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Toronto, Ontario,
--- Upon resuming on Saturday, February 24, 2018 at $8: 32$ a.m.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I think, since the main actor will have to catch a plane, I think we will start right away. I think people are in place.

Would you please call Mr. Power. Please take your seat.

Good morning, Mr. Power.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Could you please read the statement you have in front of you.

THE WITNESS: I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth and that my statement will be in accordance with my sincere belief.
AFFIRMED: MR. MICHAEL POWER
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you.
I will give the floor to Ms. Zeman
for direct.
EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MS. ZEMAN
MS. ZEMAN: Good morning, Mr. Power.
A. Good morning.
Q. Could you briefly describe for
the tribunal a bit of your background.
A. My background is that I am the president of Atlantic Coast Materials LLC. I've been with the quarry at Bayside since its inception in 1998. Prior to that I was vice-president/general manager of Martin Marietta Materials Canada from 1995 to 1997.

Prior to that, I was the president of Construction Aggregates which was purchased by Martin Marietta in 1995.

Prior to that I was involved with a construction company that designed and built the Auld's Cove quarry.
Q. You have in front of you two expert opinions from SC Market Analytics; did you write anything in these SCMA opinions?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. In light of that, could you
describe to the tribunal your role in assisting to prepare the SCMA reports?
A. I was contacted by Colin Sutherland about a year ago and he asked if he could bounce some questions off me sort of as a resource, and I said "Yes."
Q. Have you provided any opinion or
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fact testimony to this tribunal?
A. No, I have not.

MS. ZEMAN: Thank you.
MR. NASH: Good morning. We have some handouts that I'd like to give in addition to the cross-examination binders.

There is an exhibit called --
numbered C-0756. It is a large spreadsheet. It is a source document for the SCMA reports and we are going to give you a copy of that and then copies of some other documents that are also source documents, in loose form.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NASH:
MR. NASH: Good morning, Mr. Power.
A. Good morning.
Q. My name is Greg Nash. I have a few questions for you this morning. I am going to get you on your way so you can make your plane.
A. Thank you, I appreciate it.
Q. Who wrote the SCMA reports, to your knowledge?
A. To my knowledge, Colin and David.
Q. Can you turn to tab 1 in the binder in front of you, which is first report.
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Could you turn, please, to page 11.
You will see that that's a chart, a graph, which shows at the bottom, across the page from left to right, a number of quarries; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that the first three
are blue?
A. Right.
Q. ?
A. That's correct.
Q. Then you've got a hatched red graph, do you see that?
A. Right.
Q.
A. Right.
Q. Now, this, you will see is a
chart which shows the
; do you
see that at the very top?
A. Yes.
Q. And aggregate can consist in
different forms of rock, that's right?
A. That's correct.
Q.



|  | Page 1854 |  | Page 1855 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. That's correct. | 1 |  |
| 2 | Q. And is that | - |  |
| - |  | 3 | Q. Right. Could you turn, please, |
| 4 | A. That would be | 4 | to page 13, which is a map of the region. |
| 5 | Q. Is everything on here | 5 | A. Right. |
| 6 | to your knowledge? | 6 | Q. We'll see on this map -- we'll |
| 7 | A. To my knowledge. | 7 | see New York down at the bottom left; do you see |
| 8 | Q. So the figure is | 8 | that? |
| - | that's correct? | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | A. That's correct. | 10 | Q. Do you see the blue -- going into |
| 11 | Q. ; right? | 11 | the Bay of Fundy, |
| 12 | A. In around that, yes. |  | right? |
| 13 | Q. | 13 | A. That's correct. |
|  |  | 14 | Q. And there is a red dot and that's |
|  |  | 15 | Q correct? |
|  | that's correct? | 16 | A. Okay. |
| 17 | A. That's correct. | 17 | Q. You understand that |
| 18 | Q. |  | ? |
|  | correct? | 19 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 20 | A. That's correct. | 20 | Q. At least the proposed project. |
| 21 | Q. | 21 | If we go up the southeast coast to Nova Scotia and |
|  |  | 22 | we get to the top, we see a blue dot and that's |
|  |  | 23 | $\square$; is that right? |
|  | that's correct? | 24 | A. It appears to be, yes. |
| 25 | A. | 25 | Q. Right. |
|  | Page 1856 |  | Page 1857 |
| 1 |  | 1 | (indicating)? |
| $\square$ | that's correct? | 2 | A. Yes, that would be my guess. |
| 3 | A. That's correct. | 3 |  |
| 4 | Q. And then if we go across to the | 4 | yes. |
| 5 | left, ; do | 5 | Q. If we go back to this chart, |
| 6 | you see that? | 6 |  |
| 7 | A. ? | 7 | correct? |
| 8 | Q. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| - | ; correct? | 9 | Q. identified by |
| 10 | A. Right. | 10 | SCMA as a |
| 11 | Q. And we go straight up the line |  | is that your understanding? I can take you |
| 12 | there is a red dot there; do you see that? | 12 | back to the graph, if you want. |
| 13 | A. | 13 | A. I see that -- |
|  |  | 14 | MR. SPELLISCY: I think if he's |
| 15 | Q. ? | 15 | asking for a comment on what SCMA identified, |
| 16 | A. There is a sort of a pink'ish | 16 | Mr. Power has identified he didn't author the SCMA |
| 17 | one; is it that what you are referring to? | 17 | report. |
| 18 | Q. Right. And what quarry is that? | 18 | MR. NASH: I would ask Mr. Spelliscy |
| 19 | A. | 19 | not to object -- |
|  |  | 20 | MR. SPELLISCY: I would ask Mr. Nash |
| 21 | Q. | 21 | to ask appropriate questions and I won't have to |
|  |  | 22 | object. Mr. Power has confirmed that he didn't |
|  | (indicating)? | 23 | author the report, so to the extent that Mr. Nash |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | wants to question him on what he did provide. To |
| 25 | Q. | 25 | the extent he wants to question him on opinions he |


|  | Page 1858 |  | Page 1859 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | didn't author, pursuant to procedural order 25, clearly outside the scope of cross-examination. <br> MR. NASH: Could you go back to page | 1 | A. One of those two. |
| 2 |  | 2 | Q. |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 11, Mr. Power, and we'll come back to this map in |  | (indicating); is |
| 5 | due course. | 5 | that correct? |
| 6 | We went through the various quarries? | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | A. Right. | 7 | Q. We'll leave this map up. |
| 8 | Q. You identified where they were, | 8 |  |
| 9 | in Canada, you identified the graph line with the |  |  |
| 10 | name of the quarry; do you recall that? |  | that's |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | correct? |
| 12 | Q. If you go to the far right-hand | 12 | A. According to -- |
| 13 | side, | 13 | Q. In the graph. |
|  |  | 14 | A. In the graph, yes. |
| 15 | A. I see that, yes. | 15 | Q. Now, if we go back to the blue |
| 16 | Q. A | 16 | section, if you go |
|  |  | 17 | back down to the shipping line, the yellow line |
| 18 | A. $\quad$, yes. | 18 | across the middle of the page, |
| 19 | Q. |  |  |
|  |  | 20 | A. I see that. |
| 21 | Do you see that on the map? | 21 | Q. Did you give that figure to |
| 22 | A. I would say that it's either the | 22 | either Mr. Sutherland or Mr. Chereb? |
| 23 | one on the very top or the one that -- that one or | 23 | A. No, I did not. |
| 24 | the one -- | 24 | Q. Were you asked -- |
| 25 | Q. The one next to it? | 25 | A. I was asked if it seemed |
|  | Page 1860 |  | Page 1861 |
| 1 | reasonable. It is at least, in my estimation, it is | 1 |  |
| 3 | Q. Further? |  |  |
| 4 | A. Further away. |  |  |
| 5 | Q. From the -- |  | did you |
| 6 | A. From New York. | 6 | tell him, "You know something, it is probably at |
| 7 | Q. In terms of the distance between | 7 | perhaps -- |
| 8 |  | 8 | A. No, I didn't tell him that. |
|  |  | 9 | Q. Were you asked by him about that? |
|  |  | 10 | A. He asked me if these costs look |
|  |  | 11 | reasonable and I said, "To the best of my knowledge, |
|  |  | 12 | I would say yes." |
|  |  | 13 | Q. And you carefully went through |
|  |  | 14 | these figures? |
| 15 | Q. Another day. | 15 | A. Yes, I did. My knowledge is |
|  |  | 16 |  |
| 17 | A. Possibly, yes. | 17 |  |
| 18 | Q. When you provided your feedback | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | on | 19 | Q. You didn't actually do a |
|  |  | 20 | calculation of a |
|  |  | 22 | A. No, I did not. |
| 23 | A. Yes, I did? | 23 | Q. Did you know if anybody did? |
| 24 | Q. Did you advise Mr. Sutherland, | 24 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 25 |  | 25 | Q. If you go then over to |

, this is the second number 3 at the top of the blue -- the second column --
A. That's right.
Q. In the blue section. That's the
A. That's correct.
Q. It's a

A. Yes, I do.
Q. Did you tell Mr. Sutherland that you thought that was reasonable?

A. That's correct.
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A. That's right.
Q. And the shipping cost for that
shown on the chart is $\square$ do you see that?
A. Right.
Q. Were you asked if that was a reasonable figure?
A. I was.
Q. And you said it was?
A. I thought it was.
Q. And you thought it was even,
A. Right.
Q.
how many days is that, to your
understanding, to get up to there?
A. From what my understanding is and what I was told by the shipping company, based on the load-out rate of --
Q. About an extra day longer; that's what you were told by somebody?
A. Uh-hmm.
Q. So you thought that for $\square$
Q. Did you do any actual formal calculation of that?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Were you asked to?
A. No.
Q. Then if you go over to the

A. Yes.
Q. Did you understand that to be principally a
A.
Q. A
that's correct?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. Where do we find
A. That would be the green spot,
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A. That's correct.
Q. Did you ask anybody to verify --
A. No, I didn't.
Q. -- that? Did you seek
independent advice from anybody, any professional?
A. No.
Q. Did you go to a guy like Wayne

Morrison -- do you know Wayne Morrison?
A. Yes, very well.
Q. You've known him for many, many
years?
A. Yes.
Q. He used to be with CSL during your time at the aggregate industry?
A. Uh-hmm.
Q. Did you call up Wayne Morrison and say, "Wayne, what do you think? Is the shipping cost to go from New York up to

Did you ask him about that?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Am I to understand then that your
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review of these figures was really principally based $\square$ ?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that you made estimations of the actual shipping costs and you thought they were sort of within a reasonable range?
A. They -- these costs were there.

I was asked if they looked reasonable.
Q. Right. So these costs had already been slotted in for you and you didn't offer up any changes, that's correct? Do you know who slotted those costs in?
A. I would assume it was Colin and

David.
Q. Do you know that?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Do you know if Jason Ward had any input into that?
A. Who?
Q. Sorry, Jason Ward -- sorry, James

Ward.
A. James Ward?
Q. Yes.
A. No, I don't.
Q. If we go across the chart DJL
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Five columns over from the left, .
A. Yep.
Q. And you thought that was
reasonable, within the range?
A. I'm not sure I was asked about

A. Right.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, where is $\square$ on
this map?
A. $\square$ would be the pink
area.
Q. It would be up here (indicating)?
A. Right.
Q. Up right there. So, the figure
that you were provided with was ; that's correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And --
A. Also
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A. That's correct.
Q. And the cost per ton, again, short ton for shipping stated in this document is
A. That's correct.
Q. If I understand correctly then, to go here,
; is that right?
A. Again, I said it was a reasonable cost. I did not -- I did not -- I thought it was a reasonable cost.
Q. You thought it was a reasonable cost. All right.
 the far side.
Q. Over here. Over there (indicating)?

understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. And then if we go to

right there (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. And that quarry also doesn't
exist and I don't even know if it was a thought in anybody's mind in 2007?
A. I doubt very much it was.
-a,
A. That's the estimate.
Q. Let's just go over to Whites

Point. And the Whites Point column, number 7, it's identified as ; do you see that?
A. Ido.
Q. And Whites Point is here
(indicating). Do you see that?
A. Right.
Q. So your understanding is that the figure that was being used for shipping for Whites ; is that
right?
A. On this column it is, yes.
Q. Yes. And was that
figure in this chart when you received it?
A. I believe it was.
Q. All right. Let's just go down to the bottom, then, of all these columns. There is a yellow line across the bottom.
A. Right.
Q. And that yellow line is
do you see that?
A. Uh-hmm.
Q. Let's go across to the blue

A. Yes, I do.
Q. Then if you go over to Whites

Point,
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And that
; do you see that?
A. Ido.
Q. Were you asked to do any kind of a calculation as to what the -- or asked to review
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A. I was asked -- I based my thoughts on the fact that it was but I had no idea what the water draft was. I had no idea what the load-out rate was or anything else, but...

Q. Nobody told you that?
A. No.
Q. Has anybody ever told you that?
A. No.
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A. It is and it isn't because it's based on the tides, the ship has to come, arrive and come in on the start of the high tide and it has to leave on the start of the high tide.
Q. So it has to wait for the tide to
be correct to get into the --
A. Right.
Q. -- destination; that is correct?
A. That's correct.
Q.

A. That's true.
Q. And if the tide isn't quite right, it has to wait for the tide on its way out to be quite right; right?
A. That's right.
Q. Did you know anything about the tides at Whites Point Quarry?
A. No, I don't but I'm assuming that
they would be similar.
Q. That's your assumption, yes?
A. Well, the Bay of Fundy's tidal range is roughly the same.
Q. But you don't know the --
A. I don't know.
Q. -- approach from the Bay of

Fundy, out of part of the Bay of Fundy into the coast where Whites Point is located?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Correct? You didn't know anything about what the conditions were at that entry point?
A. No, I did not.
Q. If you go back to the chart on
page 11. Just to put this in context, what we have is the
that's right?
A. That's correct.
Q. We have
A. How much?
Q.
A. ?
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Q. Now, did you know anything about the Whites Point design when you were asked for your opinion on the shipping range or --
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you know anything about the load-out rate?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you know that it's

A. I heard that this week.
Q. Did you know -- well, of course

24
25
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A. Yes.
Q. Then we have Whites Point at
A. Yes.
Q. And then to the right we have
A.
Q.
A. Then, yes.
Q. And we have
o you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then we've
do you see that in the
hashed red column?
A. Yes.
Q. And then we -- and they're, you know, I'm going to say in the

A. What I heard.
Q. Now, was most of the aggregate

A. The majority of it was.
Q.
; that's right?
A. In that timeframe, yes.
Q.
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PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Go on.
MR. SPELLISCY: I'm sorry, the answer is that you can continue the question even though procedural order number 25 makes it clear that that's outside the scope of cross-examination? I'd just like clarification.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Let me get back to the question, to the precise question. Can you read that out, Mr. Spelliscy, the question that you reacted to?

MR. SPELLISCY: "Now, in terms of the


There is nothing in what Mr. Power has provided in his input to SCMA that is on that question at all.

Mr. Nash has referred to him as a
witness. He is not here as a fact witness
MR. NASH: Honestly, it's fine. It's not an issue. I don't want to waste time.

So, were you asked at all anything
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about plant efficiencies of these various quarries that we've spoken about in Canada?
A. Plant efficiencies?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Were you asked anything about

A. I was asked if some of the costs were -- that were on this sheet were reasonable. I said "yes."
Q. If we go to those costs, in the
blue column, number 1 showing the

reasonable?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And going down the various other costs, shipping is the next, then drilling, blasting, fuel, power, wear items, repairs and maintenance and supplies; were you asked whether those were reasonable?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. So the total variable cost of , you thought was reasonable?
A. That's correct.
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| 1 | Q. And that's based on your | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | 2 |
| - |  | 3 |
|  |  | 4 |
|  | ; is that right? | 5 |
| 6 | A. Yes, that's correct. | 6 |
| 7 | Q. Were you asked to look at the | 7 |
| 8 | other variable costs for the other quarries that are | 8 |
| 9 | shown here and asked whether you thought those were | 9 |
| 10 | reasonable or not? | 10 |
| 11 | A. I was asked to look to see if | 11 |
| 12 | they were reasonable. And, again, I couldn't |  |
| 13 | comment what it -- if they were -- if they were or |  |
| 14 | not. |  |
| 15 | Q. And so, if you look at the far |  |
| 16 | right-hand side, close to the far right-hand side, |  |
| 17 | Whites Point, |  |
|  | were you asked if you thought those were |  |
| 19 | reasonable? |  |
| 20 | A. I believe I was. | 20 |
| 21 | Q. And what was your response? | 21 |
| 22 | A. I believe I said I thought they | 22 |
| 23 | would be. | 23 |
| 24 | Q. Did you have any material before | 24 |
| 25 | you as to design -- | 25 |

$\square$
you would consider to sort of be rough dynamics or the ballpark figure?
A. I would say it was the ballpark
figure.
Q. Were you asked about any of the other quarries and their variable costs?
A. Not really, no.
Q. Have you been to any of these

Q. Right. Could you turn, please, to, in the binder to tab 8 . I'm sorry, 8A.
A. Tab 8?
Q. 8A. Sorry.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: 8A.
MR. NASH: You will see, if we are at

|  | Page 1886 |  | Page 1887 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the right place, | 1 |  |
| 2 | A. Invoice 04039? | - |  |
| 3 | Q. I've got 05038. | 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | Maybe it is back one page. It may be | 4 | Q. If you go back, I hope on page -- |
| 5 | in the same tab. | 5 | invoice number 06033 dated |
| 6 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Where do you | 6 | A. That's correct. |
| 7 | see the "A"? I don't. | 7 | Q. If you go down, you see |
| 8 | MR. NASH: Tab 8A. | - |  |
| 9 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: There is 8 and | 9 | A. That's correct. |
| 10 | then there is a page 1,2 -- | 10 | Q. And so again, that was a |
| 11 | MR. NASH: Okay, so let's go to | 11 |  |
| 12 | invoice 05038. It may be the first page there. |  | correct? |
| 13 | A. The first page I have is 04039 in | 13 | A. Yes, |
| 14 | tab 8. | 14 | metric tonnes. |
| 15 | Q. Let's go to the last -- do you | 15 | Q. In metric tonnes? |
| 16 | see an invoice there $\quad$ which is 07017 ? | 16 | A. It was always converted to short |
| 17 |  | 17 | tons for New York. |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | Q. And the $\square$, is |
| 19 | Q. So this is an invoice dated | 19 | that short ton or metric? |
| 20 | , invoice 07017, and this is an | 20 | A. That's per short ton. |
| 21 | invoice to | 21 | Q. Per short ton. And then below |
|  | , and it shows that there are | 22 | that line there is a statement |
|  | ; do you see that? |  | $\square$ do you see that? |
| 24 | A. Right, yes. | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. So we take it then that the | 25 | Q. And that's ? |
|  | Page 1888 |  | Page 1889 |
| 1 | A. That's correct. | 1 | A. That's correct. |
| 2 | Q. So that's an | 2 | Q. So the |
| 5 | that's correct? <br> A. That's correct. | 5 | A. Approximately. |
| 6 | Q. And that would be about | 6 | Q. Go over to the next tab. That's |
| $\square$ | ? | 7 | a letter $\square$ |
| 8 | A. In that area, yes. | $\square$ | do you see that? |
| 9 | Q. On a | 9 | A. Yes, I do. |
|  | ? | 10 | Q. You say: |
| 11 | A. Right. | 11 |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 | to an invoice and I think it's the last page in the |  |  |
| 14 | tab, $\qquad$ invoice 09024? |  |  |
| 15 | A. 09024, yes. |  |  |
| 16 | Q. And that's an invoice dated |  |  |
| 17 | ; do you see that? |  |  |
| 18 | A. That's correct. |  | [As read.] |
| 19 | Q. And do you see there below, | 19 | And you give the tonnage, I think it |
| 20 | towards the bottom where we were in the other | 20 | . And then you have |
| 21 |  | 21 |  |
| 23 | A. That's correct. |  | And on the far right-hand side there is a |
| 24 | Q. And again the | 24 |  |
| $\square$ | ? |  | do you see that? |



|  | Page 1894 |  | Page 1895 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 134 | Q. And after it was e | 1 | A. At that point, yes. |
|  |  | 2 | Q. Now, in the result, |
|  | A. He came back to me and said, | - |  |
|  |  |  | that's correct? |
|  |  | 5 | A. That's correct. |
|  |  | 6 | Q. And whereas you had been |
| 8 | Q. If we go over to the second page |  |  |
| 9 | of the , we will see that in the |  |  |
| 10 | middle of the page, regarding the re |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \\ & 12 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | -- are you with me? Fourth paragraph |  |  |
|  | down: |  | that's correct? |
|  |  | 13 | A. That's correct. |
|  |  | 14 | Q. |
|  |  |  | that's correct? |
|  |  | 17 | A. That's correct. |
|  | [As | 18 | Q. |
| 19 | read.] |  |  |
| 20 | So at that point what you had was |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | ; that's correct? |
|  | is that right? | 22 | A. Somewhere in that area, to New |
| 23 | A. According to this, yes. | 23 | York -- to the US destinations. |
| 24 | Q. Does that accord with your | 24 | Q. To US destinations but not to New |
| 25 | recollection, to the best of your recollection? | 25 | York City; correct? |
|  | Page 1896 |  | Page 1897 |
| 1 | A. Not to New York City. | 1 | that project? |
| 2 | MR. SPELLISCY: So we are now at | 2 | A. I haven't heard anything that |
| 3 | 9:30. We are beyond the scope of -- we are now | 3 | it's about to break ground. From what I've -- the |
| 4 | talking about which is beyond the | 4 | last I heard it was still on hold. |
| 5 | scope of what the report was. | 5 | Q. I'd like you to take a look at |
| 6 | My colleague Mr. Nash had said he | 6 | this very large sheet in front of you, which |
| 7 | would be done long before. I am worried because | 7 | Mr. Nash asked you a series of questions about. |
| 8 | he's got 15 minutes and we have not had a chance to | 8 | If we look on the far right-hand |
| 9 | ask him a few questions on re-direct, so I would do | 9 | side, you see that there are two columns there for |
| 10 | two things: request that Mr. Nash keep his questions | 10 | Whites Point -- |
| 11 | on the scope of the report and advise us that he can | 11 | A. That's correct. |
| 12 | finish quickly so that Canada's rights are not | 12 | Q. -- both in green. Can you read |
| 13 | prejudiced. | 13 | the second cell on the left side and the right side |
| 14 | You will recall Canada wanted to do | 14 | out for the record? |
| 15 | this examination last night. | 15 | A. Umm... |
| 16 | MR. NASH: I can short-circuit this, | 16 | Q. So right underneath Whites Point? |
| 17 | Mr. Spelliscy, I am finished. Over to you. | 17 | A. "Based on document R-0757 and |
| 18 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you. | 18 | latest Whites Point's costs". |
| 19 | Mr. Spelliscy? Oh, sorry, Ms. Zeman. | 19 | Q. Do you know what document R-0757 |
| 20 | I am so used to you -- | 20 | is? |
| 21 | MS. ZEMAN: A bit of variation here. | 21 | A. No, I don't. |
| 22 | RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZEMAN: | 22 | Q. If we go down to the very bottom, |
| 23 | MS. ZEMAN: Mr. Power, Mr. Nash | 23 | those two columns, there is some text on the |
| 24 | stated to you that Black Point was about to break | 24 | left-hand side; can you read that out? |
| 25 | ground. What is your understanding of the status of | 25 | A. "Based on Plaintiff's costs..." |

Q. All right. Now, you were also taken to page 11 of the first SCMA report and figure 2.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know which of these two columns Mr. Sutherland used in the report here?
A. I do not.
Q. So then you were asked at tab 8 of your binder here These are some invoices.
A. Uh-hmm.
Q. Do these

A. No, they did not. There was a separate invoice always with an "A" behind it.
Q. Finally, Mr. Nash asked you about the reserves at the
A.

