8,9,15,21 49:13
				I DEVEL 14.7.3/:13	
122:15	103:7,13 104:20	mixing 17:22,24	137:20 148:10,10	60:18,19 61:1,5	50:11 51:5,14 52:8

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan

Page 8

Friday, 25 June 2021

52:9 54:21,25 55:2	98:5 140:20	ongoing 44:22	26:3,25 30:14 34:4	15:23,23 16:10	113:12 131:21
56:9,13,13,24,25	officer 61:13,16	only 5:19 13:10 16:18	37:15 41:9 46:9	page 3:2 21:15,18 22:3	134:13 139:23,24
57:1,4,6,8,12,13,16	offices 43:25 57:6	16:19 17:25 20:16	49:19 65:12 68:18	22:8,13,16 23:16	pausing 139:24
57:19,23 58:14	official 36:7	35:20 36:2,10 38:24	69:2,17 70:11,13	31:1,3,6,15 43:7	pay 79:8 81:7 84:5
60:18 62:1,4,19	offline 112:10	59:11 61:25 62:3	73:10 90:4 93:15	91:1,4,5 92:20	144:17 145:8
77:12 80:20,21 81:3	often 56:20 100:23	63:8,10,22 64:18	94:17 100:1,25	106:18,20 107:4	paying 68:5,10 69:9
81:5 84:8 86:3,6,22	<b>OGMR</b> 30:11,11,13	67:16,25 68:24,25	103:1,2 109:5	108:15 130:23	133:11 147:11
87:8 92:6 93:7,9,22	30:18,21,22 31:17	69:1 70:6 88:12	112:15,18 134:8	131:16	payment 3:9 82:17,20
94:11 98:11,15,21	32:2 36:8 134:23	100:11 102:23	139:24 144:8	pages 44:6 50:1,2 91:8	82:22,25 83:5,7
102:10 104:18	<b>oh</b> 28:16 83:20 112:20	103:10 112:18	146:13,18 152:10	paid 67:7 73:1 80:8,23	133:5 134:3
105:3,24 106:5	138:11 143:5	113:14 127:18,20	152:13,14 153:13	147:22 148:3,7	payments 3:8
110:20 111:17	144:12 151:5 152:1	131:5,11 133:20	154:4	pane 44:7	payroll 148:14,20
114:15 115:25	156:17 157:13	136:15 147:16	others 30:14 65:16	paper 59:15 68:18	PC 1:11
116:4,14,15 117:12	<b>oil</b> 26:4	151:13 156:23	81:18 108:24	papers 71:6,18	PDF 31:2 106:24
118:16,16,19	okay 1:17 4:19 5:25	onto 5:24 146:23	111:10 149:25	paragraph 15:19 16:1	pedantic 146:9
121:19 122:8	6:11,19 7:1,6 8:7	148:13	otherwise 144:10	16:3 26:11 43:8,18	people 10:12 58:1
123:11 125:9 127:4	9:22 11:23 12:7	op 139:12	149:13	44:10 46:7,16 48:22	78:10 79:12 95:23
128:2 130:3,7 133:7	28:23 29:10,14	open 82:9 152:23	ought 128:13,21	48:25 53:4 58:5,12	99:9 103:1 119:17
133:12,17 138:14	32:23 33:1 41:22	opened 43:23 46:24	out 1:7 4:16 7:14	75:22 76:6 78:19	
139:5,10 140:4,19		opening 43:22 46:22	15:16 16:21 17:1	83:22,24 84:18 85:1	119:18 124:5,6,8,12 132:12 135:7,9
	43:15 44:14 50:4			-	
141:9 142:11	55:19,20 63:19	48:7,13	20:17 22:20 25:1	92:24 95:6 98:12,13	150:2,10 155:10
145:14 146:3	65:14 66:13 68:22	operate 24:22 25:17	29:19,25 33:3 34:13	101:25 102:1,7	156:1 157:9 158:6
148:12 155:12	85:18 96:12 97:13	52:18,22 53:16 54:1	37:10 41:17 42:13	106:9 108:9,10,22	per 22:23 25:5 117:9
157:20 158:10	99:20 114:24 115:9	54:10,15 55:2	53:12 54:20,22,25	123:17 126:18	148:10,11,11
NRD's 19:18 20:14	115:11 116:17	116:21 122:12	55:2,11 64:11 65:6	130:1 132:22 149:8	perception 18:6
91:7 92:8 123:8	119:17 120:6 121:1	operated 14:10 48:10	66:14 70:22 71:9	paragraphs 13:25	perfect 105:17
124:1,4,20 125:6,10	122:24 128:23	98:15 100:23	76:6 88:12 98:20	19:17 24:9	perhaps 2:5 32:16
125:15,23,24	142:2 147:20	operating 101:12	99:8 100:2,7 105:8	paralegal 2:23	50:14 149:25
126:16 127:5 130:3	148:22 149:3	103:13 116:18,19	105:10 106:11	Pardon 57:10,17	perimeter 23:10
130:7	151:12 153:9 155:5	116:25	109:25 110:3,5	58:23 66:8 70:17	perimeters 21:17,20
Nsengiyuma 112:24	old 48:9 87:11	operation 100:11	112:8,11,21 113:1,6	74:17 93:11 97:16	21:21
128:18,21 131:8	<b>Olivier</b> 33:8,12,22	107:20 140:14,15	122:13 135:1	103:24 105:10	period 10:15 18:11
135:24 136:1	Olomouc 51:19	140:21	146:19 149:2	106:10	21:10 30:9,16,21
number 10:6 17:23	omission 37:20	operational 100:16,19	151:23	parent 91:7 94:10	50:23 51:9 76:10
18:3 38:16 96:14	once 20:20 124:25	133:16 152:14	outset 24:8	139:8 140:4,18	78:4,4,5 84:25 85:7
18:3 38:16 96:14 numerous 37:18	once 20:20 124:25 125:3 148:7 154:8	133:16 152:14 operations 13:23		139:8 140:4,18 145:17,19	78:4,4,5 84:25 85:7 86:1,6,18 90:11
numerous 37:18	125:3 148:7 154:8	operations 13:23	outside 62:19 63:6	145:17,19	86:1,6,18 90:11
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15	<b>operations</b> 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14	<b>outside</b> 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16	145:17,19 <b>part</b> 25:18 41:6 48:25	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8	<b>operations</b> 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20	145:17,19 <b>part</b> 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16	<b>operations</b> 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4	145:17,19 <b>part</b> 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4	<b>operations</b> 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 O	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 O object 111:22	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 <b>one</b> 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 O object 111:22 objection 11:13,14	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 <u>O</u> object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 Object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24 69:12,25 70:16 71:3	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24 69:12,25 70:16 71:3 71:3 72:24 73:14	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24 69:12,25 70:16 71:3 71:3 72:24 73:14 78:8,9,11 80:7	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personally</b> 127:7
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24 69:12,25 70:16 71:3 71:3 72:24 73:14 78:8,9,11 80:7 81:13,24 85:21	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personally</b> 127:7 <b>personnel</b> 9:4 10:7
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24 69:12,25 70:16 71:3 71:3 72:24 73:14 78:8,9,11 80:7 81:13,24 85:21 87:13,16 88:6,25	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 27:7 <b>personal</b> 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8	125:3 148:7 154:8 157:15 one 1:11 6:19,22 7:8 11:18 15:13 16:16 16:17,18,18 17:3,4 17:8 20:23 23:15 25:3,17 28:10 32:13 34:4,5 37:19 38:4,5 39:9 49:16 54:19 55:14 60:7,17 61:25 67:21 68:15,22,24 69:12,25 70:16 71:3 71:3 72:24 73:14 78:8,9,11 80:7 81:13,24 85:21 87:13,16 88:6,25 91:8 95:10 96:4,13 96:22 100:11	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personally</b> 127:7 <b>personally</b> 127:7
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personally 127:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personnel 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personnel 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8 occur 43:10 50:25	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owel 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13	$\begin{array}{c} 145:17,19\\ \textbf{part}\ 25:18\ 41:6\ 48:25\\ 52:5\ 85:15\ 88:24\\ 93:22\ 94:12\ 95:18\\ 96:16,25\ 98:21\\ 101:15\ 103:8\ 125:8\\ 125:13\ 134:5,7\\ 145:24\ 154:5\\ \textbf{participants}\ 6:6\\ \textbf{participation}\ 10:21\\ \textbf{particular}\ 6:15\ 18:21\\ 40:5\ 49:8\ 64:10\\ 65:1\ 76:15\ 125:19\\ 132:7\\ \textbf{partice}\ 1:10\ 3:8\ 28:3\\ 38:25\ 40:2\ 157:8\\ 158:1\\ \textbf{party}\ 21:23,23\\ \textbf{parts}\ 77:22\ 100:24\\ 101:8\ 151:13\\ \textbf{party}\ 2:10\ 20:7\ 92:3\\ \textbf{pass}\ 96:22\ 121:8\\ \end{array}$	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 <b>periods</b> 102:8 <b>permitted</b> 154:6 158:10,12 <b>person</b> 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 26:17 88:25 <b>personal</b> 