INDEX NO. 657237/2020 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 68 Case 1:21-cv-06704-PKC Document 29-1 Filed 09/27/21 Page 1 of 3 NYSCEF: 09/27/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. ANDREW BORROK		PART	53			
		Justice					
		X	INDEX NO.	657237/2020			
	DF HAITI, BUREAU DE MONETIS MES DAIDE AU DEVELOPPEMEI		MOTION DATE	01/19/2021, 01/19/2021, 09/08/2021			
	Plaintiff,		WOTION DATE	09/00/2021			
	- V -		MOTION SEQ. NO.	001 002 003			
PREBLE RIS	SH HAITI SA,		DECISION + O	RDFR ON			
	Defendant.		MOTION				
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSC	, ,	nber (Motion 001) 9, 2	2, 43, 44, 45, 46,			
were read on	this motion to/for		STAY				
	e-filed documents, listed by NYS , 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39), 23, 24, 25, 26,			
were read on	this motion to/for	PREL INJUNCTION/TEMP REST ORDR .					
The following 63, 64, 66, 67	e-filed documents, listed by NYS	CEF document nu	mber (Motion 003) 58	3, 59, 60, 61, 62,			
were read on	this motion to/for		DISCOVERY				

Upon the foregoing papers and for the reasons set forth on the record (9/27/2021), the petition to stay arbitration is denied. It is beyond dispute that the parties freely and unequivocally agreed to arbitrate all of their disputes in New York (NYSCEF Doc. Nos. NYSCEF Doc. No. 29, 31, 33, Art. 20). Indeed, the petitioners drafted the very agreements containing the arbitration clauses they now seek to invalidate (NYSCEF Doc. No. 26, ¶¶ 3-8). The petitioners fail to establish that the arbitration provisions are illegal under Haitian law or to otherwise invalidate the agreements they drafted (*compare* NYSCEF Doc. No 45 [agreements are illegal under Article 956] *with* NYSCEF Doc. Nos. 28 and 48 [explaining that Article 973, which supersedes Article 956,

657237/2020 REPUBLIC OF HAITI vs. PREBLE RISH HAITI SA Motion No. 001 002 003

Page 1 of 3

Article 971 defines as arbitration involving "international trade"]; see also NYSCEF Doc. No. 49). The agreements at issue are clearly international in scope (see e.g., NYSCEF Doc. No. 49). The petitioners offer no support for their contention that the arbitration clauses were included by mistake. Inasmuch as the agreements contained an alternate method for service of the demand for arbitration, service under the Hague Convention was not required. Finally, because CPLR § 7505(3) requires that an "application to stay arbitration must be made ... within twenty days of the notice or demand," or else be "precluded," the petitioners are also precluded from seeking to

provides that the prohibition on arbitration does not apply to *international arbitration*, which

served by the respondent in accordance with the parties' agreements. Accordingly, (i) the petition to stay arbitration (mtn. seq. 001) is denied, (ii) the petitioners' motion (mtn. seq. 002) to

stay arbitration now as their petition was filed at least 32 days after notice of arbitration was

stay arbitration is denied, (iii) the respondents' cross-motion to compel arbitration is granted, and

(iv) the petitioners' motion for disclosure and fees are denied as moot.

It is hereby,

ADJUDGED that the petition to stay the subject arbitration is denied in all respects, and the petition is dismissed, with costs and disbursements to respondent; and it is further

ADJUDGED that the parties shall proceed to arbitration forthwith and respondent's counsel shall serve a copy of this judgment upon the arbitral tribunal; and it is further

ADJUDGED that respondent, having an address at 5eme Etage, Immeuble Hexagone, Angle Rues
Clerveaux et Darguin, Petion-Ville, Haiti, do recover from petitioner, having an address at 12,
Boulevarde Harry Truman, Port-au-Prince, Haiti, costs and disbursements in the amount of \$
as taxed by the Clerk, and that respondent have execution therefor; and it is further
ORDERED that the motion (seq. no. 002) to stay arbitration is denied; and it is further
ORDERED that the cross-motion to compel arbitration is granted; and it is further
ORDERED that the motion (seq. no. 003) to compel production is denied as moot.

					2021	0927110228ABORROKAD8D0D980	3834	2609 6F4989C07E6435 4	_
9/27/2021	_			7 ()					
DATE						ANDREW BORRO)K, .	J.S.C.	
CHECK ONE:	х	CASE DISPOSED				NON-FINAL DISPOSITION			
		GRANTED	X	DENIED		GRANTED IN PART		OTHER	
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER				SUBMIT ORDER		_	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFI	ER/RE	ASSIGN		FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT		REFERENCE	