MR. NASH: Sorry, I missed the last part of that.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Mr. Nash
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missed the last part of --
MS. ZEMAN: Of the answer or the question?

MR. NASH: Of the answer.
MS. ZEMAN: The answer.
MR. SPELLISCY: Perhaps the reporter can read it back. It is in the LiveNote transcript.
(Court reporter read back.)
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you.
MS. ZEMAN: Those are all my questions.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much.

Mr. Nash, yes.
FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NASH:
MR. NASH: You say

A. That's correct.
Q.
A. That was the rough scope of it,
yes.
Q. Who were you told that by?
A. I believe it was the Province of

New Brunswick.
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 on the part of Canada?

MS. ZEMAN: No.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: No? Okay, questions from the tribunal.
QUESTIONS FROM THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL:
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Just one
question for you, I think we are still well within
time. Please answer with "yes" or "no."
When you compared the
you include in your judgment of reasonableness, the distances --

|  | Page 1902 |  | Page 1903 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: The distance? <br> PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: -- from New <br> York? I mean, in the sense, for instance, when I compare the rates, it occurred -- | 1 | THE WITNESS: With load-out rates and |
| 2 |  | 2 | everything else, it would be different. |
| 3 |  | 3 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you, Mr. |
| 4 |  | 4 | Power. I think -- |
|  |  | 5 | MR. SPELLISCY: We have one follow-up |
|  |  | 6 | question from that. |
|  | when you assessed | 7 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Oh, okay. |
| 8 | the reasonableness, did you compare also the distance -- | 8 | MR. NASH: No objection. |
| 9 |  | 9 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I'm sorry? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: $\square$ ? | 10 | MR. NASH: No objection. |
|  |  | 11 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Great, in the |
| 12 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Or just the | 12 | light of yesterday. |
| 13 | transport times between themselves? <br> THE WITNESS: Between -- I knew what | 13 | FURTHER RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZEMAN: |
| 14 |  | 14 | MS. ZEMAN: So on this chart, |
| 15 |  | 15 | Mr. Power, we looked at two columns here for Whites |
|  |  | 16 | Point; right? |
|  |  | 17 | A. Right. |
| 18 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay, and with | 18 | Q. If we go look down at the freight |
| 19 | regard to the other quarries that are on that? | 19 | rate, Mr. Nash took you to the $\quad$ on the left, |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: I have an idea, that | 20 | and what's the number on the right there? |
| 21 | like I said, | 21 | A. |
| 22 | Again, it depends -- just -- that's just on sailing | 22 | Q. Do you remember which one you |
| 23 | time. | 23 | looked at? |
| 24 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank | 24 |  |
| 25 | you. | 25 | MS. ZEMAN: Thank you. |
|  | Page 1904 |  | Page 1905 |
| 1 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay. Thank you very much. | 1 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Well, I |
| 2 |  | 2 | decided that I could do without Dirk so you have -- |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 3 | good morning, Mr. Ward. |
| 4 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: That brings to | 4 | THE WITNESS: Good morning. |
| 5 | an end your examination. I think you -- I wish you | 5 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Would you be |
| 6 | are relieved and probably in a double sense, | 6 | so kind and read out the statement that is in front |
| 7 | relieved emotionally and -- | 7 | of you? |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 8 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I solemnly |
| 9 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: -- have a good | 9 | declare upon my honour and conscience that I will |
| 10 | flight. | 10 | speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. | 11 | truth and that my statement will be in accordance |
| 12 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Dirk, did you | 12 | with my sincere belief. |
| 13 | want to say something or -- | 13 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you, |
| 14 | DR. PULKOWSKI: No, I was just going | 14 | Mr. Ward. |
| 15 | to prepare the witness table for the next witness. | 15 | AFFIRMED: MR. JAMES WARD |
| 16 | It may be premature. | 16 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Ms. Zeman, you |
| 17 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: No, no, I | 17 | have the floor. |
| 18 | think we can -- | 18 | EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY MS. ZEMAN: |
| 19 | MR. NASH: Could we have a 4-minute | 19 | MS. ZEMAN: Good morning, Mr. Ward. |
| 20 | break between this witness and the next? | 20 | A. Good morning. |
| 21 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay, we will | 21 | Q. Could you briefly describe for |
| 22 | have a break until 9:45. | 22 | the tribunal a bit of your background and |
| 23 | MR. NASH: Thank you. | 23 | experience? |
| 24 | --- Recess taken at 9:38 a.m. | 24 | A. Yes. Initially I was an |
| 25 | --- Upon resuming at 9:46 a.m. | 25 | industrial analytical chemist. My equivalent degree |

is in chemistry.
Following that I was an asphalt plant foreman, quarry foreman, assistant quarry manager, quarry manager. During that time I was sent to Doncaster College to take engineering, mining engineering, geology, surveying, to qualify for the Member of the Institute of Quarrying in England. I was then transferred to South Africa to work for Tarmac, the same company that I was employed by in England.

In South Africa I was a quarry manager, a production manager, a technical development manager for a while. They needed a professional geologist and so I was asked to join the South African Geological Society.

They didn't recognize the full
Doncaster College information to qualify me for there. Then I had to go to the University of Witwatersrand, for a year to study under a Dr. Josh Lewry [phon.] on South African geology, by which time I was admitted into the South African Geological Society as a geologist.

After performing duties in South Africa up till 1985 as a technical manager for the company, a technical development manager where we
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a company called Georgia Marble.
I was appointed president of the newly formed company Blue Circle Aggregates, and asked to develop and grow the company which I did over an 11-12-year period.

Following that, I left when -- just after Lafarge bought us. I worked for Lafarge for probably two years, almost three, and then decided that I didn't like Lafarge and took a -- or decided to leave. I left and started my own consulting business.

I then worked for an investment company to buy aggregate companies for them to develop aggregate companies throughout the United States.

I was then hired by a gentleman to acquire some aggregate operations for him and then once we had done that I was asked to work for him to merge the aggregate operations together with what he already had, run his asphalt and concrete divisions.

I sold his concrete division for him and in 2008 when the economy deteriorated dramatically I was retained for two years as a consultant but no longer managed the business and subsequent to that I've gone back to doing
developed into new quarries, home building, glazed, bricks, ready-mix concrete, asphalt. During that time, just to give you an illustration of some of my roles, we had a contract just to the north of Zululand to construct a road. My job was to find a source of stone. There are not many quarries north of Zululand and so we had to develop a quarry, had to find rock, put a plant in and then put a portable asphalt plant in to produce asphalt for the road.

So that's the kind of thing.
I was then transferred to the United States to help them develop the company in the United States as the technical development manager for Tarmac Roadstone, USA.

I was then asked if I would run the operations in Texas, the aggregate and trucking operations in Texas. During that time I decided it -- after 23 years with Tarmac, I would leave, so I went to Phoenix to work for Blue Circle West and I ran the ready-mix aggregates and trucking operations in Phoenix, Arizona. They decided to sell part of the company to Cemex.

I didn't want to work for Cemex, so I was offered a position back in Atlanta with Blue Circle, at which time they bought some quarries from

Page 1909
consulting and looking for opportunities to develop my business.
Q. You have in front of you the two expert opinions of SC Market Analytics; did you write anything in these opinions?
A. I didn't write any of the
opinions, no.
Q. In light of that, can you
describe for the tribunal your role in preparing the SCMA reports?
A. From time to time, Mr. Sutherland would ask -- because of our relationship, he used to work for Blue Circle -- to prepare information for him or contribute to the information and that's exactly what I did. He would outline what he wanted and that's what I would carry out, or offer an opinion, or whatever he needed.
Q. So understanding that you didn't write any part of the reports and that it wouldn't be appropriate to correct words that are not yours, are there any corrections you'd like to make to any of the analysis that you provided to Mr. Sutherland and Dr. Chereb?
A. I did see in R-0842, that the --

I think $\square$ is the figure that's in the document,
$\qquad$
it should be which is the number of hours worked, it's four hours out in $\square$, so it is a very minor change. I don't think it has any effect or any material effect on any opinions that would be formed.

MS. ZEMAN: Thank you.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you, Ms. Zeman.

And Mr. Nash.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NASH:
MR. NASH: There is a large document that we were just referring to with Mr. Power in front of you. It is Exhibit R-0756; do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. I understand that you had some role in creating this document; is that correct?
A. I contributed information to the preparation of that document.
Q. In fact, we've distributed a
document which includes the whole document. And it is a series of different pages and I think it's off an Excel sheet.

In this document we see the letters on the Excel form "JW" repeatedly?
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person to deal with it.
I would give it to Mr. Sutherland who would then be the last person to deal with it.
Q. I see.

If we see the initials "JW", does
that mean that you are the originating author of the document?
A. It means that it contains the
majority of some -- of the information that I provided.
Q. Right. Let's just go to the large first page or a portion of the first page of Exhibit R-0756.

Can you confirm for me what parts of the data in this document were contributed to or provided by you?
A. I was asked to provide a comparison of costs for the quarry, in my best estimate. It was a comparison based on equivalency, equivalency meaning that we wanted to try and put everything on the equal basis of product mix, volume -- not volumes but on utilization or sales, equals production.

So, that is why you would probably see a notation that this was in 2006, 2007, when
A. Yes.
Q. Was it you that actually created these documents?
A. I contributed to them. The "JW", I believe, just for clarification and to move along quickly was the fact that those -- Mr. Sutherland based his final documentation using those particular figures.

There was "JW revision" and "JW the original", I believe. If those are the documents you are referring to.
Q. And "JW" the revision, did that stand for your initials?
A. Yes.
Q. So, James Ward, where we see --
where we see a "JW" in these documents in the Excel form, that would be James Ward; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if these copies that have been produced in this hearing, in this case, have the initials "JW" on them, do I understand correctly that you would be the last person to deal with the document; is that what -- how it happened or is it that you would --
A. No, I would not be the last
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most quarries, to our belief were sold out, and so we tried to equate production with sales and there was a methodology to doing the costs. If you wish, I will go into the methodology because it is the difference between accuracy and precision.
Q. Just before we go there, did you have any information to verify the cost that you put into this document?

## A. Umm...

Q. Let me put it this way:

Are the figures that we see here of those things based upon your estimates?
A. To clarify that, since 1985

I have looked at a tremendous number of quarries in the United States. I've been part of a very large number of acquisitions and been privileged to obtain an awful lot of cost data on an awful lot of quarries in different operations so I am basing these costs on the information that I have obtained over the years in that form.
Q. So these costs here are what I would call your experiential cost estimates; is that
fair?
A. Based on information obtained over the period, actual information on accounts.
Q. Over the 30 years you've been involved in this?
A. Since -- in the United States, since 1985.
Q. Right. So, you didn't go to any of the companies that owned these -- these couple of investment quarries and say to them "This is my estimate; can you give me some idea if I'm in the right ballpark." Do I have that right?
A. I did not go to the official
companies, no.
Q. Okay. Now, if you go to
"Variable costs" over on the left-hand column, you see "wages", "stripping", "drilling", "blasting", "fuel, "power", "wear items", and so on; were those categories created by you?
A. Yes.
Q. Is all of the information in that category across the page inputted by you? Leave aside shipping for one moment.
A. Without looking at my computer I would say "yes."
Q. Is all of the information presented in this document and, again, leave aside shipping, is that inputted by you to the best of your recollection?
A. Without going back and looking at every figure, it is difficult to say but I would say the majority.
Q. Can we say the vast majority; would that be fair?
A. Yes, or by -- I mean, what do you mean by "vast majority"?
Q. Well, the majority could be 51 per cent or the majority could include 80 or 90 per cent. When you say it is in the 80 to 90 per cent range?
A. To help the tribunal, probably 75 per cent, in that order, or more.
Q. But at least all of those figures we have across the page under the heading "Variable cost" and "wages", and so on, all of that information, to the best of your recollection is provided by you; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then we have -- going down again, that column, we have "Total variable costs"
and those figures would be provided by you; that's correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then we've got freight to New York, and we have a line across for each of the quarries. Many of the squares aren't filled in but if we get over to Canada, those figures in the blue section were provided by Mike Power; that's your recollection?
A. I'm not quite sure who provided -- I know they were entered finally by Mr. Sutherland. The water transportation is not my expertise.
Q. Did you take any steps -- well,
first of all, did you ever speak to Mr. Power?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you speak to him about these figures?
A. We had a very general conversation about the figures.
Q. And he -- is it based upon that conversation that you came to the conclusion that those figures were reasonable?
A. No, which? The --
Q. Shipping?
A. Shipping. I cannot comment because I have no background to judge what he was telling me, whether it would be right or wrong or anything like that, and I was more interested in certain aspects of his quarry.
Q. And did you take certain aspects of his quarry, including the variable costs there into account in inputting this information?
A. Not the transport, but the costs,
yes.
Q. The other cost --
A. The cost of production, yes.
Q. If we go to the next
section down, we've got freight to New York rail, we have freight to New York trucking or truck, including tolls; was it you that gathered the information for that category of cost?
A. I provided some information on the tolls in New York which is pasted onto one of the spreadsheets. I also found the industry calculation for calculating truck rates, and remember, this was, for an accurate comparison, rather than a precise determination.
Q. An accurate comparison rather than a precise determination?
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A. Yes.
Q. Can you unpack that for me?
A. Yes, in my -- if I put my
chemistry hat on, if I may for a moment: In the days of chemistry you had a methodology to arrive at a result. The result may be 1 part per million but you may consistently arrive at 1.1 part per million.

You are accurate in your work because you consistently arrive at 1.1 part per million, right? But the precision is off by the 0.1.
Q. And so in a case like this, were you going with the "It's off by 0.1 but otherwise it's precise"?
A. No, I was going by the accuracy of the method determining in these costs with an intent to try to obtain some precision.
Q. Did you contact any of the US quarries in the New York City/New Jersey area that were actually shipping aggregate into the New York City market to see exactly what their costs were?
A. I did not contact the quarries, no.
Q. Can we go to page 10 of the first report. I'm sorry, you will see there -- you are in tab 1?
A. I -- yes, I see.

A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that
delivering aggregate by truck into New York City is a very expensive proposition?
A. I've heard testimony to that effect.
Q. Did you take that into account in assessing the cost of actually getting aggregate into the New York City market?
A. My assessment was based on the information that I provided in the document which was the theoretical trucking cost plus the tolls.
Q. Theoretical trucking cost plus the tolls?
A. Yes.
Q. You did no actual calculation of actual trucking cost to get from one quarry to New York City or another quarry to New York City; correct?
A. I have, as well as

Mr. Sutherland, some knowledge of trucking costs and
A. I'm sorry, I'm sorry.
Q. That's my fault. Tab 1, page 10. Did you create the map on that page through the use of Google Earth?
A. Yes, I contributed to that map.
Q. When you say you contributed to it, what was your contribution?
A. I took the Google Earth and took all the different aerial pictures of the quarries, identified the quarries from the information provided in MSHA of the description where the quarries are.

I identified the quarries, put them on the map and then put the information in on that map.
Q. We see that the quarries are different distance from New York City; do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.
Q. And do you see under that
$\square$ do you see that?
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those played a part in contributing to the trucking costs.
Q. And in --
A. As well as the calculation.
Q. And so you actually personally went through the calculation of the cost, of trucking cost -- cost of trucking to get from these various land-based quarries in New York City for each quarry --
A. No, not each quarry, no, we used -- I used a radius, zone, and in some instances from a quarry you ask for a price and they'll say, well, it's $\$ 5$ per ton to this zone or $\$ 6$ to that zone, or you can get it to a specific customer, to a specific location, but it depends, there are various ways of quoting transport costs.
Q. Did you contact any of the quarries on this Google Earth map to see what they were actually experiencing as trucking cost to take in a ton or however many tons?
A. Not directly to the quarries.
Q. Not directly to the quarries.

You did your own analysis, your own calculation of that?
A. I have a lot of industry
colleagues from which I'm -- I get information from, which I believe would be privileged information to me.
Q. So you relied on people who aren't coming here to this tribunal; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you go to -- just identifying a few more of these, we've got at the top centre of the page
; do you see that?
A. Could you refer me to the page again?
Q. Same page we were on. Page 10,
A. Yes, I see it. Thank you.
Q. And there is $\square$; do you see that just down --
A. Yes.
Q. And then back up, and over to the right,
A. Yes.
Q. You've identified "Truck Rail

Water".
You will see that these various
quarries are different distances from New York City, and as I understand what you are telling me, is that you used a radius which would encompass the locations of all the quarries to arrive at trucking costs; is that right?
A. Different zones?
Q. Different zones?
A. Yes.
Q. And you chose a zone for --
A. Based on distance.
Q. Based on distance?
A. But not the precise distance.
Q. And how many zones were involved in identifying these various quarries and how far they were from New York City?
A. It would be every five miles.
Q. From --
A. From New York. So it would be 5, $10,15,20,25,30,35,40$ and so forth.
Q. So there are some quarries that are located 20 miles from New York City?
A. Yes.
Q. And some that are located

90 miles from New York City?
A. Yes.
Q. If we go back to the chart on Exhibit 0756, the single page in front of you under the binder, you've actually -- if we go freight to New York trucking, including tolls, for all of the land-based quarries servicing the New York City, you've given them the same trucking rate regardless of which zone they're in?
A. Yes, because each zone would have a different cost base, due to accessibility to New York. If it was close to a freeway, and this kind of thing, so even though we gave the zone, the zone is dependent on the accessibility to New York.
Q. Did you actually do an analysis that does not appear here, that each quarry, within a different zone would actually expect to be, the cost of transportation, trucking into New York City, would be $X$ and then if you go out a further zone it would be Y, and if you go a further zone it would be Z and so on? Did you do that -- what I'll call a more micro analysis?
A. In the way that you describe it,
yes, but the it depends on your definition of micro.
Q. Well, there has been nothing
further that we've seen that calculates trucking
rates --
A. Yes.
Q. -- for each of these
approximately 15 quarries; right?
A. Yes.
Q. So that hasn't -- nothing's been
produced in that regard; that's correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And so if you go through, then, in the calculation of the lowest cost delivered to the customer of combined aggregate, a calculation of trucking cost for each of these quarries, no matter how farther from New York City, you've identified that as being the same for each one; do I have that right?
A. That's what it appears on this
document, yes.
Q. And were you the last person to enter the trucking figures for this analytical purpose?
A. No, sir.
Q. There was somebody else?
A. Yes.
Q. That would be Mr. Sutherland?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you speak to him about the
notion that various quarries are either nearer to or farther away from New York and might then engage different trucking costs to take aggregate into New York City?
A. We did discuss that.
Q. And you decided just to leave it at one rate right across the board?
A. We discussed where the locations of the quarries were and how they related to New York, the access there, and we decided that for comparative purposes, this was sufficient.
Q. You just made a blanket --
A. That -- whether there was any further discussion with other people interest from Mr. Sutherland, I don't know.
Q. And what is the variation in distance between these land-based quarries in the New York City/New Jersey area, and in terms of a comparative distance? Is it fair to say that there would be some quarries that would be as close as 20 or 25 miles, to New York City, and others that might be 150 or 200 miles from New York City?
A. In the quarries that we looked at in New York, the request was to look at all the quarries within a certain radius that could reach

New York. I believe the first radius we took was up to 35 miles. And then we said, "Well the 35-mile radius is the -- there are quarries that are right on that border or just outside."