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 <b>Peter</b> 129:16 <b>PHILLIPS</b> 1:11 <b>Phoenix</b> 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:23\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 oversight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 party 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 27:7 personal 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,11 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organisation 8:25	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owned 43:24 139:8,10	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 21:0 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 pathway 103:18	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personnel 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ 147:16\ 150:16\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organisation 8:25 30:13 79:23 139:18	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owned 43:24 139:8,10 owner 131:12	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 patheway 103:18 patience 1:5,13 7:16	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personnel 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7 phrase 70:2 90:22
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8 oecur 43:10 50:25 occurred 16:14 59:11 October 109:16 133:10,12 off 5:3 11:16 12:17 27:14 28:23 29:14 34:14,15 35:6 52:5	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organisation 8:25 30:13 79:23 139:18 organised 18:20	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owned 43:24 139:8,10	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 party 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 pathway 103:18 pathence 1:5,13 7:16 35:17 58:11	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personnel 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7 phrase 70:2 90:22 96:11 100:1
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8 occur 43:10 50:25 occurred 16:14 59:11 October 109:16 133:10,12 off 5:3 11:16 12:17 27:14 28:23 29:14 34:14,15 35:6 52:5 55:20 68:19 69:24	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ 147:16\ 150:16\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organisation 8:25 30:13 79:23 139:18	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owned 43:24 139:8,10 owner 131:12	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 pathway 103:18 patince 1:5,13 7:16 35:17 58:11 patro 123:22	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 27:7 personal 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7 phrase 70:2 90:22 96:11 100:1 phrasing 96:10
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8 occur 43:10 50:25 occurred 16:14 59:11 October 109:16 133:10,12 off 5:3 11:16 12:17 27:14 28:23 29:14 34:14,15 35:6 52:5 55:20 68:19 69:24 70:1 112:8,12 113:5	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ 147:16\ 150:16\\ 152:24\ 154:19\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organisation 8:25 30:13 79:23 139:18 organised 18:20	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overnight 135:11 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owned 43:24 139:8,10 owner 131:12	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 party 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 pathway 103:18 pathence 1:5,13 7:16 35:17 58:11	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personally 127:7 personnel 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7 phrase 70:2 90:22 96:11 100:1
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8 occur 43:10 50:25 occurred 16:14 59:11 October 109:16 133:10,12 off 5:3 11:16 12:17 27:14 28:23 29:14 34:14,15 35:6 52:5 55:20 68:19 69:24 70:1 112:8,12 113:5 113:21 143:1 160:7	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ 147:16\ 150:16\\ 152:24\ 154:19\\ 155:3\ 159:24\ 160:7\end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organisation 8:25 30:13 79:23 139:18 organised 18:20 orient 107:3	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owmed 43:24 139:8,10 ownership 139:17 <u>P</u>	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 pathway 103:18 patince 1:5,13 7:16 35:17 58:11 patro 123:22	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7 phrase 70:2 90:22 96:11 100:1 phrasing 96:10 physical 114:4 153:17 153:20
numerous 37:18 Nyamishaba 102:22 Nyatubindi 51:6,11 54:21,22,24 55:1 N-G-A-R-A-M-B-E 122:5 object 111:22 objection 11:13,14 objections 1:22,24 observed 17:7 OBSERVERS 2:10 observing 102:15 obtain 88:22 obtained 20:8 obviously 2:8 28:6 36:12 92:13 113:15 136:6 occasion 40:8 occur 43:10 50:25 occurred 16:14 59:11 October 109:16 133:10,12 off 5:3 11:16 12:17 27:14 28:23 29:14 34:14,15 35:6 52:5 55:20 68:19 69:24 70:1 112:8,12 113:5	$\begin{array}{c} 125:3\ 148:7\ 154:8\\ 157:15\\ \textbf{one}\ 1:11\ 6:19,22\ 7:8\\ 11:18\ 15:13\ 16:16\\ 16:17,18,18\ 17:3,4\\ 17:8\ 20:23\ 23:15\\ 25:3,17\ 28:10\ 32:13\\ 34:4,5\ 37:19\ 38:4,5\\ 39:9\ 49:16\ 54:19\\ 55:14\ 60:7,17\ 61:25\\ 67:21\ 68:15,22,24\\ 69:12,25\ 70:16\ 71:3\\ 71:3\ 72:24\ 73:14\\ 78:8,9,11\ 80:7\\ 81:13,24\ 85:21\\ 87:13,16\ 88:6,25\\ 91:8\ 95:10\ 96:4,13\\ 96:22\ 100:11\\ 102:13\ 104:7,14,15\\ 106:7\ 108:16\ 115:8\\ 118:13\ 121:3,12\\ 123:1,5\ 124:13\\ 128:13\ 132:1\ 134:2\\ 134:10\ 136:13\\ 137:22,25\ 138:3,8\\ 139:17\ 140:15\\ 147:16\ 150:16\\ 152:24\ 154:19\\ 155:3\ 159:24\ 160:7\\ \textbf{ones}\ 20:18\ 124:7\\ \end{array}$	operations 13:23 48:10 53:1 114:14 115:4,8 116:16 123:5 132:3 134:3 146:11 opinion 158:13 opportunity 1:25 2:3 39:21,25 63:20 82:20 opposing 92:3 106:12 options 1:10 16:6 orally 150:15 order 13:11,11 19:21 24:22 25:8 41:17 47:8,24 48:1,17 49:21 52:25 60:3 85:21 120:7 141:4,8 142:17 ordered 25:23 41:6 ore 8:17 13:8,13 16:20 18:15 19:4,6 25:5 25:10 45:25 50:9 52:21 54:3,11,14,16 99:22 ores 8:19 19:14 organised 18:20 orient 107:3 original 9:19 64:3	outside 62:19 63:6 64:5,11,16 outstanding 14:20 over 2:2 4:13 9:4 10:12 14:5 30:21 39:14 59:14,21 64:18 77:3 79:24 80:3 81:12 82:21 84:21 95:2 96:14 123:21 124:22 145:12 151:6 154:3 156:1,1 159:25 overalls 95:24 overlapped 47:11,13 overspeaking 70:21 77:2 124:21 144:14 151:4 owed 143:11 144:21 147:12 148:3,18 owes 143:23 145:7 owing 143:7,19 144:3 own 10:5 17:11 36:13 58:9,16 71:16 89:4 154:5 owmed 43:24 139:8,10 ownership 139:17	145:17,19 part 25:18 41:6 48:25 52:5 85:15 88:24 93:22 94:12 95:18 96:16,25 98:21 101:15 103:8 125:8 125:13 134:5,7 145:24 154:5 participants 6:6 participation 10:21 particular 6:15 18:21 40:5 49:8 64:10 65:1 76:15 125:19 132:7 parties 1:10 3:8 28:3 38:25 40:2 157:8 158:1 partly 21:23,23 parts 77:22 100:24 101:8 151:13 party 2:10 20:7 92:3 Pascal 154:23 155:1,1 pass 96:22 121:8 passed 139:9 past 61:6 81:9 150:24 pathway 103:18 patince 1:5,13 7:16 35:17 58:11 patrol 123:22 pause 3:5 7:4,11 10:25	86:1,6,18 90:11 96:14 103:6 125:5 133:18 139:7 140:6 140:23 147:1,8,22 periods 102:8 permitted 154:6 158:10,12 person 8:18 10:16 15:23 16:10 26:16 26:22 27:23 59:15 75:7 88:7 103:5 156:2 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 27:7 personal 26:17 88:25 personal 27:7 personal 9:4 10:7 36:2 123:14,21 127:19 135:18 Peter 129:16 PHILLIPS 1:11 Phoenix 13:8,18,22 14:2,6,13,15,17 18:1,11 24:20 25:8 25:25 Phoenix's 14:20 phone 6:8,14 109:18 129:7 phrase 70:2 90:22 96:11 100:1 phrasing 96:10 physical 114:4 153:17

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan

Friday, 25 June 2021

pick 39:23 82:15	61:21,23 62:1 65:20	41:21 143:8	143:24,25	109:23 118:8	156:16
138:23	72:17 75:10,17	proceeding 3:12 60:10	providing 8:1 63:10	138:23 141:6	ready 1:12 7:17 65:8
picking 5:9 79:20	76:12 83:4 88:13,16	proceedings 10:22	108:18 123:7	142:23 143:2 144:4	65:15 112:22 125:4
<b>picture</b> 140:2	89:6,10 91:13	38:22 40:25 56:20	133:17	144:25 147:19	125:5
place 8:19 21:14 25:9	107:12 111:7 136:9	process 19:18 21:24	provision 98:6	155:6 156:25	real 15:22 16:9,12
50:9 51:8 99:2	138:24 139:4	23:22 24:1 32:14	public 23:25 24:2	questions 3:20 8:21	39:11
101:16 126:20			88:15,21	10:19,20 13:15	realise 15:25
128:2	143:10 145:19,21	52:20 54:8,11,13	<i>'</i>	<i>'</i>	realised 52:19 54:2
places 9:9	145:24 150:4 152:17 157:20	91:18 100:4 107:23 126:11	published 88:17	19:11 24:5 27:11	127:13
			pull 44:8 50:5 53:4	32:4,6,10 33:24	
Plan 23:3,5,8,9,11,13	positions 37:15 40:2	processed 54:16	123:17 130:20	34:20 35:10 38:17	realistic 128:15
planned 23:13	97:25	processes 76:20	132:22 135:1 156:13	39:9,10 43:4 54:17	reality 19:9
planning 2:18	possession 74:12	produce 14:8 36:2		55:5 56:17 71:4	really 22:1 53:2 76:2
plant 24:21,22,23 25:4	86:20 87:6 89:18	61:5	pulled 58:4 64:25 65:7	110:24 111:5,24	77:16 88:21 100:6
50:8,10 51:23 52:8	130:17,18,19	produced 1:23 36:8	66:10	114:23 119:3 134:8	108:6 110:9,17
52:10,12,16,18,19	131:18	38:2,3 41:6 61:2	pulling 44:9 122:13	134:15 135:19	129:24,25 140:9
52:22,25 53:11,15	possibility 41:5	69:15 99:4	purchase 46:2 49:16	138:18 142:16	156:20
53:20,21,22,23,25	possible 25:15 27:6	producing 39:3 69:17	purchased 45:23	quibbling 158:2	reason 52:13,15 74:14
54:2,3,8,10,12,14	44:6,7 51:2 96:13	100:20	purported 40:6	quick 94:22	74:18 77:25 91:5
54:15 86:15 98:14	110:14,16	production 25:6,6	purports 91:6 148:23	quickly 28:24 38:25	134:25 149:21
98:20 99:8,22 100:3	post 82:18	26:4 38:4 99:1,8	purpose 5:20 70:5	quieter 9:22	reasonable 3:17 75:9
100:4,6,7,11,13,16	potentially 157:16	100:1,3 101:20,21	71:1 97:21	quietly 110:7	reasons 14:24 16:23
100:18,19,23 101:4	power 24:24 25:3 26:2	115:4 134:3	purposely 96:23	quite 12:23 13:19 15:9	24:17,19,20 76:10
101:8,11,15,16,18	113:11	Productions 114:14	purposes 2:19 25:15	20:3 22:11 28:1	77:19 133:25
101:21	precedent 25:18	products 121:13	69:7 70:7,8	32:9 39:17 51:2	139:24 150:8
pleasant 20:5	precise 116:17	profession 115:17	pursue 18:9	91:22 100:23 119:2	151:21
please 8:1,15 12:5,17	preferred 63:1 101:17	professional 75:3	<b>push</b> 72:4 133:22	150:7 158:23	recall 12:21 15:3
16:1 21:18 28:5,12	prejudice 36:24 40:10	111:14 130:25	put 5:24 21:9 24:11		21:20 24:8,12,17
28:13 29:6,9,16,25	preliminarily 141:17	professionally 87:18	25:9 32:23 38:23	R	43:20 50:13,19
30:7 34:14 41:21	preliminary 1:22	87:24	39:7 44:5 47:20	radioactive 16:16,17	61:20 72:12,15,16
42:13 47:12 53:3	premises 16:22	professionals 76:12	48:15 62:8 68:14	radioactivity 17:1	72:17,20 84:7 85:16
54:23 55:13 63:15	preparation 49:8	professor 3:13 42:7,8	69:23 71:17 73:23	radius 96:21	91:15,18 94:2,3
68:7 69:18 71:4	67:17	42:10,20 54:19 55:4	90:4 99:2 106:13	rails 135:16	102:9 103:22
77:5 78:18 83:17,21	prepare 95:2	55:6	109:11,12 113:14	raise 4:16 6:7 109:6	105:19,21,22 106:1
86:12 93:2 95:6	prepared 2:8 33:17	Profit 85:25	123:22 126:20	114:1	106:2 108:18 109:1
106:7,24 113:20	49:3 98:5 113:15,16	programmes 95:23	128:1 144:1 150:6	raised 157:3	109:3 117:11,12
114:6 129:3,4 136:4	137:7	progress 11:4	152:2 159:7	raising 72:10	129:23 131:1,25
136:10 142:6,24	preparing 67:6,13	project 8:20 12:15	puts 8:18	rather 1:12 14:10	135:2 144:7,14
143:4 146:2 147:19	prerequisite 25:11,12	51:11,14	putting 40:14 66:19	19:12 26:21 41:11	157:11,13
149:1,3 151:19	prerequisites 24:21	projects 12:16 51:19	68:18 71:16 126:7	47:2 66:9 100:4,17	receipt 3:13
152:21 154:13,18	prerogative 66:2	promote 59:20 60:3	puzzling 93:4	143:1 151:22	receive 84:22
156:18	presence 25:23 135:3	promoted 57:15 58:13		raw 14:10,14 26:6	received 3:15 14:7
pledge 14:5	present 1:16,17 33:23	59:10 62:16,17	Q	66:20	16:4,14,25 22:15
pledged 14:17	158:1	promoting 60:21	<b>OC</b> 1:12 2:6	re 3:3 89:21	33:11 69:13 79:6
plugged 113:11	presented 12:23 79:16	promotion 58:20,21	Quam 62:22 65:19,19	reach 5:21 26:23	82:22,24 84:3 121:7
plus 23:18 94:21	President's 27:3 40:14	59:3 61:3	66:9 70:21 108:19	reached 76:19 112:8	receiving 15:20 79:7
<b>pm</b> 1:3 34:8,10 40:20	presumably 41:7	pronounced 132:11	109:2,12,16,17,22	128:14	84:4
40:22 82:11,12,13	82:19	proof 131:14	109:24 110:24,25	reaches 64:11	recently 39:14
128:25 129:2	prevent 134:5	properly 27:1 124:20	142:21 143:6 148:6	reaching 65:6	recess 38:21
160:24,25	previous 40:8	125:15	Quam's 71:12	reaction 154:22	recognise 37:24 63:23
point 2:2 26:18 37:10	previously 20:14	property 86:15	quarterly 90:6	reactions 17:5	76:23 84:9 119:13
40:25 62:22 73:14	principle 54:15	proposal 22:5,19	quashed 130:18	read 29:25 31:3 42:13	119:16 156:7
74:23 76:15 80:16	print 141:25	proposal/investment	question 1:19 2:3 3:3	43:11,14 55:25 58:8	159:10
84:21 89:17 104:20	prior 54:4 84:12	22:21	3:6 10:11 15:13	61:24 63:25 66:5	recognised 62:12 77:7
105:1,7,9,14 140:18	private 40:18 87:9	proposed 26:15 27:25	19:10 30:17,17	70:2 78:1 79:17	145:5 154:16
148:1	125:25	proprietary 88:3	32:13,18 36:20 37:9	86:12 92:23 93:1	recollection 16:2
pointed 37:19	privilege 92:7	prospection 54:4	39:8,11 43:16,17	95:16 102:13 107:5	18:25 20:12 21:7
points 22:22 26:7	privileged 40:8 92:9	<b>PROSPER</b> 3:13 42:10	46:16 47:11,15,18	108:10 114:1,5,6	95:17
policy 66:14,16	92:15	protection 25:9 92:7	47:20,22 48:15	118:23 119:4,15	record 6:7 36:2,7 40:5
Polycom 6:9	probably 2:12,13 5:16	provide 2:24 4:3,10	49:24 53:3,14 54:6	120:5 129:24	41:5 150:21
poor 144:4	21:23 97:19 139:23	49:18 60:20 69:4	54:7,19,23 58:23	132:23,25 137:6	recording 62:20
poorly 109:24 145:11	problem 17:3 26:6	74:4,7,14,18,24	59:25 60:23,24,25	141:25 142:4 145:3	records 148:14
position 4:14 5:17 9:8	51:12,15 151:13	75:8 76:13 120:7	64:17 66:8 68:12	145:5 152:23	recruited 13:9
9:12,25 10:8,13	problems 9:13 24:24	138:9 144:18	69:19 70:21 73:2	154:14 156:17,18	<b>REDEMI</b> 43:24 44:2
11:1 14:13,22 15:10	127:8	provided 67:12 75:5	77:1 79:17,18 81:1	156:22	redo 67:3
15:11 27:17 30:8,25	procedural 3:3	82:20 83:9 85:15	82:16 87:4,5 88:9	readdress 41:11	reduced 18:4
37:13 38:15 58:13	proceed 4:18 7:12,17	109:1 130:3,7	90:8 93:11 97:5,18	reading 24:15 33:15	reduction 19:13,13
58:22 60:10 61:17	11:13 27:17 29:22	137:23,25 142:10	97:21 106:13,14	53:8 63:15,16	refer 16:11 27:8 94:2
			77.21 100.13,14	55.0 05.15,10	
		1			