If we then extend to 45 , how would the market look at the 45 , so we had some at 35 miles and some at 45 miles. So that is the distance that the quarries were looked at, anything up to 35 and then just 35 to 45 .
Q. So, there were two radii, if I can put it that way?
A. Yes.
Q. One to 35 miles and one to

45 miles?
A. One to 45 miles.
Q. Did you actually know the geographical location of all the quarries that you were examining?
A. I found them on Google Earth from the MSHA description of where the quarries were, it gives you actually directions to each quarry, and so it's easy to pinpoint them on a Google Earth map.
Q. What was the nearest quarry, land-based quarry to New York City?
A. Without looking back I couldn't
tell you at this moment.
Q. Could it be as close as 15 miles?
A. I don't think -- I don't think --

I don't think I remember anything as close as
15 miles. But then again, I'm not -- I haven't
measured them. And these measurements are as the crow flies, not by road miles.
Q. I see, so they're not by --
A. They're zones.
Q. As the crow flies. So it is the
actual as the crow flies distance from the quarry to
New York City?
A. Yes.
Q. And what borough of New York

City?
A. I took the centre point of Manhattan.
Q. Centre point of Manhattan?
A. Yeah.
Q. New York City is a big place,

Brooklyn is the fourth or fifth largest city in
United States; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And there are various access
points and traffic issues.
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A. Well, more precise, I zoomed in on Google Earth and put the mid-point on the -- I think it was the 25th Street quayside.
Q. So you didn't do any analysis of the frequency of trip by trucks going from a certain quarry into another part of New York City?
A. I did not.
Q. It was a blanket analysis; is
that fair?
A. Yeah.
Q. So, just going back, is it fair to say that you last actually managed a quarry in about 1977?
A. Oh, no.
Q. No.
A. No.
Q. Did you ever operate a quarry?
A. Yes.
Q. As a quarry operator, a person
who gets up early in the morning and manages all the men?
A. Yes, I was a foreman -- I was an actual foreman. I was up at 4 o'clock in the morning, sometimes 24 hours a day. I was a quarry manager. I was at work at 6 o'clock, 5:30, whatever
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it took, I operated loading machines, I operated crushers, I operated -- I did every job in a quarry and --
Q. Which quarry was that?
A. The ones in England. I did all the jobs in England. As a foreman we are expected to relieve -- and when I was a trainee quarry manager we did all the different jobs in a quarry to train.

The last time that I actually managed a quarry or was responsible for working with the manager to manage a quarry would be back in 2009.
Q. And the last time you were the quarry, actual person, the quarry manager -- have you read John Wall's witness statement in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. You know that he was an actual operator of quarries?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. When was the last time that you
did that job?
A. It would be 1978.
Q. That was my understanding. So it's been --
A. That was when I was the on-floor
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manager.
Q. And that's in South Africa?
A. Yes.
Q. So you are 40 years away from
that direct experience of doing a John Wall job, getting up in the morning and --
A. I think that's too strong a statement to say that I'm 40 years away from being hands-on in a quarry. That's wrong. If you are the president of the company, you better get down and dirty with the people. Some people may want to sit in an office; I don't.
Q. Could you go to, again, in that tab 1 to the last couple of pages of that tab.

If you go to the last page of that tab, this is part of -- it is unnumbered but it is part of your --
A. CV.
Q. -- CV, and if you go to the last page, you will see under the third paragraph, "Quarry Manager, Production Manager, Tarmac Roadstone, Johannesburg, South Africa, 1976-77"?
A. Yes.
Q. I've reviewed your CV and that's the last reference to that description of a job on
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your CV.
A. Of a quarry -- yes, but then we had a quarry in Ridgeview, Durban, with a manager -Q. Yes?
A. -- and when he was on vacation, we had some problems down there, I was actually in there helping to get the thing resolved. Because we closed Sydenham Quarry down and we merged the workforce from Sydenham to Ridgeview, during that period and we had put -- increased the production at Ridgeview and so my job was to help consolidate that so my feet on the ground management would be 1978.
Q. 1978 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Was your last --
A. But I wouldn't put that in my CV, but that's a lot of detail that --
Q. Of course. So I'm correct then that your last job actually on the ground managing a quarry, doing the day-to-day management of a quarry was 40 years ago?
A. My actual experience of an on-the-ground manager is 40 years ago, yes.
Q. So we've covered the chart which was the first page of R-0756.
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We will come back to it but did you prepare graphs for the purpose of insertion into the report?
A. I prepared a graph that was relative to, I believe, market share based on MSHA that might have gone into the report, I have prepared that and sent it to Mr. Sutherland. I was using MSHA data for market share. Based on MSHA data.
Q. Could you go to page 11 of SCMA report 1 , which is tab 1 .

Was the graph which is figure 2 shown on that page the result of information developed by you?
A. I would say it's based on
information that Mr. Sutherland had considered was germane to produce in this that I contributed to him, yes.
Q. And as I understand it, the process was for you to provide information into this document.
A. Yes.
Q. And I think you've said about

75 per cent?
A. Yes.
-
Q. Approximately. And for that information to be transposed and to be put in graphical form in figure 2 on page 11; is that right?
A. Yes, I contributed to that sheet, and what Mr. Sutherland did with it after that, it was no -- I did not contribute to.
Q. Did you review the graph that's shown on page 11 and ask Mr. Sutherland or did Mr. Sutherland ask you to verify that these costs shown on this graph represented these costs, and I'm pointing to the first page of 0756 at the bottom?
A. Yes, I was never asked to verify this line here.
Q. Were you asked --
A. But --
Q. The bottom line calculations on this document --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- are your calculations; that's
correct?
A. No.
Q. They are --
A. Because the freight and
everything would be -- is -- and the rest is just a
simple Excel addition. It is add this and add this.
The calculations with the information involved, no, the actual calculation by the way that Excel does it, yes. So I want to exclude the freight costs.
Q. Leaving aside the freight costs
A. Yeah, and --
Q. Leaving that aside for one moment.
A. Yes, thank you.
Q. The rest of the information on this chart, on this Excel sheet, resulting in the costs on the bottom line for the various --
A. Would have a contribution from me.
Q. And a significant contribution, isn't that fair?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And leaving aside freight costs, essentially everything else; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. And when I say "freight costs", only the oceanside freight cost because you've told us that you calculated --
; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And --
A. No,
Q. Well, maybe I -- thank you for that. I was on the wrong column.

If we go to the chart on page 11, we have , it is shown in red, all the Canadian quarries, existing or proposed, are shown on the right-hand side. Sorry, the red line,
right to the side and your figure, as you pointed out, is
A. Well, it's not my figure but it's the figure that is in the machine because that includes the freight.
Q. It included the freight, okay.

But did you ever check to see that that figure of was actually accurately depicted on the graph?
A. I don't believe I did.
Q. Because when I look at the graph
it looks like $\square$ is being depicted at
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something like ?
A. I can't comment.
Q. Okay. Now there's a smaller
version -- well, a larger version of that chart in front of you to your left. Just this one over there on the left-hand side of the table.
A. Yes.
Q. What has been done here is to take this graph which starts at a baseline of $\square$; do you see that?
A. I have the graph.
Q. Do you see on the -- in the -- in
this chart that I'm showing you --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the baseline is zero?
A. Yes.
Q. Zero dollars.
A. Yeah.
Q. The one in the report for SCMA, it is do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it your decision or somebody else's decision to start the baseline of the figure 2 at and not $\$ 0$ ?
A. It wasn't my decision. It is not
my graph.
Q. Did you review the final draft of the SCMA report to ensure that the figures, the numbers and everything that you had provided, including the numbers you provided were accurately depicted in the report?
A. No, because I wasn't sure whether Mr. Sutherland was going to use my figures in entirety. He may have had separate information that would have flavoured the numbers that he put into the sheet.
Q. Got it. But before it was signed off on, was the draft, the final draft of the report sent to you for review so that your work was accurately depicted?
A. There was a draft and then there was another report. I did see a draft of the report, whether it was the final draft, I don't know.
Q. So neither Mr. Sutherland or Mr. Chereb called you up and said, "Jim, we're about to sign off on this document; you've contributed a lot to the document, would you just take a look at it and see if it accords with your understanding of how the numbers were developed?" You weren't
asked to do that?
A. I saw a draft, I was sent a draft at some point in time and said, "This is what we are proposing to say. Would you read to it, and is it reasonable?" And that was my comment, "Yes, it looks reasonable to me."
Q. And you had no changes to that draft?
A. Yeah, but I don't know what version that was.
Q. So the answer to my question is no, you weren't advised "Here's the final draft as we are going to present it, subject to your comments. Could you go through it and see if we've got it right?"
A. I did not do that, no.
Q. Would you agree with me, when the graph is depicted in the form on the sheet in front of you, the larger form which is simply a duplication of that figure 2 graph that we've looked at, that the differentiation between the lowest asserted cost producer and the highest asserted cost producer appears to be quite less?
A. According to the graph, yes, it
is not the same graph. This one starts at $\square$ as you
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pointed out. The other one starts at zero.
Q. And the one that starts at
makes it appear, would you agree with me, that
A. It's just a scale issue.
Q. Yes. Do you know who chose that
scale?
A. I do not know who chose the
scale.
Q. Have you ever actually designed
an aggregate crushing plant as an engineer?
A. I am not a professionally
registered engineer so I cannot sign off on a -- but have I contributed to a design? Yes.
Q. You've contributed to a design performed by engineers?
A. Yes.
Q. You were never actually employed at a Tilcon quarry; that's correct?
A. No. Well, no, not the -- I was just trying to think of a quarry that's been acquired by Tilcon. So therefore that would be an inaccuracy in my answer. To the best of my knowledge, I've never managed or been employed by a company that now Tilcon owns.
a Canadian quarry at any time?
A. I --
Q. Employed?
A. Not employed by the quarry, no.
Q. Right.
A. Do we have Canadian quarries, yes, that belong to Blue Circle, yes.
Q. And were they oceanside quarries such as the ones that we are considering here in the Maritimes part of Canada?
A. The ones in Canada, no.
Q. Were you ever employed as an employee of any of the quarries or the companies that own the quarries that we have seen in New Jersey/New York?
A. Well, Hamburg Quarry belonged to Blue Circle Raia and part of my job was to look at the efficiencies of Hamburg Quarry and how it related to the New York market and supplying the ready-mix operations here in New Jersey.
Q. And that was in -- what city that you were involved in at the time that you were speaking of?
A. Well, our base was in Atlanta,
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Q. So you would agree with me that a

that's correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that includes pieces of aggregate that actually range in size within accepting limits?
A. Yes.
Q.
correct?

A. Yes, it was misnamed though -- in the Rev D it was misnamed.

but our Raia operations were based in New Jersey, in Newark.
Q. You understand that the Whites Point plant was intended to produce coarse aggregate
A. I understand that it was going to

A. Those are the products that are defined in the stockpiles that I understand were defined by Mr. Bickford as being the coarse fractions, yes.
Q. And you are familiar with these product specifications?
A. Yes.
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Q. You heard Mr. Bickford's evidence with respect to his vast, life-long experience in designing quarries?
A. I did.
Q. And you are not one of those people, that's correct? You haven't spent a lifetime designing quarries; that's correct?
A. I have spent a lifetime being involved in the analyzing and looking at the design of quarries, and the resultant situation from the design of a quarry, yes.
Q. You've never signed off --
A. Never signed off.
Q. -- as an engineer?
A. No. I've signed off as the president, though, of a company authorizing payment for the design of a quarry for a capital expenditure request, so --
Q. My point being --
A. -- I needed to understand the
design.
Q. But you've never, from scratch,
created a design for a quarry; that's correct?
Is that correct?
A. For instance, in Greytown, I
designed that plant because we took the plant down
in Greytown to produce aggregate to make asphalt, to produce asphalt. So, in that sense, yes, I designed that plant. Did I sign off on it? No. As I said, we needed this plant to supply rock.
Q. And was that in 1976 or 1975 ?
A. The Greytown situation was in -it was actually after that, it was in the '80s.
Q. In the '80s?
A. Yeah.
Q. And that was the last one that you actually designed?
A. No, when we --
Q. I am talking about you. You.
A. Me personally?
Q. Yes. Designed a quarry from
scratch like Mr. Bickford has for the last 45 years.
A. If it was totally my work, yes.
Q. That was the last one; that's
correct?
A. If it was totally my work.
Q. Could you go to, in the binder
before you, tab 13?
A. 13 ?
Q. 13. This is an exhibit to

Mr. Bickford's -- one of his statements and it's from the website of AggFlow.

With this in mind, had you ever used
AggFlow --
A. Yes.
Q. -- before this --
A. Yes.
Q. AggFlow came out in about 1995;
do you recall that?
A. I don't recall exactly when it came out but --
Q. Have you actually run a design of yours through an AggFlow simulation?
A. Yes, I have AggFlow on my computer.
Q. It is a renowned software simulation product, isn't it?
A. There are opinions on that, within the industry, of the people that I'm aware of, but it is a good program.
Q. It states on the first page:
"AggFlow software products are
used by aggregate producers, miners, equipment manufacturers, engineers and dealers to simulate aggregate and mining operations.
The software enables users to build both simple and complex crushing, screening and/or washing plants on their computer screen." [As read.]
You would agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. If you go to the last page of that document, bottom half of the page:
"Top 25 US producers use
AggFlow." [As read.]
A. Yes.
Q. Just on that point, were you here
for Mr. Fougere's evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. He worked for Martin Marietta?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know that he's commented on the use of AggFlow by Martin Marietta in his witness statement?
A. Yes.

Mr. Bickford; that's correct? You understood that?
A. Uh-hmm.
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understood that he was
satisfied with the results for the use of this plant as designed for the purpose required by the customer; correct?
A. Yes, that's what he said.
Q. That's what he said. Now, did

Mr. Sutherland confirm your understanding that it was appropriate to use the
, the same cost for all of the land-based quarries in New York/New Jersey area, regardless of their distance from their destination?
A. Could you just rephrase the first part of your question, I apologize for asking you to do that.
Q. Not at all. Did you confirm with Mr. Sutherland that it was appropriate to use a across the board for all quarries that were land-based in New Jersey and --
A. No, I did not confirm that with Mr. Sutherland, no.
Q. And I'm advised that at least one
of the quarries is well over 100 miles from New York City. Do you know that?
A. It could well be, yes.
Q. Now, I understood you to say earlier that you did a radius distance evaluation and that it -- you did up to 35 --
A. Well, depending on --
Q. Just let me finish -- up to

35 miles and then up to 45 miles and you stopped at 45 ?
A. Except for the quarries that might have been on rail or on water.
Q. Did you understand that there are some land-based quarries that --
A. I have to qualify one thing that might help you and it would certainly help me to be on the same wavelength.

The quarry that you may be referring to, I think I know which one it is. The definition was within a trucking radius was a 35 and the 45 , but there was also a list of quarries that were submitted, I think, by one of the gentlemen that gave testimony, said don't forget to include these so I had to go back and include those which might have contributed to some of the revisions.
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Q. So in your calculation or your assessment of trucking costs, you didn't actually take into account the actual driving distance; that's correct?
A. No.
Q. You didn't actually take into
account the time involved in getting from quarry A to its destination in New York City; correct?
A. Because that would have been somewhat indeterminate --
Q. Is the answer "yes" or "no"?
A. No, but that it would have been indeterminate.
Q. So the answer to my question is you did not do an actual calculation of trucking costs from the actual destination on the route that it would take, the truck would take, into New York City; that's correct?
A. I did not and I would like to qualify that answer because I would not know exactly the route. I don't know if the guy's going to go take this road or that road. Unless I drove it every day, I would not know the road conditions.
Q. And the difference in time, distance, the route, the destination into New York,
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can all impact actual trucking costs, wouldn't you agree with me, including hourly rates for the driver, the use of the truck, the fuel cost, et cetera; would you agree with me on that?
A. The trucking rates are quoted by the owner of the company or howsoever you are getting the quote from. So it becomes a fixed rate and therefore that might influence what the quote, but would not influence the actual cost.
Q. I think my question has been misunderstood.

You didn't take into account the time, the route, the miles, the fuel used, and time for the hourly rates for the drivers in the calculation of trucking costs from the various quarries that you've got trucking cost --
(Simultaneous speakers - unclear)
A. Not on an individual quarry
basis, no.
Q. So what I've said is correct?
A. On an individual basis it is
correct.
Q. And you didn't contact any owners, operators on any of the quarries that have been cited here on that map that we referred to --

|  | Page 1954 |  | Page 1955 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. No, I already mentioned that. | 1 | A. No. |
| 2 | Q. -- to confirm that your costs | 2 | Q. Now, at footnote 28 at the bottom |
| 3 | were even within the range of actual costs; that's | 3 | of page 11 of the report, it states -- it's in |
| 4 | correct? | 4 | reference to the chart. It states: |
| 5 | A. I did not contact the actual | 5 | "The estimated delivered cost to |
| 6 | quarries, no. | 6 | customers, including trucking |
| 7 | Q. Did you do any independent -- and | 7 | delivery costs on a per ton basis |
| 8 | I may have asked you this and if I am repeating | 8 | to final customers which are |
| 9 | myself, I apologize. Any independent analysis | 9 | assumed to be located in the |
| 10 | at all of the shipping rates from the various | 10 | Brooklyn Bronx area..." |
| 11 | Canadian-based quarries? | 11 | Just pausing there, you told me that |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | your trucking analysis related to a point in |
| 13 | Q. Do you know if Mr. Sutherland | 13 | Manhattan? |
| 14 | did? | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | A. I don't know. I just don't know. | 15 | Q. Did you have any part in writing |
| 16 | I don't know -- | 16 | that reference to the Brooklyn or Bronx area? |
| 17 | Q. He's never told you that he did; | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | correct? | 18 | Q. (Reading): |
| 19 | A. Yes. No, but he has lots of | 19 | "Using the estimated delivered |
| 20 | contacts that I don't know. | 20 | cost to customers allows us to |
| 21 | Q. May I suggest that that's pure | 21 | compare the relative costs of |
| 22 | speculation? Is that okay? It is sheer | 22 | quarries that use different modes |
| 23 | speculation; you don't know. | 23 | of transportation. For example, |
| 24 | A. I don't know. | 24 | by water, truck and rail. The |
| 25 | Q. Do you know if Mr. Chereb did? | 25 | cost curve also directly compares |
|  | Page 1956 |  | Page 1957 |
| 1 | the estimated cost to produce the | 1 | Q. How rough were the dynamics? |
| 2 | coarse aggregates products that | 2 | A. I would -- I couldn't -- how do I |
| 3 | Whites Point plant sell. | 3 | quantify that with a reference to what? In what |
| 4 | The calculations in this figure | 4 | measure do I quantify that? If you could explain to |
| 5 | are estimates and are intended to | 5 | me the scale on which you want to define the |
| 6 | provide graphical representation | 6 | roughness of that calculation, I can give you an |
| 7 | of the rough dynamics of the | 7 | answer. |
| 8 | market, rather than represent | 8 | Q. You don't know how rough the |
| 9 | exact calculations of a cost of | 9 | dynamics were? |
| 10 | each quarry." [As read.] | 10 | A. No. |
| 11 | Now, were you asked to review that | 11 | Q. You don't know how rough the |
| 12 | statement in referencing figure 2 ? | 12 | analysis was; that's correct? |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | A. It says there it was comparative, |
| 14 | Q. So would you agree with the | 14 | so I don't know about the precision. |
| 15 | calculations that figure 2, that graph, are | 15 | Q. "Intended to provide a graphical |
| 16 | estimates; they are intended to provide a graphical | 16 | representations of the rough dynamics of the |
| 17 | representation of the rough dynamics in the market; | 17 | market." |
| 18 | would you agree with that statement? | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | Q. And you don't know how rough the |
| 20 | Q. How rough? | 20 | dynamics were; that's correct? |
| 21 | A. Pardon? | 21 | A. I can't comment. It's not my |
| 22 | Q. How rough? | 22 | statement. |
| 23 | A. How...? | 23 | Q. You were never asked to assess |
| 24 | Q. Rough. | 24 | the roughness of the dynamics; that's correct? |
| 25 | A. Rough. | 25 | A. No. I can't say within |

10 per cent or 15 per cent. I have no idea.
Q. No idea. And you weren't asked to comment on that description of the depiction of the quarries and their costs in figure 2 to say "is that a correct characterization?"
A. I was not asked.
Q. And your understanding that the chart showing these various costs are expressed in US dollars per ton; that's correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it would be incorrect to mix
up currencies; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And all of the figures you were
working with were in Canadian dollars; that's correct -- sorry, American dollars; that's correct?
A. Not all the time, no.
Q. There were figures on this
chart --
A. In this chart, the relative costs are in US dollars, but in preparing some of my cost analysis I was working Canadian dollars and we were doing conversions.

Mr. Sutherland did some conversions, did I some conversions.
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comment on that?
A. Would you mind just repeating
last part of it, please?
Q. Sure. I'll take you back to figure 2 on page 11. The figure is entitled -well, it's described at the top of "New York City Aggregate Sources, Actual and Potential". Do you see that, tab 1, page 11.
A. Yes. I have it.
Q. And the title of the chart is:
"2007 delivered cost to customers of equivalent coarse aggregate".
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you understand the difference between limestone or dolomite on the one hand, and basalt and granite on the other hand for the purpose of supplying to the New York City market?
A. Yes, I'm aware of the differences in that stone.
Q. And you would agree that limestone and dolomite are not in the same class of rock for the use of the manufacture of asphalt in New York City?
A. This was not specific to asphalt
Q. It was very important to do the correct conversions --
A. Yes.
Q. -- from Canadian to US so that you would actually have comparable costs?
A. Yes, there were conversions provided in Mr. Rosen's analysis that we used to do conversions. That's what I was advised to do if I was doing a conversion.
Q. So you used the conversion rate in Mr. Rosen's report to do the conversion from Canadian to US?
A. Yes.
Q. With the result --
A. In that particular year.
Q. In 2007?
A. Yes.
Q. And the -- it was important in that result to ensure that all expenses that were expressed on 0756 were in the same currency, being US dollars; that's correct?
A. Yes, it would be.
Q. In your analysis, when the quarries were represented as being potential or actual equivalent quarries, were you asked to
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so therefore I don't think it was material.
Q. So that differentiation between the use of dolomite and limestone in products such as concrete for the laying of a sidewalk or the building of a building was not in your mind when the equivalency analysis between the Whites Point Quarry and other quarries was undertaken by SCMA; that's correct?
A. I did not make any distinction based on end use of the product because the definition of market would change what's being used in asphalt, what was being used in concrete and one would have negated the other because of some of the density issues so...
Q. If we look at the chart again and we go to the Canadian quarries and take for example,

A. For asphalt, for base course, yes; for wearing course, no.
Q. The asphalt that cars actually drive on.
A. Well, that's a very thin layer on top, but no, not for the wearing course, no.
Q. And a that's called skid resistant?
A. Yes.
Q. It's called friction rock?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is a Superpave Standard in the United States; right?
A. For the wearing course, yes.
Q. For the wearing course?
A. Yes.
Q. And the Superpave Standard requires the adherence to strict specifications.
A. Including shape and many other parameters, yes.
Q. Exactly. And granite and basalt are qualifiers for that use?
A. Yes.
Q. Of --
A. I'm aware.
Q. If they are properly crushed?
A. Yes.
Q. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And limestone is not; correct?
A. No, because of the skid
resistance.
Q. And did you do any analysis of the availability of what's called friction rock for supply into the New York City market as part of this analysis?
A. I did not.
Q. Do you know if anybody did?
A. I'm not aware -- I'm not aware of what was done. The division of the different sectors to which the rock was supplied, I did not do that.
Q. Did you have any knowledge of whether Corner Brook even opened up as a quarry?
A. I don't -- I have no knowledge if it's been opened up, no.
Q. Did you have any understanding of where Corner Brook was located --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in relation to Whites Point?
A. Yes, when I was doing my analysis I was given the location and I plotted that on...
Q. And if you go down and I think you were here this morning for Mr. Power's evidence. If you go down to the shipping cost, the yellow line in the middle of the page, you saw from our discussion that

that? Or do you know?
A. I don't know. I can't comment.