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 10

Friday, 25 June 2021

98:13 100:14	remotely 96:18	46:11 93:16	104:24 108:3	98:14,20 100:18	37:22 53:9 95:20
111:16	removed 140:16	respectfully 41:19	110:10 114:15,16	104:11	106:20,23 108:15
reference 27:7 65:9	renewal 49:7,7	respond 39:21 64:15	115:4,5,7 116:7,9	Rwamasirabo 33:8,13	120:18,21 121:17
93:5 102:8 106:2,19	repair 50:13,19,24	64:22 75:17	116:12,14 117:1	33:22	130:2 144:15 157:4
110:13,21 121:2	119:20	responded 24:15 66:9	118:8 119:7,11	<b>Rwanda</b> 1:18 2:9,9	scenario 41:15
130:11,13 144:10	repaired 48:6 50:21	Respondent 1:19 2:5	121:14 122:6	4:9 8:16,17,19 9:2,7	scene 79:4
referenced 69:25	repay 14:4,20	4:1 37:13 39:3,20	123:12 124:1 125:6	9:10 10:9,14 13:6	schedule 2:22 69:5
70:16 143:21	repeat 8:2 10:11 12:4	41:3,13 69:15 82:24	125:25 127:1	13:23 14:2,3 16:18	128:9
references 149:9	35:9 46:15 47:12	Respondent's 2:19	128:22 129:5	18:7 19:8 27:2 30:8	scheduled 128:19
referred 130:15 155:1	53:3 54:23 60:23,25	38:14	130:14 131:21	30:18 36:1,8 37:11	scrap 135:15,16
referring 8:13 70:11	66:8 97:16,17	responds 65:19,22	132:12,20 133:2,3	42:22 46:19 66:24	scree 53:12,16
84:7,24 100:11,16	137:10,11 146:2	response 3:10 52:1	133:15 138:23	70:6 88:14 93:24	screen 5:15 6:2,7 12:1
100:18 102:2	147:17 151:19	75:14 76:7	139:24 141:21	111:17 118:2,9	12:5,6 13:1 29:23
121:19 159:6	repeating 35:16 45:18	responsibilities 71:15	143:18 144:22	122:12 127:3	42:3,9 43:12 50:3
refers 67:21	68:12	108:3 145:21	146:22 148:5 149:9	130:21 139:15,18	55:24 76:1 86:13
refused 17:2	rephrase 46:16 47:24	146:13,20 152:9	150:16 152:3 159:9	140:9,11 141:11	113:5,24 119:15
regard 17:2 32:18	81:1 88:9 97:5	responsibility 60:13	160:20	155:15 158:23	126:19,19 136:24
37:2	109:23 117:6	62:7 123:4,10	right-hand 21:16 49:5	160:3	137:1
regarding 3:7 43:22	reply 73:13 139:22	146:11 154:1	rings 22:2	<b>Rwandan</b> 13:24 52:3	scroll 31:22 46:6,9
44:3 67:14 82:24	report 10:17 21:11	responsible 134:18	risk 8:11 17:11 22:1	92:6 93:15 138:14	63:13,21 68:7 84:15
83:25 109:1	51:6 63:8 67:22	135:7,8	38:24 <b>River</b> 47:5,6 51:13,17	157:8 Bwandala 25:6 02:7	84:17 106:17 107:4
regardless 152:3	68:16,23 69:25 72:2	rest 17:20 restart 130:12	<b>River</b> 47:5,6 51:13,17 <b>RM-70</b> 121:2	Rwanda's 25:6 92:7	142:5,5 145:2
region 13:10	100:7 130:21			92:13 <b>R-133</b> 21:11	154:19 Sebeve 47:5 6 8 48:1 2
register 69:2	reported 132:16 140:9 146:14 149:23	restate 77:1 restructure 19:1	road 47:7,24,25 50:24 roads 48:6 50:12,14	<b>R-133</b> 21:11 <b>R-203</b> 128:8 129:12	Sebeya 47:5,6,8 48:1,3 48:5 51:13,17
regranted 26:20	146:14 149:23	result 73:8 109:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		48:5 51:13,17 104:12
regular 53:17,23,25 63:5	reporting 62:4 72:3,9	126:24 130:16	50:16,20 115:20,23 <b>ROBERT</b> 2:19	<b>R-232</b> 85:19,22 <b>R-233</b> 85:19 86:5	second 1:12 2:9 25:12
regularise 14:12	99:3,15 152:15	146:17 148:24	rocks 53:13	<b>R-233</b> 85:19 86:12	43:7 48:25 49:9
regularly 101:12	reports 10:16 17:17	152:13	Rod 119:18 131:11	<b>R-235</b> 90:10 93:2 94:6	75:15 107:3 114:18
regulations 30:12	63:2 66:21 67:7,13	retained 87:12	157:4,10 158:16	<b>R-236</b> 111:9	117:14 119:12
rehabilitate 44:1	67:14,18 68:4,25	retaining 146:23	159:3	<b>R-230</b> 63:12	123:19 128:5
rehabilitated 45:5	71:2,16	retake 38:24	Roderick 2:4 31:20	<b>K-239</b> 03.12	130:23 131:16
48:6	representations 76:8	retire 40:18	119:7	<u> </u>	156:6
rehabilitation 45:2,4	Representative 2:15	retired 42:24	role 8:20 9:5 75:7		secondly 16:24
reimbursing 18:12	represented 3:10	return 80:16,16 83:22	118:4 123:9 152:10	salary 133:2	Secretary 1:22
rejects 52:20	22:10 82:22	87:13 145:1 148:6	152:13 154:7	sale 80:20 84:8,12	section 45:6 51:3
relate 107:20	representing 15:14	149:12	room 5:4,9 6:9 11:6,8	85:14 105:24 106:4	79:12 108:21
related 99:1 111:14	represents 56:16	returned 16:6 140:8	11:11 29:17 32:24	125:25 128:3 139:9	sector 19:1
155:19	Republic 19:14	141:2	40:18 112:7,12	145:16,18,20 sales 79:7 84:5 126:24	secure 26:6
relating 111:7	request 17:8 28:9	returning 141:4,8	113:4 149:2,5	same 9:16 55:10 62:25	secured 14:5
relation 12:22 91:25	35:18,22,25 36:9	returns 63:11 66:24	rooms 82:9	84:2,21 86:6,17	security 8:11 14:18,19
relationship 122:8	37:10,25 39:1,18,20	70:25 71:1	ROSE-MARIE 2:20	97:21 98:12 100:9	115:6 118:3,4,10,11
relevance 159:12	49:6 63:3 64:16	Revenue 70:6	ROSSI 2:18	103:23 113:2 124:6	118:12,13 123:2,4,4
relevant 1:24 69:18	70:4,18 159:19	review 12:24 49:3	roughly 25:6	124:8,12 126:14	123:8,14,21 124:8
relied 62:19	requested 30:25 63:5	63:4	routes 126:9	145:21,21	124:24 126:7
remain 40:25	65:15 127:4	reviewed 48:17 49:8	<b>RRA</b> 70:5,6	sampling 44:21,23	127:14,15,19 128:1
remainder 94:20,23	require 39:7	reviewing 70:7 153:16	<b>RT</b> 1:11	Sampling 44.21,23 San 25:20	133:16 135:18
remained 120:8	required 14:15 24:21	revisit 2:1 41:18	Ruashi 8:14	sanctioned 17:16	see 5:21 15:17 17:17
145:20	29:18 82:22	reword 10:11	rub 110:8	SAP 3:22,25	18:12,22 21:15 22:4
remaining 39:24	requirement 3:7	Re-direct 3:10,16,21	rule 81:17	SARAH 2:18	22:6,7,8,13,15,17
remedy 51:15	66:17	3:25 24:7 54:18	rules 1:1 16:24 18:2	sat 127:7 133:20	23:17,21,23 28:17
remember 5:3 16:14	research 44:25	111:4 134:14	81:24 159:24	satisfied 72:6	29:24 38:5 40:24
20:3,4,6,10,19	reset 5:6	re-examination 34:23	ruling 38:12 92:17,18	Sauer 119:14	42:6,8 43:17,17
22:11 50:21 62:24	residential 115:18	35:3,13	run 22:1 53:22,23	saw 19:12 35:6 73:13	45:11,12 53:6 58:7
103:17 114:19	resignation 36:6 74:4	RICHARD 2:6	71:17 81:7 100:13	74:2 80:15 85:8	64:17 67:19 68:8,22
117:17 131:5,6	74:15,20,21	right 3:18 4:18 9:21	running 1:8 71:16	91:17,18 94:18	69:5 70:25 79:9
132:3 133:9,10	resigned 31:18 74:1	17:5,23 18:3 20:1	134:2	107:22,22 131:20	83:23 84:18 85:1
140:13,23,25 144:1	resigning 74:6	22:11 27:17 29:22	<b>Rupiya</b> 3:13 42:8,10	131:23 153:3	86:14,18,25 91:10
144:3 148:19 155:2	resist 36:12,18 39:3	42:1,23,25 44:8,19	42:20 43:6,9 44:9	saying 45:17,19 47:2	91:20 92:21 94:7
155:13 156:24,24	resolution 141:22	46:13 47:1 48:13,23	45:21 46:1,11 47:23	53:2 64:22 65:6,14	95:7 96:22 98:13,17
160:6	resolved 26:2,3,10	49:1,10 51:7 56:9	48:12,17,24 49:12	65:23 66:9 76:23	100:6 102:2,8
remind 4:22 5:5	resources 26:1 42:22	62:2 66:7 72:13	49:16,25 50:5,7,22	77:6,6 81:2 83:2	106:18 107:1
reminder 5:2 28:4	47:1 56:8 93:8,9,20	79:1 80:4,25 84:14	51:3,10,23 52:5	89:14,20 101:2,11	108:11,25 111:12
34:11 41:23	93:22 114:15	87:5 89:4,6,11	53:14 54:6,12,17,19	117:15 126:13	111:16,17 112:19
remitted 67:2		I UNETU 77 05-71	55:4	132:19 149:22	113:18,24,24 119:5
4 41 15 04 04	130:21 138:14	90:19,23 95:21			
remote 41:15 96:24	141:21	99:13,25 100:23	Rutsiro 48:5 50:8,10	155:25 158:20,21	120:1,15,20,24,25
<b>remote</b> 41:15 96:24 104:14		-			