It is beyond my expertise.
Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Power "What about these rates here?"

A. I don't --
Q. Did you have any
discussion about these to say, "Is that reasonable?"
A. It's -- I couldn't comment.

I have no -- I did not study the shipping costs.
Q. But you knew Mike Power was being relied upon for the assessment of reasonableness for shipping costs. Did you have any curiosity about that?
A. My interest in each quarry was I went to Auld's Cove back in the '70s. I am familiar with Auld's Cove. I was more interested in his -his costs on other things and the shipping cost is beyond my expertise. I was not asked to comment on the shipping cost.
Q. Do you know if anybody was assigned to verify with Mike Power what the relative shipping costs were from Canadian quarries, ocean side down to New York City?
A. I don't know if anybody was or not. I'm not aware.
Q. Do you know if the Belleoram quarry ever opened?
A. I don't know if it opened or not.
Q. And there were costs, variable costs that were identified here for the Belleoram quarry?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you develop those?
A. Yes.
Q. Based on a quarry that was permitted in 2007 and never opened?
A. Well, similarly with Whites Point; the costs that were developed for that but that isn't opening either.
Q. That's a good point. You were developing costs for a quarry in Whites Point that was not built, that had never operated, that had not been shipped to or from, that had never employed anybody, and that had never actually published a design for the quarry plant and never published a marine terminal for the quarry plant. And you were able to ratchet back costs that you've been given in this proceeding to calculate a cost per ton of a production of aggregate at that quarry?
A. You would need to understand my methodology in order to understand how that could happen.
Q. So...
A. Do you wish me to describe my methodology?
Q. Well, if there is a short answer to that.
A. There is not a short answer because it involves --
Q. Then we won't --
A. -- in-depth analysis through

Google Earth, cost analysis, measurements, a whole range of things. But I think it's important and I wish to say that it's difficult to comment upon the costs unless you understand my methodology.
Q. So you were here for

Mr. Fougere's evidence yesterday?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. And you heard that he was employed by Martin Marietta to manage that quarry?
A. Yes.
Q. And you heard his evidence regarding the
A. I heard his comments, yes.
Q. Yes. Did you make any investigation at the time you were writing or contributing to this report, investigation of the relative cost that might be -- might apply to an Auld's Cove quarry and your calculated costs for Whites Point?
A. Yes.
Q. And your relative cost for
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A. No, I think it was costs provided to go into -- some of them were to go into Mr. Rosen's spreadsheets. I mean, we compared those costs as well so I think this is a hybrid of all these costs.
Q. That's your calculation of the hybrid and that has never actually been produced; that's correct?
A. Well, you are comparing something that's not been produced at Whites Point, that's not been produced at a quarry that didn't open so the comparative is relative to the deposit and how it looks, and what was needed, the volumes that are going to be done, labour, et cetera, et cetera.

Some of these things had some commonality and some things didn't.
Q. Yes. So you've heard the


that in my calculation.
Q. Instead of going through AggFlow, a recognized industry recognized software system, you used your Excel sheet?
A. No, I also made my calculations based on AggFlow and the thing about the Excel spreadsheet it's like the skeleton of AggFlow. I'm showing how AggFlow, if you were to look inside the algorithms in AggFlow, you would see it uses roughly the same algorithms that is used in an Excel spreadsheet. So there is similarity there.
Q. In any event, your model creates

Q. So, your -- but leaving that aside. Your analysis produces a
correct?
A. It produces -- well, I would like to qualify the term. It produces material that
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Q. I'm asking a simple question:

Have you ever seen any quarry operated anywhere that

A. No.
Q. Thank you.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: So from your movements I take that your cross-examination is finished?

MR. NASH: Those are my questions.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: And I give the floor to Ms. Zeman for the re-direct, please. RE-EXAMINATION BY MS. ZEMAN:

MS. ZEMAN: Mr. Ward, you were asked many questions about the SCMA reports, including a number of questions on the cost curve that's in front of you.
A. Yes.
Q. And on the roughness of the
dynamics of the market which was in a footnote in the --

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Excuse me. It had gotten stuck, but it's moving again. Sorry.

MS. ZEMAN: So you were asked a
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number of questions on those aspects and a number of those questions you indicated you couldn't comment on; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Who would be able to comment on the content of the report?
A. Probably only Mr. Sutherland and

Mr. Chereb.
Q. Mr. Nash put to you that your opinion was that
 first SCMA report?
A. Is there a tab number on --
Q. Yes, that should be at tab 1 .

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: And page 43.
MS. ZEMAN: There's a percentage here
in the table. Can you explain what that represents?
A. Looking at the calculation, it shows a percentage difference in the operating hours of the quarry. At the bottom it says there

[^0]|  | Page 1978 |  | Page 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | percentage was being used in calculating operating | 1 |  |
| 2 | costs? | - |  |
| 3 | A. Yes, it was applied to the -- it | 3 | There was |
| 4 | was applied to the operating costs for Whites Point. |  |  |
| 5 | Q. What is that percentage? |  |  |
| 6 | A. |  |  |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Nash asked you if you |  |  |
| 8 | understood Mr. Bickford to be satisfied with the | 8 | Q. And where did you get your |
| 9 | results of his AggFlow analysis. | 9 | definition of the size of ? |
| 10 | What are your views on Mr. Bickford's | 10 | A. |
| 11 | AggFlow analysis? |  |  |
| 12 | A. I believe that Mr. Bickford is |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 16 | MS. ZEMAN: Thank you, Mr. Ward. |
|  |  | 17 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you, |
|  |  | 18 | Ms. Zeman. |
|  |  | 19 | No comment by Mr. Nash. But I think |
|  |  | 20 | there are going to be questions from the tribunal. |
|  |  | 21 | And Mr. Schwartz? |
| 22 | Q. And what information did you base | 22 | QUESTIONS FROM THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL: |
| 23 | your calculations with respect to production on? | 23 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: Thanks for |
| 24 | A. | 24 | helping us today, sir, and if my questions are |
|  |  | 25 | beyond your expertise or too big to give a |
|  | Page 1980 |  | Page 1981 |
| 1 | meaningful answer, you'll just let us know. | 1 | personal comment, I don't know, but I think -- |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 2 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: Just use this as |
| 3 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: What I do want | 3 | an example because obviously the figures of |
| 4 | to explore is this concept of rough versus exact | - |  |
| 5 | that came up during the dialogue you had today and |  |  |
| 6 | it's come up before. |  |  |
| 7 | We are confidential; everything is |  |  |
| 8 | confidential session right now; is that correct? | 8 | I am just trying to get a sense of |
| 9 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: When I look, for | 9 | how approximate, "approximate" is. |
| 10 | example, at paragraph 95 at tab 1, it says under | 10 | THE WITNESS: Well, I think where it |
| 11 | that assumption Whites Point means that there will | 11 | says the -- well, it may be the |
| 12 | be |  | I mean, that's the level of precision I |
|  |  | 13 | think that they're talking about there with the term |
|  |  | 14 | "approximately" from my memory of some of the |
| 15 | Sorry, I'll give you a chance to | 15 | calculations. |
| 16 | catch up. | 16 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: So -- |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: If you could state the | 17 | THE WITNESS: I don't think it's |
| 18 | page number again, please? | 18 |  |
| 19 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: It's on page 33. | 19 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: So you're saying |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | 20 | that |
| 21 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: Paragraph 95. |  |  |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I think in some |  | ? |
| 23 | of those statements there you could use the word | 23 | THE WITNESS: Well, yes, within -- |
| 24 | "approximately" instead of "roughly". I mean, that | 24 | yeah. That would be my interpretation, my personal |
| 25 | would appear to be, if I'm making just my own | 25 | interpretation. |


|  | Page 1982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: Okay. I'm | 1 |
| 2 | looking at just a few paragraphs down. Paragraph | 2 |
| 3 | 97. | 3 |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 4 |
| 5 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: And there is a | 5 |
| 6 | reference that's where it starts and then if you | 6 |
| 7 | flip over the page to page 34, one-time 10 per cent | 7 |
| 8 | contingency at the startup of the capital spending. | 8 |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. | 9 |
| 10 | PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: So there when | 10 |
| 11 | you are doing estimate of a startup, there is a | 11 |
| 12 | contingency you put in in your estimates that's | 12 |
| 13 | related to what; the first what year, the first few | 13 |
| 14 | years of operation compared to other years; how does | 14 |
| 15 | that work? | 15 |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: No. What happens is | 16 |
| 17 | when you put together a quote for building a plant, | 17 |
| 18 | invariably, in my experience and talking to other | 18 |
| 19 | industry colleagues, there is a tendency to | 19 |
| 20 | understate the cost of the plant. | 20 |
| 21 | There are things that you are into | 21 |
| 22 | that you just don't see. You may find when you put | 22 |
| 23 | the foundations in you have found that the | 23 |
| 24 | groundwater is higher than what you think; that this | 24 |
| 25 | doesn't fit where you thought it would seem to fit | 25 |

from putting it in other plants. So there are things that happen. But when you actually go to buy a crusher, it may be that by the time you got around from approval of the plant to actually buying the crusher it's more than nuts and bolts, you might need a slightly different tensile strength on certain valves so they are more expensive.

There are many provisions in designing a plant, whether it uses 5-ply conveyer belt to 3-ply conveyer belt. In other words, that's the thickness of the conveyer belt.

For the conditions you may decide that well, because the rock is coming out coarser and sharper, we don't want the sharpness of the rock to tear the belt, so we would use a thicker belt. So there are things that you may make changes to that are not seen in the design stage and that 10 per cent contingency is there to cover those expenses.

Plus the length of time it takes you to do things. They may say, "well, we can construct that plant and build it in 10 minutes" -- sorry, in -- sorry, I used the term -- "in ten weeks", and what happens is it takes you 14 weeks. You have all those additional labour costs so those. Over
expenditures would go against that contingency.
PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: Okay. You are
doing an estimate of a startup or you're doing an estimate of projected profitability or cost of a plant that's been in operation for year. How much of a difference am I going to see in the estimates and in your confidence in those estimates between a project that hasn't been in operation and a project that has been in operation for a year?

Here it refers to 10 per cent one-time contingency. Is that a reasonable sense of the difference between, you know, at startup versus what you know after one-year, 10 per cent?

THE WITNESS: It is on the total expenditure of capital expenditure for buying the equipment, erection and installation of electric power and everything that goes into making the plant. It is not necessarily relating to the operating costs, the cost incurred for operating for a year. It is mostly related to the actual cost of buying and constructing the plant, not operating the plant.

Did that make the distinction for you, sir?

PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: I understand the
difference between capital costs and operating costs. I'm just trying to get a general sense if I'm asking an intelligible question of you're in the projection business, as are some of our expert witnesses, as I understand it. You might be asked sometimes, as I understand it, "give me an estimate of net profits of a quarry that's just starting up" versus somebody says "give me an estimate of how this quarry is going to work out after it's been in operation for a year."

One world is a startup; one world's been in operation for a year. Is there going to be about 10 per cent a fair figure of what the difference is going to be?

THE WITNESS: In my experience there is always an understatement of the cost in the order of 10 , maybe 15 or even 20 per cent in the operating cost for the first year because you are going through an awful lot of teething troubles, setting up your product mix, a lot of things you don't foresee.

PROFESSOR SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
PROFESSOR McRAE: Mr. Ward, could you
just go back under this sheet to the last two columns with both "Whites Point".
costs that were used from the second column?
A. I believe that those were based on the adjusted costs, based on the increased production required to produce that certain product mix for sale in New York City that were put into the Rosen final spreadsheet. In other words, they had a set of costs in the spreadsheet.


PROFESSOR McRAE: Thank you. PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: A couple of questions from me to Mr. Ward, and they relate to the -- even though usually I prefer sea-based questions rather than land, namely the cost of freight to New York by truck. That is something which, despite quite extensive questioning by Mr. Nash has still remained a bit of a mystery to me.

How you can -- first, you
described -- we looked at the Google map that's in there, there are a lot of quarries, you said there about 15 quarries in New Jersey and in order to get a hold on them you said you were drawing radiuses, radiuses?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
PROFESSOR McRAE: There are two
"Whites Point" there, and at the bottom I think your attention was drawn to this, based on plaintiff's costs. So, what's the distinction between that and the other -- and by "plaintiff's costs" you mean these were the costs put forward by the proponent but on your costs based on -- or the other column based on costs put forward by the proponent?

I'd like to get clarity on the
difference between those two.
THE WITNESS: Yes, these are based on the plaintiff's costs in the far right. My costs are based on my estimation, using my methodology to come up with what the variable costs would be for the quarries.

We felt that rather than use my total estimates of the Whites Point costs, that since there were costs were provided, we would be far more accurate and better off to use what was provided where we could, and then we would -- and then if there were any changes to those costs, we would make them in light of being fair to the comparison.

PROFESSOR McRAE: So the second column " 7 " is based on whose costs? What were the

THE WITNESS: Yes.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I can't possibly pronounce the "radii" that Mr. Nash said, so radiuses, and you said every five miles.

THE WITNESS: Initially, yes.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Later on you
said $35,45$.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, initially we tried to look at the quarries in --

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: But what was the point of these radiuses if, at the end, you come up with a figure of 14.50 that you apply across the board, which means that you assume for the purposes of this sheet, you assume that the costs are $\$ 14.50$ irrespective of whether the quarry is 10 kilometres from Manhattan or 100 kilometres.

THE WITNESS: Because the actual definitive cost was very difficult because some of the quarries are positioned in a route whereby during the night they could achieve load-out of a concrete customer during the night when there's no traffic, whereas other quarries may not be in that -- they could either -- I let me start again.

You could either load out during the night time to a concrete supplier so that you are
not involved in the traffic. There may be routes that a truck driver knows where he does not get involved in traffic. I don't know those routes. We don't know those routes. We don't know exactly the route the truck driver would take when -- in my experience, truck drivers will take the quickest route, not normally the shortest route, because, you know, time is money to them, and so to define the exact route, to define the exact time of day they would travel, that would give you the average speed; to define all the other things, it was very difficult. That's why we used, on one of the spreadsheets, a calculation to try and derive what the hourly rate for operation of a truck was and then try to use that in this determination and then apply that to a radius.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: That would be my next question: How did you arrive at the $\square$ that you insert in the sheet?

THE WITNESS: Well, there would be some industry and local knowledge that I believe that I have and Mr. Sutherland had -- I gave him what industry information I had and he obviously has his own from my knowledge of Mr. Sutherland, so there was that. And then there was the calculation
-
done on the -- I forget what spreadsheet it was, what the name is, but on that spreadsheet you will see that there's a calculation defining the operating costs of a truck which was taken from the industry.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: So the came, as you said, from the industry.

THE WITNESS: It came from calculations based on industry information.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: It is still -I still don't get it but probably that's my problem.

THE WITNESS: No, sir, it's probably
me that's -- in trying to come up with some kind of a trucking cost --

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Because if you say $\square$ is some kind of an estimate --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: -- but an estimate must be based on some experience as to what the costs are. And with regard to the costs, you say we cannot really calculate because it might be that the costs of getting the stuff from a quarry 100 miles from New York compared to the costs of doing the same exercise with a quarry 20 miles, could be the same because the truck drivers could
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line where it says there are -- on the far left-hand corner under map reference, you would see "Location", "Operator", "County", "State", et cetera.

You will see "T" equals truck, "R" equals rail, "W" equals water. You will see that under those two quarries in that row, it says "R" meaning rail.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: So no trucks are used to get the --

THE WITNESS: No trucks, it is all rail. There would be a truck used to get it from rail if the operator was not on rail.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay.
THE WITNESS: So it signifies that it was a rail market.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Any further...
Mr. Nash wants to -- and I expect for
Mr. Spelliscy to say, "And I agree", because then you are...

MR. SPELLISCY: It is against my nature to agree, but I won't object.
drive quicker; there are no toll fees to pay, et cetera.

So, to me, I just wonder: Wouldn't it have been more, how should I say, state of the art to not insert anything here, because that $\square$ will have an impact on the final cost?

Let me just ask the last question: When you look at the last two quarries on land, the last two white quarries which is
, why did you not apply the estimate there, there is nothing in there? THE WITNESS: No, they're rail. They would come in by rail, I believe. They are --

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: They are in the truck -- they are in the "Truck" bracket.

THE WITNESS: Under...?
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: They are in the procession and suddenly there is nothing there, so I wonder what was the reason for not indicating a number here.

THE WITNESS: Well, because --
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: It is not the train.

THE WITNESS: No, if you look on the
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Page 1993 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. NASH:

MR. NASH: Just going back to a question that you were asked on re-direct, if you could go back to page 34 of tab 1 -- actually, I apologize, this is a question arising from Professor Schwartz's question to you.

At the very top there is a reference, at the very top of page 34 there is a reference to: "Capital expenditures should be increased to include a one-time 10 per cent contingency at the start of a capital spending." [As read.]
A. Yes.
Q. So for example, if capital
spending was $\$ 50$ million you would budget for a one-time 10 per cent contingency at the front end of the project?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Going back to Exhibit 0756, we look at the variable cost, the cash cost, where are the capital costs of building the quarry?
A. You would not have those in there because that's a fixed cost. That would translate
as a fixed cost because that would be amortization of the fixed cost over a period of time, it would be dependent upon the volume of the material.

The cash costs relate to the actual cost to produce in that one piece of material.
Q. But the capital cost is part of the cost of building and operating a quarry?
A. But in trying to -- I apologize for interrupting you.
Q. So, if the capital cost of the quarry is $\$ 20$ million, and the capital cost of another quarry is $\$ 100$ million, there are -- that's a cost, it's not a freight cost; is that correct?
A. It is.
Q. And as you said, that would be depreciated over an appropriate time period; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would be then taking into account as an expense related to depreciation for the operation of the quarry over time?
A. Yes.
Q. And so the capital cost of each
of these quarries has not been included -A. No.
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examination has come to an end and thanks for your presence and have a safe trip to wherever.

THE WITNESS: Atlanta, Georgia.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Hopefully not by truck.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, gentlemen. PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you. I think now we are having our bigger coffee break and I think we can be quite generous, so let's have a coffee break until 11:50, 11:50 sharp.
--- Recess taken at 11:31 a.m.
--- Upon resuming at 11:52 a.m.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: If there is nothing organizational to discuss, and that doesn't seem to be the case, welcome, Mr. Chereb to the witness stand.

Good morning, Mr. Chereb.
THE WITNESS: Good morning.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Would you be so kind and read the statement that is in front of you.

THE WITNESS: Certainly.
I solemnly declare upon my honour and conscience that I will speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
Q. -- for your purposes here; that's correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Going back to page 33 which counsel did take you to.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: 33.
MR. NASH: It is just a couple of pages on in that binder.

MR. SPELLISCY: I am sorry, Mr. Nash, you said, "that counsel took you to". Is this a question arising out of the tribunal's questions?

MR. NASH: No, it is arising out of counsel's.

MR. SPELLISCY: I think you already
said you didn't have any re-cross questions after we sat down, so I would object to this.

MR. NASH: That's fine.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: That's
probably true, yes. So thank you.
Thank you, Mr. Nash.
MR. NASH: That's not a problem.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I think we are fine and thank you, Mr. Ward, you are relieved.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Your
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all speculation?
When you look at the history of York Sand \& Stone's


And so now you are speculating that in some imaginary world in the next decade that

pure speculation?
A. Yes, and so is your entire

50-year profit projection on a quarry that's never
been built and never shipped anything to New York.
Q. That's a different issue.
A. No, that's pure speculation too.
Q. On your theory, is it not pure
speculation based on no historical evidence to that effect, this
A. No, it's based on my experience in looking at market dynamics.

Q. Right. That reflects Mr. Dooley's evidence. Were you here for that?
A. But not necessarily a big quarry.

Q. Isn't that all speculation? That
Q. Is it based on your experience in selling stone into the New York City market?
A. New York City market is not that special.
Q. Is the answer to my question "yes" or "no"?
A. Say it again, please.
Q. Is it based on your experience selling aggregate into the New York market?
A. No, it's based on my general experience on how most of the markets work.
Q. So you've never sold an ounce of stone or been involved in the sale of an ounce of stone into the New York market; that's correct?
A. Correct, just like you.
Q. Right, exactly, just like me.