Page 11

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan

Friday, 25 June 2021

129:13,14,17,18	setting 9:19 76:6	106:15 111:1,5,24	123:19 124:10	speaks 9:15 156:4	129:22 148:23
130:6,10 136:23,25	149:10	113:3	134:15,24 138:18	special 36:9	stated 52:9 77:20
137:1,4,8 141:19,21	Settlement 1:2	Sindayigaya's 95:5	147:8,22 148:17,24	<b>specific</b> 76:15 117:18	95:25 148:25
141:24 147:22	seven 39:6,14	single 26:20 34:4	150:9 154:24	132:7 143:11	statements 32:14
149:6,9,14,15,16	several 20:3 118:11	95:12 98:1 104:9	155:10 156:22	149:10 152:4	61:25 75:4 78:1
154:15,20 159:17	severance 143:9,17	sir 24:6 70:2 71:3 74:9	159:12	specifically 67:14	85:4 86:22 110:13
seeing 68:24 73:17	shaft 49:19	81:16 87:15 99:11	somebody 59:20 71:14	94:14 98:22 130:1	110:18,18 137:23
79:10 86:19 91:15	shafts 44:25 45:1,2	99:14 105:12,19	126:4	150:20	138:2 143:22 144:8
106:21,21 108:12	46:18,20,20,22,24	106:16 107:5	someone 9:20 36:22	specifics 109:1	states 2:11,12,13,14
111:18	<b>shape</b> 80:5	108:14 109:15	60:3 64:10 76:18	specified 148:18	2:15,16 45:6 93:14
seeking 36:13	share 6:7,10 108:5	111:2,8 143:20	88:16 102:14 113:7	specify 95:10 147:13	132:9
seem 11:13 19:20 29:4	shares 131:10 139:10	148:1 149:7 153:25	154:6	148:8,9 149:16	stating 92:24
97:18	<b>sheet</b> 86:5,16	157:14 158:2	something 4:2 9:17,17	SPECIOZA 2:9	station 99:22 101:8
seemed 19:1 20:7	shift 156:3	site 98:23,24 99:5,6	21:8 22:2 29:5	speed 99:23 156:15	statistics 19:7
seems 3:17 29:18	shocking 37:2 39:17	101:14 103:8,13	39:13 48:12 50:17	spell 122:3	status 15:7 33:1 72:25
seen 5:22 15:6 29:10	short 2:12 34:9 40:21	104:17 130:22	50:25 59:9 77:4,11	spelled 122:6	84:11 85:13 96:24
43:21 87:10 91:22	64:12 129:1 156:16	131:17,21,24	77:15 80:12,18 87:9	spend 98:19 104:16	stay 28:5 32:24 82:7,8
138:11 153:1 156:1	shortly 66:9 71:6	132:20 134:17	89:12 91:3 93:3	116:21	stayed 72:8 103:23
sees 40:15	108:17 shovels 95:24	155:3 sites 43:22 23 48:8 11	94:3 107:13 116:23	spent 52:9 102:21 124:13 140:19	158:22 staying 101:18
segue 72:11 selected 23:23	<b>shovels</b> 95:24 <b>show</b> 16:1 21:18 31:22	sites 43:22,23 48:8,11 48:14 55:1 80:9	127:3 142:17 143:3 144:24 155:19	sphere 63:9	staying 101:18 steal 126:1
selected 23:23 sell 17:11,13 119:20	73:17 91:9 107:6	48:14 55:1 80:9 situated 101:16	158:3	Splashtop 113:10	steal 120:1 stealing 125:22 126:21
selling 135:16	showed 79:12 95:9	situation 3:8,14 14:23	sometimes 34:13	spoke 20:5 64:6	step 57:18
semi-industrial 15:18	showing 75:18 91:5	79:22 80:20,22 81:6	97:16 133:23	124:22 151:6	Steve 6:10
45:16,22 49:13	130:4,8	81:9 154:22	somewhat 66:5	spoken 140:24 160:3	STEVEN 2:3
send 11:9 16:25 17:14	shown 13:24 24:9	six 160:10	somewhere 144:9	spots 124:14	stick 41:13 80:17
32:25 33:25 69:24	59:21 75:25 111:9	six-month 84:24	148:17	spreadsheet 86:16	sticking 126:18
sending 7:9 28:9 65:4	shows 71:12	size 103:23 104:3	soon 7:14 24:23 81:14	stacking 67:7,8 68:17	still 1:7 3:9 6:1 11:5
121:8	side 15:15 49:5 83:8	slides 103:14	140:8	staff 2:21 9:7,24 10:3	31:16 32:2 37:3
sends 4:2	86:14	slight 96:20	sorry 3:3 17:21 21:4,8	10:4,13 32:25 57:8	42:21 50:20 59:17
senior 56:8,23 57:13	sides 37:19	slip 83:8	31:24 35:4 38:19	57:12 59:22 60:14	59:23 81:10 108:6
57:15 58:14,22,24	side-by-side 154:13	Slovak 102:20 104:16	45:12,18 46:8 47:10	62:4 71:16,24 98:18	116:24 119:25
60:22 61:3,16 62:3	sifter 101:1	119:19	47:13 54:23 60:24	99:12 103:13 108:7	143:6 156:20
62:16,16 75:3 76:12	sign 11:16 111:11	slowly 22:17	64:15 67:24 68:5	stage 25:18 27:25	158:15,20
78:13 87:20 88:2,6	143:6,8,16,19	small 86:13 98:3	72:13 75:21 76:25	67:11,12	sting 110:9
90:5 99:12,17 108:7	signature 37:22 107:7	100:17 101:20	77:1 78:8 81:1,11	Stallard 1:23	stocks 25:16,24
111:7,17	130:24 142:6,7,8,18	137:8 154:15	81:20 83:20 86:9	stand 30:18 128:13	stole 132:5
senior-chief 87:1	142:20	smaller 104:11,18	90:8 93:12 96:20	standing 27:23 67:15	stolen 123:16 126:14
sensible 11:13	signed 92:21 95:3	119:1	99:14 101:23	67:15 Standy 57:2 70:1 6	126:17 Stone 2:6 6
sent 33:10 37:21 46:18	106:24 107:19	smelted 14:8 smelter 14:6,6,10,18	108:13,15 109:14	Starck 57:2 79:1,6	Stone 2:6,6
76:18 89:8 106:21 111:20 113:9 157:2	111:12 143:7,8	smelter 14:6,6,10,18 14:19	110:25 114:5 124:22 129:7 139:3	81:2,3 84:3,8,13	<b>stop</b> 24:23 30:16 40:17 116:18
sentence 84:2,20	144:7,16,17,23 145:10 147:4	smelting 14:13 24:21	140:1 142:6 143:2	91:7,12 93:8,9,20 93:22,24 94:10,13	40:17 116:18 123:25 124:4
96:10 130:2,6,10	149:21 150:16	24:22,23	140:1 142:0 143:2 147:15 150:7 151:6	94:13 105:25	stopped 21:3,3,5
147:2 156:20,20	157:1	SMS 77:15	151:9,20 152:22	138:25 139:5,8,17	116:15,19 134:23
157:10	significant 39:13	snapping 65:23	156:17 158:17	145:19	135:1,10
separate 11:6 14:13	71:15 79:21 92:5	snappish 66:5	159:18	Starck's 139:7,16	stopping 125:8,20
separately 124:3	signing 111:14 142:9	solar 26:4	sort 60:2 87:18	Starck-owned 86:22	126:21
September 56:14 72:7	145:3	sold 78:25 79:1 80:24	sought 14:12	stark 84:22	storage 17:4
72:8,21 74:10,13	similar 112:25	81:2,3,7 91:7,14	sound 140:1	start 2:23 6:21 28:9	store 17:8
77:17 95:13 96:7	similarly 45:4	solemnly 8:3 12:7	sounds 46:1	53:8 66:21 69:12	stored 125:6 126:15
98:2 129:13	simplest 104:15	30:2 42:14 56:2	source 51:17 92:15	73:2 77:3 91:2	stores 123:15 124:24
sequential 85:19	simplicity 38:2	114:7 137:9,11,13	South 140:14,24	145:12 155:25	126:11
serious 151:1,2 155:15	simply 3:11 88:25	some 11:7 15:20 24:21	space 50:3	156:12	story 78:21,23 79:11
served 146:3	89:14 107:13	26:17 38:9 40:8	Spalena 1:16 79:2	started 26:8 30:13	80:21 85:6 87:21
server 87:8	157:18 158:9,11	43:4 47:6 48:5	80:20 81:2,3 91:7	43:11 44:15 53:12	straightaway 2:7,15
serves 18:24	since 9:4 17:12 49:11	50:19,20 56:17 58:1	91:12 105:25	72:17 82:15 95:8	strike 73:2 131:19
service 118:3,4,10,11	60:17 73:16 116:10	62:11,22 64:5 65:7	139:10 140:4,18	100:7 102:19	154:4
122:25	sincerity 35:23	65:12,16 67:11	145:17,19 152:8	104:10 114:18	striking 153:20
services 15:15 133:16	Sindayigaya 3:17 55:9	69:23 74:22 77:23	span 50:1	116:15 145:1	structure 78:12,14
133:17 134:4	55:15,22,23 56:2,7	80:9 81:9,16 84:24	speak 8:4 12:8 30:3	starting 8:16 85:21	79:13,23 80:12,13
139:13 140:8	56:19 58:9 63:14,22	87:13,18 88:5,20	56:3 77:5 114:8	123:19 128:19 starts 68:15 148:22	80:14 structures 115:10
143:12,23	68:8,10 69:12 70:21	96:21 98:6,9 100:17 100:24 25 103:1	137:16,18 152:6	starts 68:15 148:23	structures 115:19
serving 61:9,12 152:9 session 67:12 89:23	75:24 81:17 82:8 83:20,23 84:20	100:24,25 103:1 107:17 110:5 111:5	speaker 6:18 28:7 34:15	state 8:9 12:12 15:4 43:10,19 76:16	struggling 97:19 studies 52:2
set 1:6,9 23:17 96:8	85:20,23 84:20 85:20 91:5 94:9	114:23 117:15	34:15 speaking 18:13 28:24	43:10,19 76:16 90:13 93:16 103:20	studies 52:2 study 43:20,21
97:7	97:1 105:4,11	119:24 120:8	36:21 151:8	123:20 129:16,19	stuff 148:7
21.1	77.1 105.7,11	117.27 120.0	50.21 151.0	123.20 127.10,17	Stull 170.7
					1

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 12

Friday, 25 June 2021

	1			1	
stuttering 140:1	surname 12:15 155:2	70:7,20 71:1 88:17	tests 17:1	third-party 19:22	traceability 8:17,19
style 111:13	surprise 35:23	93:15 94:17	text 148:23	26:15	13:12 16:24 17:12
subcontractor 132:15	surprised 107:22	team 55:11 62:6,9,10	thank 1:13 3:2 4:17,20	THORNTON 2:13	18:2,8,19 19:14
subdivided 21:17	surprising 5:12	62:10 63:10 75:8	7:6,16 8:6,21 10:19	though 133:11 150:22	125:17
subject 106:21 121:2	susceptible 38:16	102:20 104:16	10:22,24 11:12	thought 20:16 50:25	traced 83:10
154:21 157:3 160:8	suspect 7:24	123:21 126:20	12:10,20 13:14 24:4	60:24 120:1 121:12	track 125:18 127:8
submission 40:3,5,12	suspended 15:24	teams 15:15 46:18	24:6 27:12,13,16	144:4 147:21,23	trade 2:14 25:4
40:16 41:16	16:13 17:24	technical 10:4,4 22:5	28:8 30:5 31:14	155:11	traded 14:10
submit 38:8 39:1,25	suspicion 73:9	22:17,18 104:3	33:4 34:3,6,22 42:2	thousands 104:5,23	trader 13:8 16:11,21
40:17 41:19 148:5	swap 55:11	tectonic 44:19	42:17 43:3,15 48:21	threatening 45:3	traders 15:16 16:8
submitted 12:21 35:12	swear 30:2 42:14	telephone 140:24	54:17 55:4,6,8,19	three 6:5 25:1 49:17	17:24 18:14,17
64:23 66:24 74:25	swearing 95:11	tell 5:17 8:15 13:5	55:21 56:5,15 58:10	85:18 86:21 88:10	trading 14:14,15,16
148:11	switch 91:4	40:6 42:15 54:25	82:7 83:13 86:14	137:23 143:21	14:18 18:9,15 19:2
subsequently 126:16	sync 68:9	56:11 63:23,25	87:4 94:5,8,25	through 19:23 25:21	19:3,3
subsidiary 94:11	system 3:22 8:19 9:9	71:24 74:11 112:22	110:24 111:3,24,25	33:12,14 34:19	training 18:23
139:7,10	18:4,19 25:12 99:3	139:25 156:22	112:1,3 114:10,21	66:25 69:4 85:9	transactions 98:22
substance 41:8	99:3 112:9 125:17	telling 66:14,19 80:21	115:2 119:17 134:8	89:3 100:13 102:6,7	Transcript 1:23 1:2
substitution 72:7	126:7 127:14,14	85:13 87:15,21,23	135:19,20,22	103:3,6 113:10	transfer 4:2,7 83:7
succeeded 25:21	systems 18:23 28:10	99:10 102:22	137:20 138:12	128:6 129:24	transferred 83:5,6
successful 126:21		tells 69:23	141:24 142:22	131:22 133:8,12,18	translated 27:1,7
successfully 1:6	T	temper 157:24	149:6 150:23 160:5	throughout 101:10	28:25 29:12 34:1
suffice 4:8	table 38:5 39:8 44:17	tempers 157:24	160:18	102:10 145:24	43:13
sufficient 52:24 53:16	127:7 152:6	temporary 130:17	thanks 1:4,13 121:16	throws 34:13	translating 28:15
53:24	tabulating 68:16	131:18	theft 123:13 124:4	Thursday 99:3	translation 5:14 22:18
suggest 21:3 31:12		tendency 105:6,13,15	125:9,13,20 126:5	timeframe 56:11,25	52:6
36:24 39:19 111:19	tag 125:2	tender 19:18,23 21:2	132:6 133:23 134:6	times 1:2 96:15 133:21	translator 31:2 33:9
suggested 15:21	tagged 124:20,23	22:9 23:23,25 24:3	thefts 134:16,17,19	133:22 134:22	33:10,14
suggesting 21:21	125:2,3,10,14,24	26:14	135:2	155:22 151:22	transmitting 69:7
37:16,25 38:9	126:16	tendered 20:11,13	their 7:9 14:2,4,6	timetable 27:25	transport 122:18,19
140:10 151:3	tagging 9:9 125:16,16	21:21	15:15 18:18 22:5	tin 14:6,8 25:24	122:20 124:25
suggestion 15:1	125:20 126:11	tendering 19:22	62:4 71:24 89:9	tired 30:24 31:18	125:4,6
127:18	tags 125:1	tenders 21:12 22:14	92:14 94:13 98:24	75:19	treasury 3:22 4:3
suggests 21:5	take 2:7,15 3:19 22:17	116:23	100:25 101:1	title 56:22 60:21 62:13	treat 109:24
sullied 51:13	30:24 32:11,12	tenor 87:1	119:20 125:19	88:2 111:16,21,21	treated 159:3
summarises 14:22	40:13 41:16 50:9	tenure 98:11 99:8	127:8,21 130:18	titled 107:6 130:20	treatment 50:10
summarising 19:25	51:8 57:18 59:14	110:20	133:24 154:3,7,7	today 3:9 4:9,12,13	Trevor 1:24
68:16	81:12,15 94:21	term 37:5,6	themselves 28:17	37:2 39:5,25 42:24	Tribunal 1:22 2:23
summary 14:23 24:13	102:1 106:6 121:16	termed 124:17	thieves 126:6	82:20 111:13	3:20 1:10,20 3:6 5:9
24:16 86:15	124:9 128:10,12	terminate 153:6,7	thing 25:3 63:25 69:12	112:18 128:19	7:3,8 8:15 13:5
Summer 1:2	130:18 135:13	157:19	74:9 104:8,8 125:21	138:1,3,6 140:17	35:12 36:10,23,25
supervise 156:3	155:5	terminated 72:20,22	126:14 155:15	together 64:25 65:7	37:10 39:2,7 40:7
supervised 132:13	taken 9:4,14 10:2,12	73:20,25 75:18	160:7	66:10,19 68:4 71:17	40:10,23 41:7,10
supervising 139:18	21:14 32:15 37:15	139:13 140:8,12,22	things 7:14 10:3,6	71:17 78:1 140:20	68:6 82:14,21,23
supervisor 132:14	135:15 136:18 takag 25:1 62:22	142:11 152:8	20:21 21:22 27:6	156:2 157:9	92:17 96:6 99:21
154:25	takes 25:1 63:23	terminating 110:17	69:2,6 72:3 73:8,10	told 17:2 21:2 26:14	106:12 137:25
supervisors 98:24	taking 6:12 38:23	159:4	74:12,24 76:18	26:18 33:13 62:24	138:5,8 143:25
supplemental 48:20	49:23 127:13 148:24 149:10,22	termination 36:6	77:14 89:19 90:16	85:6 99:24 103:1	Tribunal's 2:18
75:23 101:24	148:24 149:10,22	141:3,13 144:24	98:7 101:1,17,19	117:11 122:10,16	tried 73:17
105:20 108:8,13,18	talk 33:23 51:23 52:7	terminology 143:18	103:8 109:5,24	127:10,24 128:22	truck 100:12 121:3
108:20 137:24	71:22 92:3 129:25	terms 19:2 35:21	132:4,5,5 146:18	131:10 132:6,18	trucking 122:21
138:4	159:25	37:19 82:16 141:19	think 3:17 5:8,19 7:10	143:6,16 148:6	truckload 53:19,22,23
supplier 17:14,16	talked 71:20 103:1	test 25:22 28:24	8:7 10:3 21:23	157:7	trucks 100:2 119:20
supplied 1711 1,10 supply 24:24 25:2,12	110:1 152:5	100:17	24:14 26:2 28:15,21	tomorrow 159:18,19	121:4
26:2,6 53:23,25	talking 22:1 35:7 37:6	tested 11:10 101:4	28:21 38:1 47:19	160:16,20,21,22,23	true 35:23 58:19,24
54:8	63:3 68:9 71:13	112:6	50:2 54:6 69:18	<b>TOMSON</b> 2:6	59:7 73:17,19 81:5
support 2:21 14:18	79:1 85:21 101:12	testified 37:8 84:2	77:4 81:12 94:9	tonne 17:3	102:13,17,18 110:4
26:8 81:10 84:12	101:14,14 102:6	testify 38:22	113:11 114:2 117:4	tonnes 25:5,23	132:19
90:17 98:24	129:9 149:8 150:22	testifying 4:24 28:5	119:19 128:10,15	top 31:15 85:25	truly 35:7
suppose 43:11	157:12 158:6	41:24 55:10,16,17	128:17 130:23	100:12 119:5	TRUMAN 1:11
supposed 7:1 100:20	160:16	testimony 24:9 29:18	134:18 135:23,24	topic 19:16 52:15 71:3	trust 4:1 88:7,13
103:19 128:9,10	tampering 39:12	38:23 39:13,23 53:1	136:11 144:2	159:9	132:18 149:13
sure 11:9 18:1 27:22	tantalum 54:13	58:15 73:13 83:25	148:20 151:13	topics 68:19 128:11	truth 8:4,4,5 12:9,9,9
29:7 34:11,24 41:24	tantalum 54:15 task 62:25	95:11,15 99:13,14	155:22 156:15	total 53:11	30:3,4,4 38:4,6
45:1 61:24 76:17	task 62:25 tasks 62:18	99:20,25 102:18	159:5,6 160:14	totally 80:6 125:20	42:15,15,16 56:4,4
82:1 118:7 119:4	Tatra 121:4	129:8 136:16	thinking 144:16	touch 120:11	56:4 73:24 110:19
138:19 142:16,22	taunting 109:21	151:25	thinks 36:10 40:7	Town 122:25	114:8,8,9 137:16,17
159:22,22 160:1	taunung 109:21 tax 66:24 67:2 69:6,8	testing 6:1	third 2:10 20:7 25:13	trace 4:3 77:11	137:17,18,18,19
,	Lan 00.24 07.2 09.0,8				, -,,,,