Quite unlike Mr. Dooley; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Yes. And so your experience is, I'm going to suggest to you, based upon your resumé. If you could turn to tab 1, page 49 and go the next page over which is unnumbered after 49.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, this resumé is as complete as it has to be to describe your experience and
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qualifications with respect to any aspect of this matter in which you are involved in this; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you have -- I'm going to say
it's one of the shortest resumés I've seen.
You say you presented a paper on "Does data mining improve business forecasting" at a symposium in Edinburgh in 1998; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. It is the only paper you've ever published.
A. Well, I write a monthly column in a construction magazine.
Q. A newsletter?
A. Well, it's a monthly magazine, yeah.
Q. You write a column. You don't mention that here.
A. I guess not, no.
Q. So you presented one paper on
forecasting; is that right?
A. No, I actually have several others. I didn't list them.
Q. Oh, so what else have you left out of this resumé? I had understood that it was
complete, that it would tell us all about your qualifications and expertise for the purpose of your involvement in this proceeding?
A. I'm not sure you are being serious when you ask that. Are you?
Q. Do you have another resumé?
A. I'm fairly old and I've done a
lot of things, so this is the relevant part.
Q. And so on the second bullet -- on the first bullet you have 30 years' experience forecasting North American construction materials; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've got in the second bullet, you've provided a specialized economic and market forecasting services to the cement, concrete and aggregates?
A. Yes.
Q. So you are a forecaster?
A. Yes.
Q. And your forecasting is based upon your personal experience?
A. Could you explain "personal experience"?
Q. Well, you answered one of my
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Q. Did anyone on your team for this report go out to any number quarries in the

A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Didn't you think it was important for someone on your team to go out and see these quarries and see whether they would amount to actual or potential competitors at Whites Point?
A. If it were 40 years ago, yes.

But now somebody with Jim Ward and Colin Sutherland's experience and the electronic tools we have, they can get a pretty good bird's eye view from each of the quarries.
Q. They can get a bird's eye view from how the crow flies; isn't that right?
A. Correct.
Q. From a quarry, how a crow flies from a quarry in New Jersey or New York to some part of downtown New York; that is correct?
A. Yes.
Q. What they didn't get from that analysis is an actual reliable, verifiable calculation of costs; would you agree with that?
A. They do not have the internal
questions earlier saying your analysis was based on your experience?
A. Yes.
Q. And so your forecasting is based on your experience?
A. Well, algorithms, experience,
yes.
Q. Algorithms?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So your forecasting doesn't include going out and actually visiting quarries, understanding markets, it's algorithms; is that right?
A. It's understanding markets, yes.
Q. Did you go out and visit any of the many quarries that are commented on in your report?
A. No, that wouldn't be appropriate.
Q. It wouldn't be appropriate to
actually see a quarry in operation to understand its cost, to understand its operations, to understand its delivery systems, to understand all of those things to come to your conclusions?
A. No, because I'm dealing with the demand side.

Page 2013
financial documents for these quarries.
Q. They don't have any internal financial documents; is that correct?
A. As far as I know.

A. As far as I know.
Q. You've heard that discussion this morning. You've been in the room for the discussion this morning about shipping?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've heard the discussion
about how the cost of shipping $f$ ; did you hear that?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you hear that the cost of
A. I think I heard two different figures, but okay, yes.
Q.
A. Yes.
-
Q. And did you hear the discussion this morning about
A. Yes.
Q. And you heard the discussion about
A. Yes.
Q. And you saw the differences in distance?
A. Yes.
Q. Didn't you take any step to verify whether any of those shipping costs had any reasonable basis whatsoever?
A. I'm not an expert in shipping and I have no opinion about shipping costs.
Q. So you relied completely on what

Mike Power told Mr. Sutherland with respect to the calculation of shipping costs; that's correct?
A. I relied on Colin Sutherland's input and Jim's input, yes.
Q. Mike Power?
A. And Mike. I did not deal with

Mike Power very much.
Q. Did you know that he was even
being engaged for advice?
A. Yes.
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relied on him. He relied on his own experience and judgment.
Q. His own experience shipping stone

Q?
A. I don't mean that. His
experience in the aggregates and construction markets.
Q. Is he a shipping expert,

Mr. Sutherland?
A. No.
Q. I don't see anything about shipping in his resumé.
A. Once again, I have no opinion
about the supply side in shipping.
Q. Well, you are signing onto a
report as a co-signatory?
A. Correct.
Q. We were told by Canada that you could speak to all aspects of the report.

MR. SPELLISCY: Sorry, that's
actually -- well, to be clear, it does say that.
But you have Mr. Sutherland here. It seems very odd to me to be cross-examining Mr. Chereb on Mr. Sutherland's experience since you had the opportunity to call Mr. Sutherland.
Q. And is that why his quite extensive CV was put into the report, to add substantiation for the quality of the report?
A. Well, he's knowledgeable.
Q. He's knowledgeable. He's knowledgeable about shipping rates. He dealt with them for years. He was being charged

Anybody to your knowledge ask him,


Do you know that?
A. As I said before, I had no input on supply side and I have no opinion about the supply side. I took it from the people on the team and I accepted it as an input.
Q. So you relied on Mr. Sutherland; that's correct.
A. Correct.
Q. And he relied on Mr. Powers; that's correct?
A. Partly.
Q. And he also -- anybody else?
A. No, you said "rely". I mean, he
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The exact language was:
"Mr. Sutherland co-authored and developed the opinions and conclusions contained in all areas of the two SCMA reports". [As read.]
And the exact language from
Mr. Chereb is:
"Dr. Chereb co-authored both reports and developed the opinions and conclusions contained in the two SCMA expert reports particularly with respect to the market and pricing analysis in both reports." [As read.]
MR. NASH: So, Mr. Chereb, the letter states -- I'll ask the tribunal and the witness to turn to tab 3, paragraph 2:
"As conveyed in the parties' correspondence described above, Mr. Sutherland and Mr. Chereb as co-signatories to the report and co-owners of SCMA are able to speak to all aspect of the
report." [As read]
Now, what I think you are telling me now is that you can't speak to the shipping.

MR. SPELLISCY: No, I object to that question. This is a letter saying Mr. Colin "and", conjunction, not "or", are able to speak to both aspects of the report and then it says "in particular". And if you go down, you will see exactly what we informed the claimants months ago was their roles.

MR. NASH: We'll move on.
So, Mr. Chereb, did you have any knowledge of what was done to support the trucking figures?
A. No.
Q. Did you have any knowledge of what was done to support the operating cost figures?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any knowledge of why the capital cost for the various quarries were left out of the analysis?
A. No.
Q. Did you incorporate all of the material, then, that Mr. Sutherland gave you and Mr. Ward gave you and what Mr. Power gave to


Mr. Sutherland regarding shipping in particular, into the report?

A. Absolutely.
Q. And every day, that

A. Correct.
Q.
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Q. "Maybe", "perhaps", "in another world", in an imaginary fantasy world, maybe they would. But there is no evidence to support the fact that they will; correct?
A. The quarry hasn't been built. We don't know what would happen.

A. No.
Q. Were you aware that by the time the end of ?


two documents were used?
A. Yes.
Q. And is your understanding that those two documents were used as the sole foundation for the numbers analysis into which your report feeds?

MR. SPELLISCY: I'm sorry, if
Mr. Nash is asking one of the experts for an overall conclusion on the meaning and the work of Canada's other experts, not his own inputs, that's an inappropriate question.

MR. NASH: Do you have any input at all into the calculated cost for the Whites Point Quarry in delivering a ton of aggregate from Whites Point to New York City, including the cost of producing the product?
A. No.
Q. Did you take any steps to verify?
A. But I thought -- I thought based
on what your documents were, you were delivering to New Jersey.
Q. Well, there is a component going
to New Jersey, you are quite right. Did you take that into account?
A. Well, I thought the whole thing
was for internal use at Clayton. That's the reason you put it -- I think in the EIS you said you were going to use it internally to get a secure supply for New Jersey.
Q. Did you see the EIS? Did you
read it yourself?
A. I heard this in testimony.
Q. Right. So you heard that that
was the intent, to send it all into New Jersey?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So you didn't read the

EIS?
A. No.
Q. You didn't see the references to

New York City?
A. No.
Q. Did you hear John Lizak's
testimony yesterday about getting aggregate
A. Yes.
Q. And you've been assuming all along that it was going into New Jersey?
A. No. You stated that in the beginning in your documents early on, it's now New York. I don't blame you. You wouldn't make money
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going into New Jersey, so you ship into New York where profit margins are very good.
Q. So you've based that on the EIS; is that correct?
A. No, I based it on what I've heard in this courtroom.
Q. I see. So is it your
understanding today that the only plan for the Claytons was to go into New Jersey?
A. Of course not.
Q. You thought they were going --
A. This whole thing has been about

New York. My analysis is about New York because we were instructed New York.
Q. But my original question --
A. The plan switched.
Q. My original question was: Did you
have any input into -- did you supervise? Did you coordinate the inputs of the cost of taking a ton of aggregate from Whites Point to New York City or New Jersey?
A. Let me see... for about the
fourth time, no, I've had no input on the supply side.
Q. Can you go to page 11, please?
A. Is this... which tab?
Q. First tab. Did you prepare that
chart?
A. No.
Q. Did you --
A. I had nothing to do with it.
Q. Did you verify any of the
information contained in that chart?
A. No.
Q. You relied upon Mr. Sutherland?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you have any information or
any input into the chart which is Exhibit -- first page of Exhibit R-0756?
A. No.
Q. So your entire role, as I
understand it, was as a forecaster; is that right?
A. Forecaster and analyzer.
Q. And from your resumé you've
spoken at no conferences about aggregate production; correct? You spoke of one conference in Edinburgh in 1998; that wasn't about aggregate, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You've spoken at no conferences
about aggregate production; correct?
$\square$
A. Spoken at conferences --
Q. Yes.
A. -- no, I've spoken about cement.
Q. Have you spoken -- well, I don't
see that in your CV.
A. That's not a daily log of my
life.
Q. Well, there could be a more expansive description of what your life has been. I think you told me that everything relevant to this case, to explain your expertise and qualifications was contained in your CV.

Didn't you tell me that about 15 minutes ago?
A. The relevant material is there.
Q. So it doesn't say that you spoke
at any aggregates production conference or any
aggregates conference at all?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. And you haven't spoken to any
conference about aggregates markets; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And for the purpose of signing this report, you relied on the information and analysis provided by Mr. Sutherland, Mr. Ward and
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A. Yes, I don't know if it's minor,
like, 2 or 3 cents or 12 cents; I don't know.
Q. You have no idea?
A. Correct.
Q. You have no idea if it's
different delivering a ton of stone by truck to
Manhattan or the Bronx; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Using the "estimated delivery
cost to customer," now is that estimated delivery cost to customers based upon information provided to Mr. Ward and Mr. Power, to your knowledge?
A. To my knowledge, yes.
Q. (Reading):
"It allows us to compare the relative cost of quarries that use different modes of transportation e.g. water, truck
and rail. The cost curve also
directly compares the estimated
cost to produce the coarse aggregate products that Whites
Point planned to sell."[As read.]
And then here comes the words, and I
want to ask you after I read them out whether

Mr. Power; that's correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you relied upon that information for the development of your analysis and your conclusions; that's correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you relied on the information gathered to assess the rough dynamics of the market; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And in footnote 28, at the bottom
of that page, you see that it says:
"The estimated delivered cost to customers includes trucking delivery costs on a per ton basis to final customers which are assumed to be located in a Brooklyn Bronx area." [As read.]
Did you hear the area this morning
from Mr. Ward that, in fact, the pinpoint that he chose, I think on Google maps, was in Manhattan?
A. Yes.
Q. You would understand that it's different delivering stone in a truck to Manhattan than it is delivering stone by truck to Brooklyn?
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they're -- in fact, why don't you read them out: "The calculations in this figure..."
Can you read that sentence for us, please?
A. (Reading):
"The calculations in this figure are estimates and are intended to provide graphical representation of the rough dynamics of the market, rather than represent exact calculations of cost of each quarry." [As read.]
MR. NASH: Were you aware of how rough the rough dynamics of the market were?
A. I accepted their input.
Q. You accepted all of their input and you based your entire analysis on their input; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you incorporated all of the facts and assumptions which were provided by Mr. Sutherland, Mr. Ward and Mr. Power for the purpose of your economic modelling analysis; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Including whether the facts were erroneous or reliable; correct?
A. I trust them, just like they trust me to look at my side.
Q. So, your acceptance of those facts and assumptions was based on personal trust, not on any verification on your part; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ask any questions of any of them about any of the specifics about how these rough dynamics were calculated?
A. Well, we had discussions about this so I could understand it and look at it, or planning sessions and analysis, we discussed it.
Q. But as I understand your evidence, you didn't ask them "are they reliable?" Not them personally, but the facts that they were putting in the report?
A. When you trust somebody, you don't ask a question like that.
Q. Your theory is that the
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remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. And so am I correct to say that your theory is that approximately
A. No.

MR. SPELLISCY: I don't want to interrupt. Our LiveNote is frozen on this side.
--- Reporter's Note: Technical issues resolved.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Mr. Nash, we can continue.

MR. NASH: Thank you. Could you
please turn to paragraph 19 of tab 1 ?
A. It starts off "The EIS stated"?
Q. I said paragraph 19. I'm sorry.

Page 19.
A. Oh. Yes.
Q. Figure 7a on that page: "NYC

Aggregates - Supplier Cost Curve Delivered to Customers"; do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And which customers is that referring to?
A. I'm not sure.
A. Well, I think that one addition
 evidence this morning and Mr. Dooley's evidence a few days ago?
A. Well, they said some years it got as high as
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Q. Okay. And the figures -- the chart there shows CIF cost Brooklyn Navy Yard for coarse aggregate; did you have any input into that chart?
A. No.
Q. Did you rely on it? Sorry, did you say "no"?
A. I didn't get the question.
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Did you rely on that chart for your analysis?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you could go to page

11, please?
A. Page 11 .
Q. Page 11, figure 2.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any input into that
chart.
A. No.
Q. Did you rely on it?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you go to page 22, please?
A. Yes.
Q. Figure 8a?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any input into that
chart?
A. I created it.
Q. You created that chart.

So that chart goes to 2008; do you
see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And there's a note there with the blue dotted line "6-Aug"; what does that mean?
A. Oh, that was done in August of
2006.
Q. That chart was created in 2006 ?
A. 2006 .
Q. Was that chart created for the purpose of this report?
A. The analysis was done in August 2006 and the chart, you know, we pulled it out, it was done long before this whole thing started. I just created it from numbers from a prior forecast.
Q. So, that's a forecast of yours in 2006 for the years 2006, 2007, 2008?
A. Correct.
Q. And it states above, 'As can be seen", and I'm four lines down paragraph 66:
"... our forecast correctly anticipated declining market demand for the 2006-2008 period when Bilcon was anticipating building volume through a potential new aggregate source in Nova Scotia."
Now that's for the entire
New York State, isn't it?
A. This chart is, yes.
Q. So New York State is being used as a proxy for New York City?
A. It's been used to understand what was happening in the market.
Q. In the market generally?
A. In the New York State market and New York City is about 60 per cent of New York State.
Q. But New York City is in a different position geographically than the rest of New York State; isn't that correct?
A. It is part of New York State.
Q. It is part of New York State, but it is very difficult to get access to. There are tolls; there are weight restriction on trucks; there
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are a whole lot of things that make it more difficult to get into New York City than it does in other parts of the State and I'm going to suggest to you that one of them, those difficulties, are what makes New York City such a lucrative market for aggregate. You would agree with that?
A. Yes. Do you understand the purpose of this chart?
Q. Well, I think the purpose of this chart is to show that, as you see in the sentence above:
"As can be seen, our forecast correctly anticipated declining market demand for the 2006-2008 period..."
A. That was pretty darn good.
Q. Yes.
A. Because things were awfully good in 2006 when we were doing it.
Q. Right.
A. And Dodge and New York Construction Board, they weren't forecasting this. Q. Right. They weren't forecasting the declining market demand?
A. Correct.


New York State -- I mean, sorry, New York City did better than a State overall, did better than the US overall, absolutely. It was --
Q. You're forecasting --
A. It was reasonably insulated.
Q. You are forecasting a decline in the New York market and using that as a basis, as a forecasting basis to suggest that there would be a decline for New York Sand \& Stone. That's correct, isn't it?
A. In the -- a decline in the cement for New York City.
Q. In cement for New York City?
A. Right. That's what this is,
cement.
Q. And you concluded from that that
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A. Well, there was a bit of a delay --
(Simultaneous speakers - unclear)
A. So they were here and it took
 evidence about that from Mr. Dooley?
A. I believe so.
Q. And you ignored that evidence for your purposes; correct?
A. You mean -- I did this a long
time ago.
Q. Yes, but you just said --
A. This is an independent analysis.
Q.
A. Yes.
Q. And so Mr. Dooley had an
explanation for that; did you hear that explanation?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And did you accept the
Q. There was dancing so you don't accept that?
A. That's the way I describe it.
Q. That's the way you saw it. Okay.
there was a part of the analysis to see, in terms of forecasting, where aggregate would go and demand for that because cement is sort of a general proxy for aggregate; correct?
A. They are highly correlated.
Q. They are correlated. They are used in the same product. They make concrete and so on, so there is a relationship between cement and aggregate; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you are forecasting a decline in the cement market in New York State, and your analysis is then used to suggest that there's a decline -- there's going to be declining demand for aggregates from New York Sand \& Stone; that's correct?
A. You mean in this period of time? Like 2008, 2010?
Q. 2006, 2008, just your years?

is trying to say the market got weak. The market got weak. It got weaker.
Q.
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explanation?
A. No, I'm not satisfied with it.
Q. All right.
A. I looked at his chart and it went


Go to page 23 then, that chart at the top of the page.
A. Yes.
Q. "Cement forecast from

August 2006".
A. Yes.
Q. And the cement, again, in those years, the forecast is that cement
do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. So that's conflicting with your forecast; would you agree in that period?

|  | Page 2046 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. Yes, yes. | 1 |
| 2 | Q. And then at the bottom, paragraph | 2 |
| 3 | 68: | 3 |
| 4 | "Following a similar trend, | 4 |
| 5 | figure 9 shows that construction | 5 |
| 6 | contracts were also declining | 6 |
| 7 | from 2008 to 2011..." | T |
| 8 | Do you see that? | 8 |
| 9 | A. Yes. And I want to add one thing | 9 |
| 10 | about the New York Sand \& Stone. That's a | 10 |
| 11 | particular seller. | 11 |
|  |  | 12 |
|  |  | 13 |
| 14 | Q. Yes. | 14 |
| 15 | A. They have varied greatly from | 15 |
| 16 | year to year so that's not -- that's not the market. | 16 |
| 17 | That's an individual company. | 17 |
| 18 | Q. Your point here in paragraph 68: | 18 |
| 19 | "Following a similar trend, | 19 |
| 20 | figure 9 shows that construction | 20 |
| 21 | contracts were also declining | 21 |
| 22 | from 2008 to 2011..." | 22 |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 |
| 24 | Q. Now, if we look at the | 24 |
| 25 | , that's the red line; | 25 |

known since 1929, it declined about 10 per cent, went up in 2012 by perhaps another 10 per cent and then it rocketed up from 2012 to 2017; that's what that chart shows, right?
A. When was the peak? 2015.
Q. Well, I'm --
A. It's declining.
Q. You say it's still declining?
A. No, no, no. We all see the
curve.
Q. Yes.
A. And we both agree, it was a great recovery.
Q. It's almost twice in 2017 than it was back in 2011.
A. It's a great recovery.
Q. And cement and aggregate are
correlated; right?
A. Yes.
Q. You have increased demand from
, you would expect you'd get increased demand for --
A. Yes, it has gone up.

correct?
A. Correct.
Q. In New York City; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. In the boroughs of New York. And it shows that

And you see it's fairly stable.
A. They are indexed to 100 in 2007.
Q. Right. And then there is a slight decline in 2011; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it starts rocketing
up --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to just under 190, almost double?
A. In a great recovery.
Q. In a great recovery.

It's not what you would call a declining market, is it?
A. Excuse me, I said declining from 2008 to 2011 and it declined about 10 per cent.
Q. About 10 per cent in the middle of what everybody knows was the worst recession
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A. Yes.
Q. Could you turn, please, to tab 2, in your rejoinder report, at page 9, paragraph 16.
Sorry. If you go to the bottom of paragraph 16.
You say:
"As such, while we cannot be a hundred per cent certain, we are highly confident that our cost estimates are within pennies per ton of what they were in 2007." [As read.]
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Did you write those words?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Sutherland write them?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you endorse them?
A. I didn't question them.

MR. NASH: Thank you, Mr. Chereb, those are my questions.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thank you, Mr. Nash.

MR. SPELLISCY: We will request just a minute here to organize our thoughts to see if we have any re-direct.




PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Estimates. MR. NASH: About an hour on Monday and about two hours on Wednesday.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: And Mr. Spelliscy?

MR. SPELLISCY: My best professional judgment., estimate, not speculation, which is a little -- I don't have an algorithm for this. I would imagine that we're -- well, we also have the expert presentations by our own quantum experts which are included in that half hour or included in the time remaining so I would imagine half an hour for our expert presentation under the procedural order. I would imagine we will probably use the remaining two, just over two hours for the cross-examination of Mr. Rosen and three hours for our closing arguments on --

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Sorry, and three?

MR. SPELLISCY: Three hours for our closing arguments on Wednesday. So I would expect, like we have to date, to be right on track to use our entire 21 hours.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I think on Monday we could consider to meet at like --
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PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I hope you found time to test that already a bit before we see each other again, cabernet. (laughter)

MR. SCOTT LITTLE: Judge Simma --
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Yes.
MR. SCOTT LITTLE: I just wanted to put a few things down. First off, just in light of the time remaining today and the global time that remains for each of the parties, we just want to make note of the fact that we really don't see a reason why the complete evidentiary record could not have been completed today.

So, I'm not asking that, like, changes have been made, expenses have been incurred, but I just wanted to make it clear our concern over the diversion from the original schedule, and in case I wasn't clear enough yesterday to make that request that there are cost consequences to the change that has happened.

In light of the fact that the time that the claimants do have left to conduct their remaining cross-examinations, and to present their closing submissions, we also want to make clear that we don't consent to an extension of any time or the submissions that can be made in any form, so be it

DR. PULKOWSKI: I suppose, since the investors experts will be cross-examined first so that would probably presumably be the bigger package in terms of time. We should probably have a normal morning without an excessively early start so that we get through by lunch time.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: What do we meaning by "normal morning"?

MR. SPELLISCY: I'm sure if we started at 9:30, which is what the original hearing time was scheduled to start, with our total of three hours on Monday the claimants have said one hour, then I would imagine that that's fine.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: 9:30.
MR. SPELLISCY: 9:30 would be plenty of time.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: We are going to see each other again, relaxed, on Monday at 9:30 in another room; right? Do we know the wine?