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 13

Friday, 25 June 2021

truthfully 119:14	understood 33:16,25	verge 79:5 80:3 83:25	141:17 146:9	went 18:20 66:13	words 33:24 34:4 90:8
try 7:20 73:23 113:6	49:22 54:12 66:4,11	85:8 90:18,22	150:20 155:24	79:14 80:15 101:4	105:17
127:4 156:21	88:1 95:15 121:7	verify 69:8	159:9 160:7	103:17 122:15	work 10:17 19:5 30:16
trying 1:5 2:3 51:14	142:9 145:16,18,24	version 13:1 31:21	wanted 18:25 30:24	139:12 140:13,14	33:10 45:10,17,19
58:6 75:17 77:4	147:3 150:25 151:2	33:12 78:20,23 89:7	32:8 107:6 110:10	weren't 60:12 80:22	46:21 48:4 50:13,16
110:15,19 120:10	152:16	89:10 152:23	121:11 122:16	97:3,9,13 127:15	50:19,24 51:21 54:4
121:10 123:25	undertaken 22:14	very 8:6 9:16 10:21,22	123:11 124:3,19	138:15	54:20,24 55:2 63:6
152:22 155:18	45:8 51:5	11:15 16:17 17:10	142:22 143:5	western 48:4 95:18	65:1 66:23,25 67:3
156:23 157:25	undertook 104:7	20:5 27:12 34:6	150:21	96:17,24	67:5 69:14 70:11,14
tungsten 20:23	unexpected 151:22,23	36:9,15 37:1,7,8,9	wanting 160:11	we'll 5:5,21 7:14 32:12	71:25 72:5,6 97:23
tunnel 103:18	unfortunately 28:10	39:4 42:17 55:6	wants 125:24	34:6 65:16 80:17	100:21 114:18
tunnelling 46:25	35:5 89:5	58:23 61:20 70:17	wash 100:18	92:18 128:12	115:22 117:20,25
tunnels 45:4,5 47:2	United 2:11,12,13,14	71:6 79:24 80:1	washing 99:22 100:3	135:25 159:18	124:6 133:7,11
123:15	2:15,16	81:14 108:23	101:8	we're 1:7 2:8,24 3:8	141:4 156:2
turn 6:17 12:17 27:13	university 51:19,20	109:21 110:2 112:1	wasn't 33:21 34:5	3:14 4:19,21 5:17	worked 20:25 30:10
28:13 92:20 94:6	52:4	112:10,25 114:10	35:2 48:3,15 52:24	7:10 8:7 9:21 11:18	30:11,14 33:9 64:24
turned 35:6 53:12	unknown 37:11	126:23 128:24	53:16 63:3 68:5,9	27:20,21 28:9 38:12	73:23 98:19 116:14
TV 12:17 27:16	unless 11:12 126:3,6	135:2,20 136:2	94:14 101:11	38:14 39:17 40:16	117:23 118:1,2
twice 39:14 84:21	129:24 159:13	137:8,20 139:11,11	111:21 118:21	40:18 41:4 42:1	working 11:5 33:13
two 2:16 10:3 16:23	unlikely 41:19	140:3 141:24 142:7	123:12 153:8	65:15 79:15 81:14	53:15 57:22 65:16
20:16,22,25 21:17	unmute 28:12 136:10	146:24 151:1,18	waste 40:1	81:21 82:6 85:20	72:18 73:1 78:14,23
21:20 25:17 26:7,21	unmuted 28:17	154:15 155:14	watching 27:16	113:16 117:15	90:21 91:11 116:5
44:6 46:12 50:1	unnecessary 40:1	156:9,9 157:13	WATKINS 2:22 4:19	126:13 128:9,10,15	116:15 118:16
62:9 94:15 107:9	unpaid 67:8 80:23	158:16 159:17	4:21 6:4,8,11,14 7:1	134:20 151:13	121:19 135:25
109:4 117:10	81:6,7	160:5,17	7:8 9:21,23 11:5,18	160:13	138:25,25 141:9
128:10,14	until 17:5 22:4 30:22	via 1:5	11:23 27:20 28:4,9	we've 1:18 5:24,24	workings 69:11
two-thirds 18:17	30:24 57:11 72:8	video 1:5 28:9	28:15,23 29:7,11,14	112:8 124:25 126:4	works 9:9 24:22 45:16
type 31:9 71:14 88:11	80:15 82:12 116:23	view 1:15 2:4 40:25	32:23 33:3 34:11	136:1,2	45:22 49:13 51:8
108:2 115:15	140:11 147:11	62:23 101:22	41:22 55:10,14,17	whereabouts 96:6	59:15 116:20
125:18 135:7	159:18 160:20,21	102:21 158:12	55:20 81:13 82:8	98:1	world 3:20 19:9
typical 3:12	160:25	159:3	83:16 112:6 113:2	while 4:21 16:18	worse 85:10
<b>typo</b> 65:11,13	<b>unusual</b> 35:25 37:24	viewpoint 157:15	113:18,21 114:4	27:21 51:2 62:1	wouldn't 15:8 77:24
T	38:1 39:16	158:5,9	136:4,13,17	91:11 129:7 139:14	116:19 117:17,17
U	unwashed 100:2	virtually 140:19	way 6:16 25:21 26:1	139:16 144:15	126:17 135:16
Uganda 118:1	update 11:1 50:11	Virunga 115:12,13,23	88:21 90:21 96:10	whilst 152:9	151:22 write 76:23
ultimate 139:17	83:4 updates 15:8	116:10,13,18 117:3	96:10 100:1,13	white 15:21 16:4,15	
146:11 154:21	upset 152:1	visit 95:10,22 96:23 104:7,8	105:9 110:13 116:19 124:5 126:5	16:16,18 17:22 156:2,3	writing 38:25 39:20 39:25 41:1 62:9
ultimately 146:14	URL 120:18	visited 96:5,13,16 97:2	127:18,20 156:11	whole 8:4 12:9 30:4	76:11 150:6
152:15 154:2	use 6:15 20:6 49:21	97:11,13 131:24	156:21	42:15 56:4 59:14	written 31:6 39:1
Umurungi 130:25	53:20 78:9 87:9	visiting 96:1 102:19	ways 37:12	63:25 66:23 76:7	40:14 77:24 141:23
131:17	100:24 101:1	104:10	weak 112:10 127:13	100:20 104:8,8	wrong 77:8 110:15
unable 74:4	107:13	voice 28:25 151:16	webcam 136:7	114:8 124:13	112:20,20 152:4,24
unacceptable 65:24	used 49:17,18,21 87:9	152:17 158:10	week 3:24 125:3	126:11 137:16,18	wrote 31:11
unanimous 141:22	88:25 101:9,9	volume 19:4	148:11	141:6	with 51.11
under 1:1 22:17 23:19	104:14 121:4 146:6	volume 19.1	weekly 99:7	wide 86:13	Y
25:14 27:3,4 62:6 66:3 73:0 87:1 00:8	uses 15:15 68:22	W	weeks 25:1	wife 129:9	yahoo.com 119:6
66:3 73:9 87:1 99:8 110:20 115:8	using 6:9 37:7 113:2,3	WA 3:13 42:10	weight 37:15 133:22	winning 23:23	year 2:2 3:21,21 4:6
110:20 115:8 118:12 123:5,10	143:18	wait 1:11 28:16 34:16	welcome 42:18 114:11	wire 4:2,7	31:19 57:3 73:12
139:16	usually 4:2	43:3 56:15 67:24	well 2:2,5,19 4:13 5:18	wires 135:14	84:25 86:6,17,21
	US\$2,000 133:2	43:3 30:13 07:24 114:21 124:25	7:20 10:4 11:12,15	wisdom 110:23	89:2,4 90:11 103:3
HUNDERDEALD / STILL	0000155.2		-	wish 1:11 143:3	
underneath 23:19 understand 7:25 10:8	utterly 40:9		14:25 15:13 17:13	wish 1.11 145.5	116.21 117.9
understand 7:25 10:8		138:17	14:25 15:13 17:13 18:16 20:3 31:12	withdraw 144:25	116:21 117:9 139:12
<b>understand</b> 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14		138:17 waited 34:1 133:20			139:12
<b>understand</b> 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23	utterly 40:9	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11	18:16 20:3 31:12	withdraw 144:25	139:12 <b>yearly</b> 63:11
<b>understand</b> 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2	utterly 40:9 v v	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9
<b>understand</b> 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8	utterly 40:9 v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v _ v v _ v v _ v v _ v _ v _ v _ v _ v _ v _ v _ v	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21 41:15 45:9,15,23	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10
<b>understand</b> 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21 41:15 45:9,15,23 46:10,20 58:23 67:5	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21 41:15 45:9,15,23 46:10,20 58:23 67:5 67:19 68:2 72:11	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23
<b>understand</b> 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21 41:15 45:9,15,23 46:10,20 58:23 67:5 67:19 68:2 72:11 74:9 79:20 83:1	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21 41:15 45:9,15,23 46:10,20 58:23 67:5 67:19 68:2 72:11 74:9 79:20 83:1 84:19 86:8 89:24 91:1 92:12 106:11 109:12 118:22	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15 140:17	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7 Van 64:8,9,18 65:5	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9 walked 99:21	18:16 20:3 31:12 36:12,20 38:8,21 41:15 45:9,15,23 46:10,20 58:23 67:5 67:19 68:2 72:11 74:9 79:20 83:1 84:19 86:8 89:24 91:1 92:12 106:11 109:12 118:22 119:4 125:22	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19 wolframite 53:13	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23 yesterday 83:8 Z
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15 140:17 understanding 4:11	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7 Van 64:8,9,18 65:5 66:15,20,23 67:20	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9 walked 99:21 want 4:22 5:1 36:24	$18:16\ 20:3\ 31:12\\36:12,20\ 38:8,21\\41:15\ 45:9,15,23\\46:10,20\ 58:23\ 67:5\\67:19\ 68:2\ 72:11\\74:9\ 79:20\ 83:1\\84:19\ 86:8\ 89:24\\91:1\ 92:12\ 106:11\\109:12\ 118:22\\119:4\ 125:22\\128:18,21,24\ 135:2$	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19 wolframite 53:13 54:13	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23 yesterday 83:8 <u>Z</u> zones 20:22
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15 140:17 understanding 4:11 53:14 118:15	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7 Van 64:8,9,18 65:5 66:15,20,23 67:20 69:1 70:15	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9 walked 99:21 want 4:22 5:1 36:24 51:23 63:22 80:17	$18:16\ 20:3\ 31:12\\36:12,20\ 38:8,21\\41:15\ 45:9,15,23\\46:10,20\ 58:23\ 67:5\\67:19\ 68:2\ 72:11\\74:9\ 79:20\ 83:1\\84:19\ 86:8\ 89:24\\91:1\ 92:12\ 106:11\\109:12\ 118:22\\119:4\ 125:22\\128:18,21,24\ 135:2\\136:2\ 143:18$	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19 wolframite 53:13 54:13 wonder 32:10 142:25	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23 yesterday 83:8 <u>Z</u> zones 20:22 Zoom 1:5 6:4 34:13
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15 140:17 understanding 4:11 53:14 118:15 119:22 120:6 121:1	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7 Van 64:8,9,18 65:5 66:15,20,23 67:20 69:1 70:15 various 9:9 144:7	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9 walked 99:21 want 4:22 5:1 36:24 51:23 63:22 80:17 99:20 101:6 102:5	$18:16\ 20:3\ 31:12\\36:12,20\ 38:8,21\\41:15\ 45:9,15,23\\46:10,20\ 58:23\ 67:5\\67:19\ 68:2\ 72:11\\74:9\ 79:20\ 83:1\\84:19\ 86:8\ 89:24\\91:1\ 92:12\ 106:11\\109:12\ 118:22\\119:4\ 125:22\\128:18,21,24\ 135:2\\136:2\ 143:18\\144:24\ 151:22$	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19 wolframite 53:13 54:13 wonder 32:10 142:25 word 68:23 101:5	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23 yesterday 83:8 Zones 20:22 Zoom 1:5 6:4 34:13 Zuzana 72:12,12 73:7
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15 140:17 understanding 4:11 53:14 118:15 119:22 120:6 121:1 121:6 123:9 127:2	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7 Van 64:8,9,18 65:5 66:15,20,23 67:20 69:1 70:15 various 9:9 144:7 154:3	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9 walked 99:21 want 4:22 5:1 36:24 51:23 63:22 80:17 99:20 101:6 102:5 105:9 107:3 115:11	$18:16\ 20:3\ 31:12\\36:12,20\ 38:8,21\\41:15\ 45:9,15,23\\46:10,20\ 58:23\ 67:5\\67:19\ 68:2\ 72:11\\74:9\ 79:20\ 83:1\\84:19\ 86:8\ 89:24\\91:1\ 92:12\ 106:11\\109:12\ 118:22\\119:4\ 125:22\\128:18,21,24\ 135:2\\136:2\ 143:18\\144:24\ 151:22\\157:13\ 158:13\\$	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19 wolframite 53:13 54:13 wonder 32:10 142:25 word 68:23 101:5 worded 145:11	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23 yesterday 83:8 Zones 20:22 Zoom 1:5 6:4 34:13 Zuzana 72:12,12 73:7 73:22 110:14
understand 7:25 10:8 10:13 27:19 35:14 36:9 46:24 52:16,23 53:2 56:7,12 73:2 75:7 82:17,18 83:8 89:13 113:13 114:13,17 118:7 119:6 130:11,12,15 140:17 understanding 4:11 53:14 118:15 119:22 120:6 121:1 121:6 123:9 127:2 127:22 134:17	utterly 40:9 V v 1:17 Valery 153:2,14 157:25 160:10 validate 69:10 Value 23:7 Van 64:8,9,18 65:5 66:15,20,23 67:20 69:1 70:15 various 9:9 144:7 154:3 Vaughn 1:24	138:17 waited 34:1 133:20 waiting 11:8,11,11 32:24 112:7,12 126:8,8 147:13 151:11 waived 37:17 wake-up 113:9 walked 99:21 want 4:22 5:1 36:24 51:23 63:22 80:17 99:20 101:6 102:5 105:9 107:3 115:11 118:7 119:3 122:3	$18:16\ 20:3\ 31:12\\36:12,20\ 38:8,21\\41:15\ 45:9,15,23\\46:10,20\ 58:23\ 67:5\\67:19\ 68:2\ 72:11\\74:9\ 79:20\ 83:1\\84:19\ 86:8\ 89:24\\91:1\ 92:12\ 106:11\\109:12\ 118:22\\119:4\ 125:22\\128:18,21,24\ 135:2\\136:2\ 143:18\\144:24\ 151:22$	withdraw 144:25 witnesses 32:16 33:18 38:14,16,22 39:24 92:14 112:15 128:14 witness's 75:22 91:24 92:1 WOLFENSTEIN 2:19 wolframite 53:13 54:13 wonder 32:10 142:25 word 68:23 101:5	139:12 yearly 63:11 years 14:9 17:4,9 25:17 61:19 74:10 77:14 89:3 110:22 158:23 yesterday 83:8 Zones 20:22 Zoom 1:5 6:4 34:13 Zuzana 72:12,12 73:7