DR. PULKOWSKI: It will be Cabernet for the tribunal and Shiraz for the parties because there are two doors to the same room.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay, well ...
DR. PULKOWSKI: It will be an interesting blend. (laughter)
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an extension of oral submissions or even in the form of post-hearing briefs, as a supplement or a replacement for oral submissions.

We just want to put that down on the record now.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay. That means that you are against post-hearing briefs?

MR. SCOTT LITTLE: We are, yes.
PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I think there
was also an agreement at some stage that post-hearing briefs -- I think it was at the end of the jurisdiction liability phase.

MR. SCOTT LITTLE: I believe we had annotated transcripts at the end of the jurisdiction liability phase, but we just want to lay down the marker now that we are not consenting to post-hearing briefs being a supplement or a replacement for oral closing submissions.

PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: All right, so that is clear.

Let me just say with regard to the -this was a decision made by the tribunal, the decision to spread out and change the schedule of the rest of the exercise.

That decision was based, first, on

|  | Page 2066 |  | Page 2067 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | some input by the parties and, secondly, of course, | 1 | hear Mr. Little putting down a marker, as he says, I |
| 2 | we are a bit in a situation like Mr. Chereb and | 2 | don't think it's necessary. |
| 3 | others, that on the basis of the experience with the | 3 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: I don't |
| 4 | duration of hearings, particularly the duration of | 4 | understand. |
| 5 | re-direct, et cetera, I think we could not foresee | 5 | MR. NASH: I don't think it's |
| 6 | that the remaining exercise would go so efficiently | 6 | necessary; I think we are all on the same page. |
| 7 | and take relatively much less time. | 7 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay. All |
| 8 | So, for me, the expectation yesterday | 8 | right. |
| 9 | was that we could not possibly deal with three | 9 | MR. SCOTT LITTLE: Thank you, |
| 10 | more experts and then have both the quantum | 10 | Mr. Nash. |
| 11 | exercises on the same day. | 11 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Thanks to both |
| 12 | Let me just say as far as the | 12 | of you. So we will break and see each other again |
| 13 | tribunal is concerned, for the record also. | 13 | on Monday in Cabernet and Shiraz at 9:30. |
| 14 | MR. SCOTT LITTLE: That's fine. | 14 | --- Whereupon proceedings adjourned at 1:14 p.m., to |
| 15 | Thank you. My part was more about taking this with | 15 | be resumed Monday, February 26, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. |
| 16 | a view to the global time that is left for the | 16 |  |
| 17 | parties. We think it was more than doable to have | 17 |  |
| 18 | the evidentiary record closed today. | 18 |  |
| 19 | PRESIDING ARBITRATOR: Okay. Yes, | 19 |  |
| 20 | Mr. Nash? | 20 |  |
| 21 | MR. NASH: I think Mr. Little's | 21 |  |
| 22 | concerns as expressed are without foundation in this | 22 |  |
| 23 | sense: That we aren't going to suggest that there | 23 |  |
| 24 | be any extra time, and we're not going to suggest | 24 |  |
| 25 | that there be closing post-hearing arguments. So, I | 25 |  |


| A |
| :--- |
| a.m 1840:9 1842:3 |
| 1904:24,25 |
| 1996:11,12 |
| 2067:15 |
| A.S.A.P 1840:23 |
| abiding 2052:25 |
| ability 2068:2 |
| able 1966:13 |
| 1977:5 2001:12 |
| 2017:24 2018:6 |

absolutely 2019:18 2042:12 2054:18 2056:12,16
accept 2044:25 2045:7,9
acceptance 2034:6
accepted 2015:17 2033:16,17 2050:22,23
accepting 1944:13 access 1901:5,8 1926:10 1928:24 2001:12 2040:24
accessibility 1924:9 1924:12
accord 1894:24
accords 1939:24
account 1917:8 1920:11 1952:3,7 1953:12 1994:20 2026:24 2059:19
accounts 1914:3 accuracy 1913:5 1918:14
accurate 1917:22 1917:24 1918:8 1986:20
accurately 1937:21 1939:5,15 2068:2 achieve 1988:20
ACM 1847:6
acquire 1908:17
acquired 1941:22
acquisitions
1913:19
actions 2056:5
actor 1842:5
actual 1857:10
1863:1 1866:5
1876:6 1881:14
1882:15 1914:3
1920:20,21
1928:11 1929:23
1930:14,17
1932:22 1935:3
1952:3,15,16
1953:1,9 1954:3,5
1959:25 1960:7
1961:18 1972:2
1984:20 1988:17
1994:4 2001:11
2012:8,23 2013:8
2057:5
add 1888:2 1935:1
1935:1 1973:24
2015:2 2046:9
addition 1844:5
1900:14 1935:1
2035:1
additional 1900:11
1983:25 2050:4,8
address 1881:3
adherence 1962:18
adjourned 2067:14
adjunct 1884:4
adjusted 1987:3,9 adjustment
1898:13
admitted 1906:21
advice 1865:7
2014:24
advise 1860:24
1896:11
advised 1940:12 1950:25 1959:8
aerial 1919:9
AFFIRMED
1841:3,11,18
1842:18 1905:15
1997:3
afford 2053:19
affreightment
1891:5 1892:9
Africa 1906:8,11,24
1931:2,22
African 1906:15,20 1906:21
afternoon 2052:22
AggFlow 1947:5,7 1947:11,16,17,25 1948:15,23 1949:2,13,15,23
1973:10 1974:2,6 1974:7,8,9,15 1978:9,11,13
1979:11
aggregate 1845:22
1856:1 1865:16
1871:12 1876:7
1877:11,21
1878:13 1880:7,8
1880:18,20
1889:14 1901:1
1907:16 1908:13
1908:14,17,19
1918:19 1920:7
1920:12 1925:10
1926:3 1941:11
1943:4,22 1944:7
1944:8,12 1946:5
1948:1,4 1949:3,8
1960:7,12
1961:19,22
1964:12 1966:15
1971:13 1975:19
1999:11,15
2002:21 2003:15
2004:1 2008:9
2026:14 2027:18
2028:20 2029:20
2029:22,25
2032:22 2034:23
2036:5 2038:3
2040:6 2041:6
2042:3 2043:2,4,9
2048:17 2057:7
aggregates 1843:9

1845:19 1907:20
1908:3 1956:2
1979:5 1997:18
1998:22 2010:17
2016:6 2030:17
2030:18,21
2036:20 2043:15
2048:25
ago 1843:22
1932:21,23
1987:9 2001:19
2002:17 2012:10
2018:9 2023:1
2030:14 2035:17
2044:16
agree 1875:17
1920:6 1940:17
1941:3 1943:21
1944:3 1948:10
1953:2,4 1956:14
1956:18 1960:21
1964:12 1992:22
1992:25 2012:24
2020:16 2022:20
2041:6 2045:25
2048:12
agreement 1840:1 1890:20 1891:3 2020:3 2065:10
Air 1997:20,21
Alex 1840:14,15
algorithm 2056:14 2062:8
algorithms 1974:9 1974:10 2011:6,8 2011:12 2056:9
Alice 1877:7,15,17 1877:20 1879:8 2002:7
Alison 1840:21
allow 2002:21
allows 1955:20
2032:15
alternative 1894:4
Amboy 1879:15
America 1949:12

1997:17
American 1840:1 1958:16 2010:11 2056:2
amortization
1994:1
amount 1892:14
1973:17 2012:8
amounted 2025:14
analysis 1909:22
1921:23 1924:13
1924:20 1929:4,8 1954:9 1955:12
1957:12 1958:22
1959:7,23 1961:6
1963:8,11 1964:1
1967:2,3 1971:2,8
1971:14,22,23
1972:23 1974:20
1978:9,11,13
1997:16 2011:1
2012:23 2017:15 2018:21 2020:2 2025:7 2026:5 2028:13 2030:25 2031:4 2033:18 2033:24 2034:15 2035:8 2038:10 2039:16 2043:1 2043:13 2044:18
analytical 1905:25 1925:18
Analytics 1843:15 1909:4 1997:12 1997:23
analyzer 2029:18
analyzing 1945:12
ancient 1970:3
and/or 1948:7
2035:9
Annie 1840:21
annotated 2065:14
annual 1852:9
2052:10,13
answer 1882:5 1899:2,4,5

1901:20 1940:11 1941:23 1952:11 1952:14,20 1957:7 1966:22 1966:24 1975:13 1975:14 1980:1 2008:5 2019:4
answered 2010:25
anticipated 2040:2 2041:13 2051:22
anticipating 2040:4 antiquated 1970:4 antitrust 2004:19 anybody 1861:23 1865:4,7 1872:18 1963:13 1965:11 1965:15 1966:10 2015:9,24
anybody's 1870:9
apart 1970:10
apologize 1950:17
1954:9 1993:6 1994:8
Apparently 2023:6 appear 1848:19 1924:14 1941:3 1980:25
APPEARANCES 1840:12
appears 1855:24
1925:15 1940:23
apples 1975:24
application 1974:13
applied 1978:3,4 1987:7
apply 1967:21 1988:12 1989:16 1991:11
applying 2021:18 appointed 1908:2 appreciate 1844:20 approach 1874:7 appropriate 1857:21 1909:20 1936:13 1950:12

1950:20 1994:16
2011:18,19
approval 1983:4
approximate
1981:9,9
approximately
1852:10 1878:13
1879:10 1889:3,5
1892:17 1895:19
1925:3 1934:1
1980:14,24
1981:7,14,20
2036:4 2044:7
2054:16
April 1889:15
1895:4,12
2022:15
ARBITRAL
1841:8,15,22
1901:17 1979:22
2052:21
Arbitration 1840:1
1840:2,8
ARBITRATOR
1840:7 1842:4,11
1842:19 1844:13
1880:2 1882:4,10
1885:24 1886:6,9
1896:18 1898:25
1899:9,12
1901:12,15,18
1902:2,12,18,24
1903:3,7,9,11
1904:1,4,9,12,17
1904:21 1905:1,5
1905:13,16
1910:7 1976:7,12
1976:23 1977:18
1979:17 1987:12
1988:2,6,10
1989:17 1990:6
1990:10,15,18
1991:15,18,23
1992:9,14,17,20
1993:1 1995:6,18
1995:22,25

1996:4,7,13,19
1997:1,4 1998:14
2036:11 2049:21
2050:3,6,11
2051:6 2052:18
2060:1,6,20,24
2061:15,18,22
2062:1,4,18,24
2063:7,14,17,23
2064:1,5 2065:6,9
2065:19 2066:19
2067:3,7,11
ARC 1997:17
area 1867:17
1888:8 1895:22
1918:18 1926:18
1950:14 1955:10
1955:16 2002:23
2012:3 2031:18
2031:19 2052:15
areas 1970:5
2017:5
arguments 2062:17
2062:21 2066:25
arising 1993:6
1995:11,12
2052:20
Arizona 1907:21
arrive 1873:8
1918:5,7,9 1923:4
1989:18
arrived 1877:25
arsenic 2023:22
2024:4
art 1991:4
aside 1914:23
1915:2 1935:6,9
1935:20 1936:18
1974:20
asked 1843:22
1849:16,17
1853:4,5,8,10
1859:24,25
1861:9,10 1863:4
1864:6 1866:8
1867:7 1871:24

1871:25 1872:3 1876:14 1882:25
1883:6,8,13,20
1884:7,9,11,18
1885:7 1893:24
1897:7 1898:8,16
1906:14 1907:15
1908:4,18
1912:17 1934:13
1934:15 1940:1
1954:8 1956:11
1957:23 1958:2,6
1959:25 1965:9
1972:18 1976:15
1976:25 1978:7
1985:5 1993:4
2051:15 2052:8
2053:7 2059:5
asking 1851:20 1857:15 1950:17
1975:16,24
1976:1 1985:3
2026:8 2064:13
aspect 2009:1 2017:25
aspects $1851: 20$
1917:5,6 1977:1
2016:19 2018:7
asphalt 1906:2
1907:2,9,9
1908:20 1946:5,6
1960:23,25
1961:12,25
1962:1,3 2005:3
asserted 1940:22 1940:22
assess 1957:23 2031:8
assessed 1902:7
assessing 1920:12
assessment 1920:14
1952:2 1965:2 2025:3,13
assigned 1965:12 assistant 1906:3 assisting 1843:19
associated 1849:14
assume 1866:13
1988:13,14 2001:10 2055:3,7 2055:11
assumed 1872:8,11 1902:16 1955:9 2031:17 2055:19
assuming 1873:25 1885:18 2027:21
assumption 1874:2 1972:5 1980:11 2001:14 2035:7
assumptions 2033:22 2034:7
Atlanta 1907:24
1942:25 1996:3
Atlantic 1843:3 1854:14,25 1855:1 1887:1 1889:12 1890:3
attached 1849:3
attention 1886:21
1986:4 2050:7
August 2039:10,17
2045:16
Auld's 1843:13
1846:16,20,21
1855:23 1856:1
1861:25 1862:13
1862:19,23
1864:14,20
1865:2 1874:21
1902:21 1965:6,7
1967:15,22,25
1970:2 2013:5
2014:2
author 1857:16,23
1858:1 1912:6
authorizing 1945:19
authors 1997:22
availability 1963:9
available 1889:22
1999:15 2000:24
2002:10

| average 1877:5 | 1962:21 | Bath 1840:21 | 2051:3 | 1912:18 1915:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1893:11 1989:10 | base 1924:9 | Bay 1840:9,23 | Bayside's 1883:7 | 1915:21 1941:23 |
| aware 1947:22 | 1942:25 1962:1 | 1855:11 1856:9 | 1895:2 1898:9 | 2059:12,21 |
| 1960:19 1962:25 | 1978:22 | 1861:2 1870:4 | bear 1974:17 | 2062:6 2068:2 |
| 1963:14,14 | based 1852:11,12 | 1872:23 1873:3 | beginning 1870:12 | bet 2005:23 |
| 1965:16 1999:9 | 1861:16 1864:18 | 1874:3,7,8 | 2027:24 | better 1931:10 |
| 1999:13 2012:5 | 1866:1 1872:3 | 1964:19 | behaviour 2020:6 | 1986:20 2042:11 |
| 2021:20,23 | 1873:8 1880:18 | Bayside 1843:4 | belief 1842:17 | 2042:11 |
| 2022:1,4,9,13,17 | 1884:1 1889:17 | 1845:25 1846:11 | 1905:12 1913:1 | beyond 1896:3,4 |
| 2033:14 2042:1 | 1892:11 1893:22 | 1847:7 1852:1,10 | believe 1871:5 | 1964:15 1965:9 |
| 2051:4 | 1894:14 1897:17 | 1853:25 1854:9 | 1884:20,22 | 1979:25 |
| awful 1913:20,20 | 1897:25 1900:16 | 1854:14,14,21 | 1899:24 1911:5 | Bickford 1943:15 |
| 1985:19 | 1911:7 1912:19 | 1855:12 1860:8,9 | 1911:10 1922:2 | 1943:21 1946:20 |
| awfully 2041:18 | 1913:14 1914:2 | 1860:22 1861:16 | 1927:1 1933:5 | 1950:1 1972:10 |
|  | 1916:21 1920:14 | 1861:17 1862:21 | 1937:23 1973:11 | 1973:18 1978:8 |
| B | 1923:10,11 | 1862:24 1864:12 | 1978:12,25 | 1978:12,20 |
| back 1851:7 | 1933:5,8,15 | 1865:22 1866:2 | 1987:2 1989:21 | Bickford's 1945:4 |
| 1852:12 1857:5 | 1943:1,7 1945:1 | 1869:7,25 | 1991:14 2004:16 | 1947:4 1949:19 |
| 1857:12 1858:3,4 | 1961:10 1966:1 | 1870:25 1871:15 | 2044:12 2065:13 | 1971:3 1978:10 |
| 1859:15,17 | 1970:25 1972:4 | 1872:9,20,22 | Belledune 1856:20 | 1979:12 |
| 1862:12 1874:15 | 1974:6,16 | 1875:7 1876:24 | 1856:21,23,25 | big 1868:12 |
| 1882:11 1885:14 | 1978:20 1986:4,8 | 1877:2,3,7,12,22 | 1857:3,6,9 | 1928:20 1979:25 |
| 1886:4 1887:4 | 1986:9,12,14,25 | 1878:12,20 | 1858:13 1859:8,9 | 2006:12 2007:12 |
| 1892:18 1893:7 | 1987:2,3 1990:9 | 1879:21 1880:5,7 | 1859:16 1860:9 | 2056:4 |
| 1894:3 1899:7,8 | 1990:19 2007:21 | 1880:8,20 | 1860:12,21,25 | bigger 1996:8 |
| 1900:1 1907:24 | 2007:24 2008:1,8 | 1881:13,15 | 1861:21 1865:21 | 2063:3 |
| 1908:25 1915:5 | 2008:10,20 | 1882:1,15,16 | 1885:11 1936:24 | Bilcon 1840:4 |
| 1922:20 1924:1 | 2010:21 2011:1,4 | 1883:12,14 | 1937:1,5,10,13,25 | 2000:14,16 |
| 1927:25 1929:11 | 2024:23 2026:19 | 1884:2,3,5 | 2012:4 2013:6 | 2002:2 2005:25 |
| 1930:12 1933:1 | 2028:3,5 2032:11 | 1887:11 1893:17 | 2014:5 2016:4 | 2040:4 2059:14 |
| 1951:24 1960:4 | 2033:18 2034:7 | 1894:11,14 | Belleoram 1847:10 | binder 1844:25 |
| 1965:6 1966:13 | 2052:14 2054:23 | 1895:9,11,15,19 | 1847:15,18 | 1885:21 1898:9 |
| 1973:19,24,25 | 2056:8 2060:12 | 1896:4 1898:17 | 1848:9 1869:15 | 1924:3 1946:25 |
| 1985:24 1993:3,5 | 2065:25 | 1899:17 1900:19 | 1869:16,18 | 1995:8 |
| 1993:21 1995:4 | baseline 1938:9,15 | 1902:10,15 | 1875:10,17 | binders 1844:6 |
| 2003:14 2005:4 | 1938:23 | 1964:9,17 | 1885:11 1965:17 | 1998:24 |
| 2025:18 2048:15 | Basically 1849:16 | 1999:10,14,15,22 | 1965:21 2012:4 | bird's 2012:13,15 |
| 2055:21,22 | 1898:19 | 1999:24 2000:5 | 2013:6 | bit 1843:1 1852:21 |
| 2057:9 | basing 1913:21 | 2000:22,23 | belong 1942:8 | 1862:20 1892:8 |
| background 1843:1 | basis 1912:21 | 2001:1 2003:16 | belonged 1942:17 | 1896:21 1905:22 |
| 1843:2 1905:22 | 1953:19,21 | 2006:8 2013:16 | belt 1983:10,10,11 | 1987:18 2019:12 |
| 1917:2 1997:9,13 | 1955:7 2005:15 | 2015:12 2021:9 | 1983:15,15 | 2021:15 2044:2 |
| Baer 1840:14 | 2014:12 2020:11 | 2021:20 2022:4,6 | Benjamin 1840:22 | 2055:16 2064:2 |
| ballpark 1885:4,5 | 2025:11 2031:15 | 2022:10,14,18,19 | berthed 1868:16 | 2066:2 |
| 1914:12 | 2042:16,17 | 2023:19 2035:2,8 | best 1861:11 | Black 1845:15 |
| Barrett 2068:6 basalt 1960:17 | 2066:3 | 2035:24 2042:3 | 1878:6 1894:25 | 1870:3,4,11,13 |

1896:24
blame 2027:25
blanket 1926:12 1929:8
blasting 1883:19 1914:17
blend 2063:25
blended 1893:10
blue 1845:8 1847:5
1850:6 1852:1,1
1855:10,11,22
1857:6 1859:15
1862:2,4 1863:8
1871:14 1883:12
1907:19,24
1908:3 1909:13
1916:7 1936:25
1942:8,18 2039:9
board 1926:7
1950:21 1988:13
2041:22
boardroom
2006:21
bolts 1983:5
border 1927:4
bore 2057:5,12
borne 2037:14
2057:10
borough 1928:14
boroughs 2047:5 2051:23
Borowicz 1840:16
bottom 1845:3
1855:7 1867:1
1871:7,8 1888:20
1897:22 1934:12
1934:17 1935:14
1936:23 1937:1
1948:13 1955:2
1977:23 1986:3
2031:11 2046:2
2049:4 2056:19
bought 1907:25 1908:7
bounce 1843:23
bracket 1991:16

Branford 1847:21 1848:10 1991:9
break 1896:24 1897:3 1904:20 1904:22 1996:8 1996:10 2060:7 2067:12
Brent 1840:13
bricks 1907:2
briefly 1842:25
1905:21 1997:9
briefs 2065:2,7,11 2065:17
brilliant 2055:18
bring 2002:17
2003:5 2006:20
bringing 2003:15 2004:12
brings 1904:4 2060:3
Bronx 1955:10,16 2002:16 2031:18 2032:7
Brook 1847:2
1851:5,6 1863:10
1863:11 1867:9
1867:14,16,21,25
1868:24,24
1869:8 1874:18
1885:12,16
1961:17,17,21
1963:19,23
1964:7 2012:4
2015:10 2016:4
Brooklyn 1888:3
1928:21 1955:10
1955:16 2002:22
2031:18,25
2038:2
BRUNO 1840:7
Brunswick 1856:5
1856:7,8,14
1899:25 2014:5
BRYAN 1840:8
bucks 1869:23
budget 1993:17

2061:10
budgeted 1872:2
build 1948:6
1983:22
building 1907:1
1961:5,5 1982:17
1993:23 1994:7
2040:5
built 1843:12
1966:8 2002:21
2007:17 2021:7,9
bullet 2010:9,10,15
Burns 1840:21
business 1908:11
1908:24 1909:2
1968:13,16
1985:4 2009:7
2025:14,18
buy 1908:13 1983:2
buying 1983:4
1984:15,21
1999:14 2054:11
$\frac{C}{C-07561844: 8}$