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan Page 14

Bay View Group LLC ar	nd The Spalena Company LLC -v- Republic of Rwanda
Day 5 Hearing on Jurisdiction and the Merits	ICSID Case No. ARB/18/21

Friday, 25 June 2021

		1	 	1
\$	48:7,14,22 49:12	<b>360</b> 136:15		
<b>\$100,000</b> 84:24 94:24	50:14	360-degree 1:8		
	2009-section 46:10	<b>362</b> 94:21		
<b>\$2,000</b> 133:5	2010 49:11 50:1,5,7,13			
	50:15,17,20,22 51:3	4		
1	53:12 56:12,13	4 2:6,6		
<b>1</b> 3:3 21:15	57:19 86:1,17,23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1st 60:21 96:7 98:1	90:12 92:22 102:6	<b>4th</b> 145:10,13		
<b>1.1</b> 94:22	102:10 103:3,12	<b>4.20</b> 82:12		
<b>1.28</b> 34:8	107:18 138:25	<b>4.22</b> 82:13		
<b>1.50</b> 2:23	140:11	<b>40</b> 136:3		
<b>1.7</b> 94:3	<b>2011</b> 8:16 18:4,7,12	<b>42</b> 3:13,14		
<b>10</b> 25:23 53:4 110:22	26:8 30:24 31:16,19	<b>43</b> 3:15		
<b>10th</b> 65:10	51:9 57:4,5,7,25			
<b>10-minute</b> 128:12,24	58:13,24 60:3,21	5		
<b>100%</b> 126:23	61:3,18,22 89:3,5	<b>5</b> 23:2,7 64:20		
<b>11</b> 3:7 43:8,18 44:10	90:6 95:13 96:7	<b>5th</b> 65:4 69:24 142:8		
61:19	98:2 103:9 109:16	143:24 146:24		
<b>11.2</b> 43:22 48:20	111:10 116:10	147:4		
<b>11.3</b> 43:25	143:24 144:20	<b>5.49</b> 128:25		
<b>11.4</b> 44:3	145:13 146:24	<b>50</b> 18:14 19:3		
<b>111</b> 3:21	147:4 157:3	<b>54</b> 3:16		
<b>113</b> 3:22	<b>2012</b> 19:7 43:1 56:14	<b>55</b> 3:17		
<b>114</b> 3:23	72:21 74:10,13	<b>56</b> 3:18,19		
<b>115</b> 3:24	77:17 87:1,20 89:3			
<b>12</b> 3:8 15:19 16:1,3	90:6 95:13 96:7	6		
46:7,16 48:22,25	98:2	6 58:5,12 94:12		
12,000 103:21,23	<b>2013</b> 17:23 19:7	6th 157:3		
104:2,23		6.06 129:2		
<b>12.00</b> 160:25	114:18 117:7,14,16	<b>60%</b> 18:16		
<b>12.15</b> 1:3	118:5 123:19 128:5	<b>66%</b> 18:16		
<b>13</b> 3:9	<b>2014</b> 9:2,8 10:10 18:24			
13th 31:16 87:1	19:7,7 120:4 128:6	7		
<b>134</b> 3:25	129:13 131:3	<b>7</b> 3:4 2:22 94:12		
<b>136</b> 4:1	<b>2015</b> 18:24 133:4,8,9	7 5.4 2.22 94.12 7th 65:15		
<b>137</b> 4:2	133:13	<b>7.02</b> 160:24		
<b>138</b> 4:3	<b>2016</b> 18:10,13 19:19	<b>751</b> 94:21		
14 25:5 123:17	21:4,6,13 22:4 26:9			
<b>15</b> 14:9	2017 13:23 14:9,12,17	<b>76</b> 94:23		
15th 66:24 67:1 69:9	117:7	8		
16th 129:13	<b>2017/2018</b> 117:4,5			
16-20 19:17	<b>2018</b> 117:4,7	8 3:5,6		
<b>17</b> 84:17,18	<b>2019</b> 87:7	<b>80%</b> 16:15 25:20		
17th 21:14	<b>2021</b> 1:7 1:1 161:1	<b>89</b> 31:1		
<b>177</b> 154:15	<b>21</b> 95:6			
<b>18</b> 25:5 78:19 83:22,24	<b>22nd</b> 92:22	9		
<b>19</b> 79:12	<b>23rd</b> 72:8	<b>9</b> 98:12 101:25		
<b>1986</b> 118:2	<b>235</b> 94:2 <b>24</b> 2:10 108:22	<b>93</b> 3:20		
<b>1990</b> 118:2	<b>24</b> 3:10 108:22 <b>24th</b> 21:12 120:2	<b>95%</b> 14:8		
	<b>24th</b> 21:13 120:3			
2	<b>24/7</b> 24:22 <b>25</b> 75:22 76:6			
<b>2</b> 22:8 23:8,14 44:12	<b>25</b> 75:22 76:6			
126:5	<b>25th</b> 1:7 1:1 <b>27th</b> 64:10			
<b>2.05</b> 34:10	<b>27th</b> 64:10			
<b>2.05</b> 34.10 <b>2.15</b> 40:20	<b>28th</b> 161:1			
<b>2.13</b> 40:20 <b>2.19</b> 40:22	<b>29</b> 3:11			
<b>20</b> 21:17,19 26:11				
108:9,10,15 132:22	3			
<b>20th</b> 22:4	<b>3</b> 22:13 23:4,5,10,12			
<b>20%</b> 16:15	43:7			
<b>20%</b> 10:13 <b>200</b> 123:21	<b>3rd</b> 21:14			
<b>200</b> 123:21 <b>2000-2004</b> 118:3	<b>3.51</b> 82:11			
	<b>30</b> 3:12 2:24 3:21			
<b>2002</b> 14:7,9 <b>2008</b> 43:1 0 10 22	<b>30%</b> 18:15			
<b>2008</b> 43:1,9,19,22	<b>31</b> 86:1			
44:15,17,22,23,25	<b>34</b> 13:25 24:9			
45:6,21,23 50:14 2000 46:12 13 10 47:4	<b>35</b> 13:25 24:9			
<b>2009</b> 46:12,13,19 47:4	<b>36</b> 91:1 92:20			

Anne-Marie Stallard for Trevor McGowan