C-0756 1844:8
cabernet 2063:20
2064:3 2067:13
calculate 1966:14
1972:1 1990:21
calculated 1849:11
1892:12 1935:25
1967:22 2013:20
2026:13 2034:12
calculates 1924:24
calculating 1917:21
1978:1 2025:20
calculation 1861:20
1863:2 1871:25
1917:21 1920:20
1921:4,6,23
1925:9,10 1935:3
1952:1,15
1953:15 1957:6
1969:6 1970:1
1973:5 1974:1
1977:21 1989:13
1989:25 1990:3

2012:24 2014:17
2061:4
calculations
1934:17,20
1935:2 1956:4,9
1956:15 1974:5
1974:16 1978:23
1981:15 1990:9
2033:2,7,12
calculator 1892:14
call 1842:8 1865:18
1913:25 1924:19
1971:23 2016:25
2024:3 2044:7
2047:20 2058:11
called 1844:7
1851:15 1881:9
1908:1 1939:21
1962:7,10 1963:9
1973:13
calling 1881:10
Canada 1840:5
1843:6 1854:16
1854:23 1858:9
1883:2 1896:14
1901:13 1916:7
1942:11,12
2001:12 2012:3
2016:18 2024:25
2025:3 2050:7
2059:15
Canada's 1851:12 1896:12 2025:20
2026:9
Canadian 1848:16
1850:7 1851:16
1874:17 1876:5
1880:18 1937:11 1942:2,7 1958:15
1958:22 1959:4 1959:12 1961:16 1965:13 2042:8
Canadian-based 1954:11
Canso 1856:2
capacity 1879:7,9
capital 1945:20
1970:6 1982:8
1984:15 1985:1
1993:10,13,16,23
1994:6,10,11,23 2018:20
carefully 1861:13
carry 1856:1
1909:16
cars 1962:3
case 1911:20
1918:11 1930:15
1949:20 1996:15
2030:11 2064:17
cash 1993:22 1994:4
Castle 1949:4
catch 1842:5 1851:23 1980:16
categories 1914:19
category 1914:22
1917:17
cause 1999:1 2034:24 2036:5
cell 1897:13
cement 2002:17
2010:16 2030:3
2042:20,22,24 2043:3,8,12
2045:15,18,19
2048:17,21
2052:16
Cemex 1907:22,23
1949:11
cent 1862:23
1865:22 1869:9
1885:17 1888:10 1915:13,14,15,17 1933:24 1958:1,1 1971:18 1973:18 1973:20 1974:13 1974:20 1975:3,8 1975:18 1976:3
1977:11 1980:14
1981:7,18,20,21
1982:7 1983:18

| 1984:10,13 | change 1892:6 | 1977:8 1996:15 | 2022:7,14,20 | closest 1964:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985:13,17 | 1910:3 1961:11 | 1996:17 1997:2,3 | 2026:15 2027:15 | closing 2060:25 |
| 1987:8 1993:12 | 1999:20 2064:19 | 1997:8,15 | 2028:20 2037:13 | 2062:17,21 |
| 1993:18 1999:3,3 | 2065:23 | 1998:18 2016:23 | 2037:14 2040:12 | 2064:23 2065:18 |
| 2001:22 2004:12 | changed 1892:14 | 2017:8,9,17,22 | 2040:17,19 | 2066:25 |
| 2004:14,19 | 1943:9 2002:14 | 2018:12 2049:19 | 2041:2,5 2042:10 | co-authored 2017:2 |
| 2005:12,12 | 2037:24 2046:13 | 2051:9 2066:2 | 2042:21,22 | 2017:9 |
| 2007:13,23 | changes 1866:11 | chief 1997:11,12 | 2047:3 2050:15 | co-owner 1879:20 |
| 2021:1 2034:25 | 1940:7 1983:16 | choose 1936:23 | 2051:1,24 2054:3 | co-owners 2017:24 |
| 2035:5,6 2036:6 | 1986:22 2064:14 | chose 1923:9 | City/New 1918:18 | co-signatories |
| 2040:17 2043:24 | CHAPTER 1840:1 | 1941:6,8 1970:17 | 1926:18 | 2017:23 |
| 2044:7 2045:5 | characterization | 2031:21 | civil 2051:24 | co-signatory |
| 2047:23,24 | 1958:5 | Chris 1840:14 | claimants 1840:4 | 2016:16 |
| 2048:1,2 2049:7 | charged 1892:21 | CIF 2037:14 | 1840:13 1880:17 | coarse 1845:19 |
| 2051:25 2052:1,1 | 2015:7 | 2038:2 | 1936:10,14 | 1943:4,15 1956:2 |
| 2052:2,14,14 | charging 1890:3 | Circle 1907:19,25 | 1977:12 1980:12 | 1960:12 1961:19 |
| 2053:8,10,10,16 | chart 1845:2,18 | 1908:3 1909:13 | 2018:9 2060:17 | 1971:13 2032:21 |
| 2053:16,21,22,24 | 1846:16 1857:5 | 1942:8,18 | 2063:12 2064:21 | 2038:3 |
| 2054:15,16,16,16 | 1859:10 1864:4 | circumstances | claimants' 2060:16 | coarser 1983:13 |
| 2055:4,20 | 1866:25 1867:10 | 2058:19 | 2061:12 | coast 1843:3 |
| 2057:21,25 | 1871:4 1874:15 | cited 1953:25 | clamoring 2001:20 | 1854:15,25 |
| centre 1922:10 | 1903:14 1924:1 | city 1853:25 1854:9 | 2001:21 | 1855:1,21 |
| 1928:16,18 | 1932:24 1935:13 | 1861:21 1876:7 | clarification 1882:9 | 1863:24 1873:2 |
| cents 1865:1 | 1937:9 1938:4,13 | 1877:12,14,22 | 1911:5 | 1874:9 1887:1 |
| 1871:21 1888:6 | 1955:4 1958:8,19 | 1878:17,18 | clarify 1913:16 | 1889:12 1890:4 |
| 1892:17 1970:2 | 1958:20 1960:10 | 1880:6 1895:25 | clarity 1986:10 | coffee 1996:8,10 |
| 1970:10 2015:11 | 1961:15 2029:3,8 | 1896:1 1918:20 | class 1960:22 | Colin 1843:21 |
| 2032:2,2 | 2029:13 2037:5,7 | 1919:17 1920:4,7 | clause 1892:10 | 1844:23 1866:13 |
| certain 1879:13,16 | 2037:9,13 2038:2 | 1920:13,22,22 | Clayton 1840:3,3,4 | 2012:11 2014:18 |
| 1879:17 1917:5,6 | 2038:4,10,18 | 1921:8 1923:1,15 | 1840:4 2027:1 | 2018:5 |
| 1926:25 1929:5 | 2039:2,4,5,12,14 | 1923:21,24 | Claytons 2028:9 | colleague 1896:6 |
| 1970:19,21,24 | 2039:17 2040:10 | 1924:5,16 | clean 1973:21,22 | colleagues 1922:1 |
| 1971:1,6,7 1983:7 | 2041:8,10 2045:4 | 1925:12 1926:4 | clear 1851:11,12 | 1973:8 1982:19 |
| 1987:4 2027:19 | 2045:12 2048:4 | 1926:21,22 | 1881:4 1882:7 | College 1906:5,17 |
| 2049:7 2055:5 | cheaper 1941:4 | 1927:24 1928:12 | 2016:21 2064:15 | colour 1848:20 |
| 2058:19 2059:5 | check 1937:20 | 1928:15,20,21 | 2064:17,23 | colourfully 2059:3 |
| certainly 1849:1 | 2060:9 | 1929:6 1942:22 | 2065:20 | column 1851:8 |
| 1951:16 1996:22 | Chedabucto 1870:4 | 1951:2 1952:8,18 | clearly 1858:2 | 1852:1,4 1853:16 |
| Certified 2068:7 | Chelsea 1840:21 | 1960:6,18,24 | client 1936:8 | 1857:6 1862:2 |
| CERTIFY 2068:1 | chem/rock 1863:9 | 1961:23 1963:10 | Clinton 1922:21 | 1863:8,13 1867:1 |
| cetera 1953:4 | chemist 1905:25 | 1964:12 1965:14 | close 1884:16 | 1870:17 1871:2 |
| 1969:14,14 | chemistry 1906:1 | 1972:8 1987:5 | 1924:10 1926:20 | 1875:12 1883:12 |
| 1973:15 1991:2 | 1918:4,5 | 1998:23 1999:2 | 1928:2,4 2061:2 | 1914:16 1915:25 |
| 1992:4 2066:5 | Chereb 1841:18 | 1999:24 2001:4 | closed 1932:8 | 1936:24 1937:8 |
| chance 1896:8 | 1859:22 1909:23 | 2001:21 2004:2 | 2066:18 | 1964:18 1968:22 |
| 1980:15 | 1939:21 1954:25 | 2005:17 2008:2,3 | closer 2035:2 | 1986:8,25 1987:1 |


| 2009:12,17 | 2051:25 | competitors | 2030:1 | 1997:16,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| columns 1849:25 | commitment | 2001:11,12 | confidence 1984:7 | 1998:8 2009:13 |
| 1850:21 1867:3 | 1893:18 1894:2 | 2012:9 | 2053:1,9,12 | 2010:11 2016:6 |
| 1871:7 1897:9,23 | commonality | complete 1972:15 | 2058:25 | 2041:22 2046:5 |
| 1898:6 1903:15 | 1969:16 | 2008:24 2010:1 | confident 2049:8 | 2046:20,25 |
| 1985:25 | companies 1908:13 | 2064:11 | confidential 1980:7 | 2047:6 2048:21 |
| combination | 1908:14 1914:9 | completed 2064:12 | 1980:8 | 2048:24 2051:23 |
| 1920:4 | 1914:14 1942:14 | completely 2014:15 | confirm 1912:14 | 2052:3 |
| combined 1871:11 | company 1843:12 | complex 1948:6 | 1944:2 1950:11 | consultant 1908:24 |
| 1891:22 1925:10 | 1864:18 1906:9 | component 2026:22 | 1950:19,23 | consulted 1849:13 |
| 2052:2 | 1906:25 1907:12 | components | 1954:2 | consulting 1908:10 |
| come 1858:4 | 1907:22 1908:1,3 | 2055:25 | confirmed 1857:22 | 1909:1 |
| 1862:12 1872:22 | 1908:4,13 | compound 2052:9 | conflicting 2045:24 | contact 1918:17,21 |
| 1873:8,9 1933:1 | 1931:10 1941:25 | 2052:13 | conform 1944:1 | 1921:17 1953:23 |
| 1970:10 1978:14 | 1945:19 1953:6 | computer 1914:24 | conjunction 2018:6 | 1954:5 |
| 1980:6 1986:15 | 1997:18 2046:17 | 1947:18 1948:8 | Connecticut | contacted 1843:21 |
| 1988:11 1990:13 | comparable 1959:5 | concept 1980:4 | 1991:10 | contacts 1954:20 |
| 1991:14 1996:1 | comparative | conceptual 2025:12 | conscience 1842:14 | contain 1973:18 |
| 2000:6 2002:22 | 1926:11,19 | concern 2052:25 | 1905:9 1996:24 | contained 2017:4 |
| 2004:18 2011:23 | 1957:13 1969:12 | 2064:15 | consecutively | 2017:12 2029:8 |
| 2054:3,6 2055:12 | compare 1849:17 | concerned 1978:17 | 1850:15 | 2030:12 |
| 2055:17,18 | 1902:4,8 1955:21 | 2066:13 | consent 2064:24 | contains 1912:8 |
| 2059:13 | 1975:24 2032:15 | concerns 2066:22 | consenting 2065:16 | 1943:25 |
| comes 2032:24 | compared 1849:2 | concluded 1999:22 | consequence | contaminated |
| coming 1881:22 | 1869:7 1901:21 | 2042:25 | 1971:17 | 2023:22 |
| 1891:6 1922:5 | 1902:7 1967:15 | conclusion 1916:22 | consequences | contemporaneous |
| 1983:13 2050:15 | 1969:3 1982:14 | 1971:9 1972:5 | 2064:18 | 2025:24 |
| 2056:22,22,24 | 1990:23 2057:3 | 1999:9 2026:9 | consider 1885:3 | content 1881:24 |
| commencing | compares 1955:25 | conclusions 1972:4 | 2025:6 2060:13 | 1977:6 |
| 1889:14 | 2032:20 | 2011:23 2017:4 | 2062:25 | contest 1881:2 |
| comment 1857:15 | comparing 1860:8 | 2017:11 2031:5 | considered 1853:23 | context 1874:16 |
| 1884:13 1917:1 | 1902:10 1969:9 | concrete 1907:2 | 1933:16 | 1949:1 2052:7 |
| 1938:2 1940:5 | 1978:19 | 1908:20,21 | considering | contingency 1982:8 |
| 1957:21 1958:3 | comparison | 1961:4,12,24 | 1942:10 | 1982:12 1983:18 |
| 1960:1 1964:14 | 1912:18,19 | 1973:15 1988:21 | consist 1845:22 | 1984:1,11 |
| 1964:24 1965:9 | 1917:22,24 | 1988:25 2010:16 | consistently 1918:7 | 1993:12,18 |
| 1967:5 1975:23 | 1986:23 | 2043:7 2052:4 | 1918:9 | continue 1882:6 |
| 1977:2,5 1979:19 | competition | conditions 1874:12 | consolidate | 2036:12 2055:4 |
| 1981:1 | 2004:16 2005:19 | 1952:23 1983:12 | 1932:11 | continued 1895:9 |
| commented | 2005:20,22,24 | conduct 2064:21 | constrain 2020:8 | 2055:1 |
| 1880:17 1948:22 | 2006:9 2023:15 | conducted 1894:16 | construct 1907:5 | continuing 2000:6 |
| 2011:16 | 2023:16 | 1972:24 | 1983:21 | contract 1890:15 |
| comments 1936:18 | competitive | conference 2029:21 | constructing | 1891:4 1892:9 |
| 1940:14 1967:17 | 2055:15,16 | 2030:17,18,21 | 1984:21 | 1907:4 |
| 1978:24 1979:14 | competitor 1857:10 | conferences | construction | contracts 2046:6,21 |
| commercial | 1881:14 1882:16 | 2029:20,24 | 1843:9,12 | 2046:25 2047:7 |


| 2048:21,24 | 2016:4 | 1943:23 1944:9 | 1911:21 2023:7 | 1994:1,2,5,6,7,10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2051:23 2052:3 | corporate 2056:10 | 1944:16 1945:9 | 2040:1 2041:13 | 1994:11,13,13,23 |
| contribute 1909:14 | correct 1845:11,24 | 1945:10 1946:1,2 | correlated 2043:5,6 | 1998:5 2011:21 |
| 1934:7 | 1846:2,9,10,21 | 1946:23 1949:5 | 2048:18 | 2013:16,19 |
| contributed | 1847:3,7,8,19 | 1950:1,8 1952:4,8 | correspondence | 2018:17,20 |
| 1910:18 1911:4 | 1848:4,23,24 | 1952:18 1953:20 | 2017:21 | 2026:13,15 |
| 1912:15 1919:5,6 | 1850:1,4,8 1852:3 | 1953:22 1954:4 | cost 1845:18 1848:1 | 2028:19 2031:13 |
| 1933:17 1934:5 | 1852:16,20,25 | 1954:18 1957:12 | 1848:2,3 1851:15 | 2032:10,11,16,19 |
| 1939:22 1941:14 | 1853:25 1854:1,9 | 1957:20,24 | 1853:6,7,16,24 | 2032:21 2033:12 |
| 1941:15 1951:25 | 1854:10,16,17,19 | 1958:5,9,12,16,16 | 1854:18,21 | 2036:20 2037:14 |
| 1998:1 | 1854:20,24 | 1959:2,21 1961:8 | 1858:13 1859:10 | 2038:2 2049:8 |
| contributing | 1855:13,15 | 1963:3,5 1969:8 | 1859:19 1861:20 | 2064:18 |
| 1921:1 1967:20 | 1856:2,3,9 1857:7 | 1972:8,25 1973:6 | 1864:3 1865:20 | costed 1980:12 |
| contribution | 1859:5,11 1862:6 | 1974:13,21 | 1869:2,11,12,14 | costs 1849:1,10,14 |
| 1919:7 1935:15 | 1862:25 1863:19 | 1980:8 1994:13 | 1871:11 1873:6 | 1851:11 1852:22 |
| 1935:17 | 1865:2,3 1866:3 | 1994:17 1995:2,3 | 1874:17 1875:8 | 1852:24 1861:10 |
| contributions | 1866:11 1867:22 | 1999:4,5 2000:1,3 | 1876:9 1880:17 | 1862:14 1866:5,7 |
| 1936:21 | 1867:23 1868:21 | 2000:7 2001:8,14 | 1882:3 1883:23 | 1866:9,12 1868:7 |
| control 2019:9 | 1868:22,25 | 2002:10,11,23 | 1887:1 1888:2 | 1882:2,3 1883:8 |
| conversation | 1869:1,5 1870:14 | 2003:8,21 | 1889:2,24 | 1883:11,18 |
| 1916:20,22 | 1872:10 1873:12 | 2004:10 2005:2 | 1891:18 1913:7 | 1884:2,8,17 |
| 1970:13 | 1873:14,15 | 2008:14,15,17 | 1913:20,25 | 1885:8 1897:18 |
| conversion 1959:9 | 1874:11,20,22 | 2009:2,3 2012:17 | 1915:20 1917:11 | 1897:25 1898:13 |
| 1959:10,11 | 1876:12 1878:15 | 2012:20 2013:3,7 | 1917:12,17 | 1912:18 1913:3 |
| conversions | 1878:21,22,25 | 2013:9 2014:17 | 1920:12,16,17,21 | 1913:12,22,24 |
| 1958:23,24,25 | 1879:2,3,8,21 | 2015:19,20,22 | 1921:6,7,7,19 | 1914:16 1915:25 |
| 1959:2,6,8 | 1881:16,17 | 2016:17 2019:23 | 1924:9,16 1925:9 | 1917:7,9 1918:15 |
| converted 1887:16 | 1882:17 1883:25 | 2019:24 2021:6 | 1925:11 1935:24 | 1918:20 1920:25 |
| conveyed 2017:20 | 1884:6 1887:3,6,9 | 2022:8 2025:7 | 1936:5 1937:1 | 1921:2,16 1923:5 |
| conveyer 1983:9,10 | 1887:12 1888:1,4 | 2028:4 2029:11 | 1940:22,22 | 1926:3 1934:10 |
| 1983:11 | 1888:5,18,23 | 2029:21,22,23,25 | 1950:13,13,21 | 1934:11 1935:5,6 |
| coordinate 2028:19 | 1889:1 1890:1,5,8 | 2030:21,22 | 1953:3,9,16 | 1935:14,20,23 |
| copies 1844:10 | 1890:10,11,24 | 2031:1,2,5,6,9,10 | 1955:5,20,25 | 1952:2,16 1953:1 |
| 1911:19 | 1891:15,20 | 2032:4,7,8 | 1956:1,9 1958:21 | 1953:15 1954:2,3 |
| copy 1844:10 | 1892:3 1893:11 | 2033:19,20,25 | 1960:11 1964:5 | 1955:7,21 1958:4 |
| corner 1847:2 | 1893:12,15 | 2034:1,3,8,9,25 | 1965:8,10 | 1958:8,20 1959:5 |
| 1851:5,6 1863:10 | 1895:4,5,12,13,16 | 2036:3 2037:10 | 1966:14 1967:3 | 1964:11,25 |
| 1863:11 1867:9 | 1895:17,21,25 | 2037:11 2039:23 | 1967:21,25 | 1965:3,8,13,20,21 |
| 1867:14,16,21,25 | 1897:11 1899:18 | 2040:21 2041:25 | 1968:1,9 1970:1 | 1966:4,7,13 |
| 1868:23,24 | 1900:4 1901:2 | 2042:18 2043:4,9 | 1972:2 1976:17 | 1967:6,22 |
| 1869:8 1874:18 | 1909:20 1910:17 | 2043:16 2044:14 | 1982:20 1984:4 | 1968:21 1969:1,4 |
| 1885:11,16 | 1911:17 1915:22 | 2047:1,2,3,4 | 1984:19,20 | 1969:5 1970:11 |
| 1961:17,17,20 | 1916:2 1920:23 | 2049:16 2056:13 | 1985:16,18 | 1970:25 1971:18 |
| 1963:19,23 | 1922:6 1925:6,7 | corrections | 1987:15 1988:18 | 1977:10 1978:2,4 |
| 1964:7 1992:2 | 1932:18 1934:21 | 1909:21 1998:10 | 1990:14 1991:6 | 1983:25 1984:19 |
| 2012:3 2015:9 | 1936:11 1941:19 | correctly 1869:6 | 1993:22,22,25 | 1985:1,2 1986:5,6 |


| 1986:7,8,9,13,13 | 1849:15 1932:24 | CSL 1865:15 | 2062:22 | 2044:7 2045:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986:15,18,19,22 | crashing 2055:18 | 1887:1,11,13 | dated 1886:19 | 2047:11 2051:22 |
| 1986:25 1987:1,3 | CRC 2068:6 | 1890:3,14,24 | 1887:5 1888:16 | declined 2047:23 |
| 1987:7,9,10 | create 1919:3 | 1891:2,5,18 | 1889:7 | 2048:1 |
| 1988:14 1990:4 | 1971:15 1974:14 | 2015:8 | David 1841:18 | declining 2040:2 |
| 1990:20,20,22,23 | 2000:15 | CSR 2068:6 | 1844:23 1866:14 | 2041:13,24 |
| 1993:23 1994:4 | created 1884:3 | CT 1991:11 | 1997:3,15 | 2043:14 2046:6 |
| 2012:24 2014:11 | 1911:2 1914:19 | curiosity 1965:3 | day 1860:14,15 | 2046:21 2047:21 |
| 2014:14,17 | 1946:1 1973:7 | currencies 1958:12 | 1862:20 1864:20 | 2047:22 2048:7,8 |
| 2031:15 | 2001:3 2002:2 | currency 1959:20 | 1864:22 1929:24 | 2056:3 |
| counsel 1995:5,10 | 2039:3,4,12,14,19 | currently 1890:14 | 1952:23 1989:9 | decrease 2019:12 |
| counsel's 1995:13 | creates 1974:12 | curve 1955:25 | 2004:17 2019:8 | define 1957:5 |
| counter 1999:19 | creating 1910:17 | 1976:17 2001:2 | 2019:15,16,19 | 1975:15 1989:8,9 |
| counties 2058:3 | creation 1848:7 | 2032:19 2036:20 | 2020:4 2066:11 | 1989:11 |
| County 1992:3 | 2037:6 | 2037:14 2048:10 | day-to-day 1932:20 | defined 1943:14,15 |
| couple 1875:22 | crew 1878 | curves 2051:21 | days 1860:2 | 1973:10 |
| 1900:11,13 | CRH 2000:14 | 2053:14,23 | 1864:15 1878:5 | defining 1990:3 |
| 1914:9 1931:14 | 2006:21 2019:5 | custom-designed | 1902:21 1918:4 | definition 1924:22 |
| 1987:12 1995:7 | 2023:21 2024:9 | 1969:19 | 2035:17 2061:5,8 | 1951:19 1961:11 |
| 2035:3 2057:4,11 | 2024:20 2053:18 | customer 1871:11 | deal 1911:22 | 1972:13 1978:21 |
| course 1849:6 | 2053:25 | 1878:17 1887:12 | 1912:1,3 2014:21 | 1979:9 |
| 1858:5 1876:23 | CRH's 2023:18 | 1921:14 1925:10 | 2066:9 | definitive 1988:18 |
| 1932:18 1962:1,2 | Croix 1872:23,25 | 1950:8 1988:21 | dealers 1948:3 | degree 1905:25 |
| 1962:6,14,15 | 1873:1 | 2032:10 2037:2 | dealing 2011:24 | 2053:9 |
| 2028:10 2058:18 | cross 1851:18 | customers 1845:19 | dealt 1881:25 | DELAWARE |
| 2066:1 | cross-examination | 1955:6,8,20 | 2015:6 | 1840:4 |
| Court 1899:8 | 1841:5,7,13,16,20 | 1960:11 2031:14 | decade 2007:10 | delay 2044:3 |
| 2068:7 | 1844:6,14 1858:2 | 2031:16 2032:11 | December 1886:1 | delivered 1845:18 |
| courtroom 2028:6 | 1881:7 1882:8 | 2036:21,23 | 1891:14,17 | 1848:1,2,2 |
| Cove 1843:13 | 1899:15 1910:10 | cut 1870:12 | 1892:7 1893:6,8 | 1871:11 1925:9 |
| 1846:16,20,21 | 1976:8 1993:2 | CV 1931:18,19,24 | 1894:9 1900:2 | 1955:5,19 |
| 1848:11 1851:3 | 1998:17 2062:16 | 1932:1,16 2015:2 | decide 1983:12 | 1960:11 2031:13 |
| 1855:23 1856:1 | cross-examinations | 2030:5,12 | 2056:14 | 2036:20 |
| 1862:1,13,19,23 | 2064:22 |  | decided 1905: | delivering 1877:13 |
| 1863:7,12,13,21 | cross-examine | D | 1907:17,21 | 1920:7 2026:14 |
| 1863:25 1864:14 | 2063:2 | D 1944:19,22,24,25 | 1908:8,9 1926:6 | 2026:20 2031:24 |
| 1864:19,21 | cross-examining | 1945:3 | 1926:10 | 2031:25 2032:6 |
| 1865:1,2,2,20 | 2016:23 | daily 1890:1 | decimal 2058:12 | delivery 1955:7 |
| 1874:21 1875:21 | crow 1928:7,10,11 | 2030:6 | decision 1938:22,23 | 2011:22 2031:15 |
| 1885:12,13 | 2012:16,18 | dancing 2045:5,8 | 1938:25 2065:22 | 2032:9,10 |
| 1902:21 1965:6,7 | CRR 2068:6 | DANIEL 184 | 2065:23,25 | demand 1998:2,8 |
| 1967:15,22 | crushed 1963 | Darian 1840:2 | declare 1842:13 | 2011:25 2040:3 |
| 1968:1 1970:2 | crusher 1983:3,5 | darn 2041:16 | 1905:9 1996:23 | 2041:14,24 |
| 2013:5 2014:2 | crushers 1930:2 | data 1912 | decline 2042:7,15 | 2043:2,14 |
| cover 1983:18 | crushing 1941:11 | 913:20 1933:8,9 | 2042:18,20 | 2048:20,22 |
| covered 1848:18 | 1948:7 | 2009:7 <br> date 2020:2 | 2043:11,14,21 | demurrage 1890:17 |


| density 1961:14 | 1950:7 | 1919:17 1923:1,6 | distinct 1971:2 | 1959:9 1964:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | designing 1945:6 | 1923:7 1924:9,15 | 1972:21 | 1982:11 1984:3,3 |
| 2004:18 2019:20 | 1945:10 1983:9 | 1926:3 1930:8 | distinction 1961:9 | 1990:24 1997:16 |
| 2020:5 2055:17 | despite 1987:17 | 1936:8 1943:5 | 1984:23 1986:5 | 1997:19,20 |
| depend 2056:5 | destination 1873:14 | 1955:22 1963:15 | distributed 1910:20 | 2002:12 2041:19 |
| dependent 1924:12 | 1950:15 1952:8 | 1964:10 1972:21 | 1998:24 | 2057:6,15 |
| 1994:3 | 1952:16,25 | 1983:6 2007:18 | diversion 2064:16 | dollars 1875:22 |
| depending 1951:7 | destinations | 2013:22 2031:24 | division 1908:21 | 1938:17 1958:9 |
| depends 1902:22 | 1895:21,23,2 | 2032:6,17 | 1963:15 | 1958:15,16,21,22 |
| 1921:15 1924:22 | destroy 2004:20 | 2040:20 2055: | divisions 1908:20 | 1959:21 |
| 1943:8 2056:8 | detail 1932:17 | differentiation | DJL 1858:18,19 | dolomite 1850:12 |
| depicted 1937:21 | deteriorated | 1940:21 1961:2 | 1866:25 1867:8 | 1851:2 1863:17 |
| 1937:25 1939:6 | 1908:22 | differs 1972:10 | 1885:18 | 1863:18 1960:16 |
| 1939:15 1940:18 | determinat | difficult 1915:6 | DL 1858:16 | 1960:22 1961:3 |
| depiction 1958:3 | 1917:23,2 | 1967:5 1988:18 | doable 2066: | dominant 2019:4,8 |
| deposit 1969:12 | 1989:15 | 1989:12 2040:24 | dock 1873:4 | 2019:15,19,25 |
| depreciated | determining | 2041:2 | 2000:1 | 2020:14 2021:17 |
| 1994:16 | 1918:15 | difficulties 2041: | docks 1901:5 | DONALD 1840:8 |
| depreciation | develop 1907:7,12 | dipping 2005:10 | 2002:9 | Doncaster 1906:5 |
| 1994:20 | 1908:4,14 1909:1 | direct 1842:21 | doctor 1998:19 | 1906:17 |
| depth 1868:7 | 1965:24 | 1854:22 1931:5 | document 1844:9 | Dooley 1877:13 |
| Derek 1840:22 | developed 1907:1 | directions 1927:21 | 1869:3 1897:17 | 1886:22 1889:7 |
| derive 1989:13 | 1933:13 1939:25 | directly 1921:21,22 | 1897:19 1909:25 | 1891:14 1893:17 |
| describe 1842:25 | 1966:4 2017:3,10 | 1955:25 2032:20 | 1910:11,17,19,21 | 1893:21 2003:17 |
| 1843:19 1905:21 | developing 1966:7 | Dirk 1904:12 | 1910:21,24 | 2003:25 2004:7 |
| 1909:9 1924:21 | development | 1905:2 | 1911:23 1912:7 | 2008:17 2015:8 |
| 1964:8 1966:20 | 1906:13,25 | dirty 1931:11 | 1912:15 1913:8 | 2044:11,22 |
| 1997:9 2008:25 | 1907:13 2031:4 | discuss 1926:5 | 1915:2 1920:15 | Dooley's 1978:24 |
| 2045:10 2050:13 | deviation 2057:24 | 1996:14 | 1925:16 1933:21 | 2006:11 2035:16 |
| described 1960:6 | diagrams 1979:11 | discussed 1926:8 | 1934:18 1939:22 | doors 2063:22 |
| 1973:12 1987:21 | dialogue 1980:5 | 1973:11 2034:15 | 1939:23 1948:13 | dot 1855:11,14,22 |
| 2017:21 | difference 1868:12 | discussion 1926:14 | 1968:14,17 | 1856:5,12,13,15 |
| description | 1871:20 1913:5 | 1936:4 1964:7,23 | 2025:13 | 1869:19 |
| 1919:11 1927:20 | 1952:24 1960:15 | 2013:11,12,15 | documentation | dotted 2039:9 |
| 1931:25 1958:3 | 1977:22,24 | 2014:1,4 2056:21 | 1911:7 | double 1904:6 |
| 1970:9 2030:9 | 1978:19 1981:11 | discussions 2034:13 | documents 1844:11 | 2047:17 |
| design 1876:14 | 1984:6,12 1985:1 | distance 1860:7 | 1844:11 1911:3 | doubling 2035:5 |
| 1884:25 1941:14 | 1985:14 1986:11 | 1872:4 1902:1,9 | 1911:10,16 | doubt 1870:10 |
| 1941:15 1945:12 | 2020:19 | 1919:17 1923:10 | 1913:11 2013:1,3 | DOUGLAS 1840:3 |
| 1945:14,20,24 | differences 1960:19 | 1923:11,12 | 2025:6,24 2026:1 | downtown 2012:20 |
| 1946:1 1947:15 | 2014:7 | 1926:17,19 | 2026:4,20 | dozen 2006:7 |
| 1949:19 1966:11 | different 1845:23 | 1927:8 1928:11 | 2027:24 | Dr 1841:18 1904:14 |
| 1983:17 | 1846:5 1850:16 | 1950:15 1951:5 | Dodge 2041:21 | 1906:19 1909:23 |
| designed 1843:12 | 1892:20 1893:9 | 1952:3,25 2014:8 | doing 1908:25 | 1997:3,8 2017:9 |
| 1941:10 1946:4,6 | 1903:2 1910:22 | distances 1901:25 | 1913:3 1931:5 | 2051:9 2060:10 |
| 1946:15,19 | 1913:21 1919:9 | 1923:1 | 1932:20 1958:23 | 2061:2,7,16 |


| 2063:1,20,24 | E | electric 1984:16 | environmental | et 1953:3 1969:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| draft 1849:17 | e.g 2032:18 | electronic 2012:12 | 2025:3,12 | 1969:14 1973:15 |
| 1872:5 1939:2,13 | earlier 1892:2 | ELEVEN 1840:1 | equal 1912:2 | 1991:1 1992 |
| 1939:13,16,17,18 | 1951:5 2011 | Elrick 1840:14 | equals 1912:23 | 2066:5 |
| 1940:2,2,8,12 | early 1885:15,15 | el | 1992:5,6,6 | evaluation 1951 |
| 2037:15,17,20,22 | 1929:20 2025:12 | emotionally 1904:7 | equate 1913:2 | vent 1974:12 |
| dramatically | 2027:24 2063:5 | employed 1846:23 | equipment 1948: | ventual 2001: |
| 1908:23 | Earth 1919:4,8,21 | 1906:9 1941:18 | 1970:4 1984:16 | eventually 1877:23 |
| drawing 1987:24 | 1921:18 1927:19 | 1941:24 1942:1,4 | equivalency | 1878:5 2001:15 |
| drawn 1986: | 1927:22 1929:2 | 1942:5,13 1966:9 | 1912:19,20 | everybody 2019:10 |
| drilling 1883:18 | 1967:3 | 1967:11 | 1961:6 | 2023:12 2047:25 |
| 1914:17 | easily 2054:13 | employee 1942 | equivalent 1845:19 | evidence 1945:4 |
| drive 1962:4 | East 1850:17,25 | enables 1948:5 | 1905:25 1959:25 | 1948:18 1964:4 |
| 1991:1 2007:5,12 | 1922:10,15 | encompass 1923:3 | 1960:12 1961:19 | 1967:8,13 |
| 2007:22 2034:24 | easy 1927:22 | end-of-life 2021:14 | 1961:22 1971:12 | 1969:18 2006:11 |
| 2036:5 | economic 1998:8 | 2023:13,20 | erection 1984:16 | 2007:21 2020:12 |
| driver 1953 | 2010:15 2033:24 | endorse 2049:17 | erroneous 2034:3 | 2021:5 2034:17 |
| 1989:2,5 | economics 1997:13 | 2050:21 | Especially 2035:12 | 2035:16,16 |
| drivers 1953:14 | 1997:14 | engage 1926: | essential 2053:20 | 2044:11,13 |
| 1989:6 1990:25 | economist 1997:12 | engaged 2014:2 | essentially 1935:21 | 2050:24 |
| driving 1952:3 | 1997:13 | engineer 1941:11 | 2061:13 | evidentiary |
| drop 2001:13 | economy 1908:22 | 1941:13 1945:17 | established 1884:4 | 2064:11 2066:18 |
| 2002:8 2006:17 | 2056:2,3 | engineering 1906:5 | estimate 1856:20 | exact 1956:9 |
| 2043:24 2053:8 | Edinburgh 2009:8 | 1906:6 | 1870:14,15 | 1980:4 1989:9,9 |
| 2053:16 2054:6 | 2029:21 | engineers 1941:16 | 1912:19 1914:11 | 2017:1,7 2033:12 |
| 2055:1,4,8 | Edmunds 1840:21 | 1948:3 | 1982:11 1984:3,4 | exactly 1909:15 |
| 2056:17,25 | effect 1910:3,4 | England 1906:7,10 | 1985:6,8 1990:16 | 1918:20 1947:13 |
| dropped 2002:22 | 1920:10 2007:22 | 1930:5,6 | 1990:19 1991:12 | 1952:20 1962:21 |
| 2053:21,22,24 | effective 1969:20 | enormous 2053:17 | 2053:8,23 2056:7 | 1989:4 2004:25 |
| 2055:13 | efficiencies 1883:1 | ensure 1939:3 | 2059:6 2061:23 | 2005:21 2008:16 |
| dropping 2056:23 | 1883:3 1942:19 | 1959:19 2019:22 | 2061:25 2062:7 | 2018:9 |
| drove 1952:22 | 1967:14 | enter 1925:18 | estimated 1877:8 | examination |
| due 1858:5 1924:9 | efficiency 1883:7 | entered 1916:1 | 1955:5,19 1956:1 | 1841:12,19 |
| duplication | 1969:25 | entire 2003:18 | 2031:13 2032:9 | 1896:15 1904:5 |
| 1940:20 | efficient 1969:18 | 2007:15 2029:16 | 2032:10,20 | 1905:18 1996:1 |
| duration 2066:4,4 | 1970:9 | 2033:18 2040:8 | estimates 1913:15 | 1997:7 2060:3 |
| Durban 1932:3 | eff | 2062:23 | 1913:25 1956:5 | EXAMINATION... |
| dust 1944:1 | efforts 2004:2 | entirely 1871:21 | 1956:16 1982:12 | 1841:4 1842:22 |
| duties 1906:23 | eight 2023:11 | 1964:10 | 1984:6,7 1986:18 | examining 1927:18 |
| dynamics 1885:3 | EIS 1968:25 2025:5 | entirety 1939:9 | 2033:8 2049:9 | example 1854:22 |
| 1956:7,17 1957:1 | 2027:2,5,12 | entitled 1960:5 | 2053:2 2055:24 | 1883:13 1955:23 |
| 1957:9,16,20,24 | 2028:3 2036:15 | entity 1854:15 | 2057:16 2059:4 | 1961:16 1980:10 |
| 1976:21 1998:3,6 | either 1858:22 | entry 1874:13 | 2062:1 | 1981:3 1993:16 |
| 2007:25 2031:8 | 1859:22 1926:1 | 2002:5 | estimation 1853:14 | 2057:11 |
| 2033:10,15 | 1966:5 1988:23 | environment | 1860:1 1986:14 | Excel 1910:23,25 |
| 2034:12 | 1988:24 | 1998:8 2000:16 | estimations 1866:4 | 1911:16 1935:1,4 |
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fine 1882:23
1995:17,23 2063:13 2066:14
fines 1973:14,18, 20
finish 1896:12
1949:22 1951:8
finished 1896:17 1976:9
first 1844:25
1845:7 1852:1 1856:19,21
1868:16 1877:25
1878:4,20
1886:12,13
1891:21 1893:16
1893:24 1898:2
1912:12,12
1916:15 1918:23
1927:1 1932:25
1934:12 1947:24
1950:16 1968:5
1968:13 1977:15
1982:13,13
1985:18 1987:20
1999:3 2006:14
2010:10 2029:2
2029:13 2051:12
2056:16 2063:2

| 2064:7 2065:25 | 2041:23 2042:13 | FREE 1840:1 | 1902:21 1903:13 | 1990:22 2020:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fit 1982:25,25 | 2042:15,17 | freeway 1924:10 | 1924:17,18,24 | 2027:18 |
| five 1867:3 1878:5 | 2043:2,11 | freight 1849:18 | 1926:14 1973:12 | Getty 1997:19 |
| 1894:5,6 1923:16 | forecasts 2057:7,7 | 1853:21,24 | 1992:20 1993:2 | giant 2002:19 |
| 1988:4 2051:23 | 2057:10,11,16 | 1854:21 1861:20 | 2060:1 | give 1842:20 |
| five-year 1891:5 | 2058:5,8 | 1887:1,7 1888:3 | future 2054:1 | 1844:5,10 |
| 1894:2 | foregoing 2068:3 | 1888:21 1889:24 |  | 1859:21 1889:19 |
| fixed 1953:7 | foreman 1906:3,3 | 1889:24 1890:4 | G | 1907:3 1912:2 |
| 1993:25 1994:1,2 | 1929:22,23 | 1890:23 1891:18 | gained 2046:11 | 1914:11 1957:6 |
| flavoured 1939:10 | 1930:6 | 1892:4,5,6,16 | gaining 2054:11 | 1976:12 1979:25 |
| fleet 1878:6 | foresee 1985:21 | 1893:7,11 1898:9 | Gaspe 1858:17,18 | 1980:15 1985:6,8 |
| flies 1928:7,10,11 | 2066:5 | 1901:21,22 | 1858:19 1859:9 | 1989:10 2024:21 |
| 2012:16,18 | forever 2022:22,22 | 1903:18 1916:4 | 1860:12,13 | 2054:12 2055:5 |
| flight 1904:10 | forget 1951:23 | 1917:14,15 | 1861:5,21 | given 1853:8 |
| flip 1982:7 | 1990:1 2001:18 | 1924:3 1934:24 | 1865:21 1867:1,8 | 1924:6 1944:6 |
| floor 1842:20 | 2006:14 | 1935:5,6,20,23,24 | 1869:24 1885:12 | 1964:2 1966:13 |
| 1905:17 1976:13 | form 1844:12 | 1937:18,19 | 1885:18 1937:13 | 2057:10 |
| 1997:5 | 1910:25 1911:17 | 1987:16 1994:13 | 2012:4 | gives 1927:21 |
| Florida 1879:21 | 1913:23 1934:3 | frequency 1929:5 | gathered 1917:16 | 1973:16 |
| 1900:19 | 1940:18,19 | friction 1962:10 | 2031:8 | glazed 1907:1 |
| flow 1944:19 | 2064:25 2065:1 | 1963:9 | Gee 2015:9 | global 2064:8 |
| 1945:1 | formal 1863:1 | front 1842:12 | general 1855:18 | 2066:16 |
| flow-through | formed 1908:3 | 1843:14 1844:25 | 1916:19 1979:14 | go 1847:1,9 1848:9 |
| 1854:23 1887:11 | 1910:5 | 1849:20 1897:6 | 1985:2 2008:10 | 1849:24 1850:9 |
| FOB 1891:24 | forms 1845:23 | 1905:6 1909:3 | 2043:3 | 1850:15,20 |
| focus 2019:3 | forth 1923:19 | 1910:13 1924:2 | generally 2040:15 | 1851:7 1853:15 |
| follow 1850:24 | forward 1986:7,9 | 1938:5 1940:18 | 2042:6 | 1853:19 1855:21 |
| 1852:4,21 | Fougere 1970:3 | 1976:18 1993:18 | generous 1996:9 | 1856:4,11 1857:5 |
| follow-up 1903:5 | 2050:25 | 1996:20 | gentleman 1908:16 | 1858:3,12 |
| following 1906:2 | Fougere's 1948:18 | frozen 2036:9 | gentlemen 1951:22 | 1859:15,16 |
| 1908:6 2046:4,19 | 1967:8 | fuel 1883:19 1892:9 | 1996:6 | 1861:3,4,20,25 |
| 2055:2 | found 1917:20 | 1892:10,12,13 | geographical | 1862:13 1863:6 |
| footnote 1955:2 | 1927:19 1982:23 | 1898:12 1914:18 | 1927:17 | 1865:2,9,20 |
| 1976:21 2031:11 | 2064:2 | 1953:3,13 | geographically | 1866:25 1867:10 |
| Force 1997:20,21 | foundation 2026:4 | full 1840:10 | 2040:20 | 1868:9,17 1869:7 |
| forecast 2039:20,21 | 2066:22 | 1906:16 | geological 1894:15 | 1869:15,23,24,25 |
| 2040:1 2041:12 | foundations | Fundy 1855:11 | 1906:15,22 | 1870:3,12,16 |
| 2045:15,19,25 | 1982:23 | 1856:9 1861:2 | geologist 1906:14 | 1871:6,14,17 |
| 2058:13 | four 1910:2 | 1873:3 1874:8,8 | 1906:22 | 1873:1 1874:15 |
| forecaster 2010:19 | 2006:19 2039:25 | 1964:19 | geologists 1900:17 | 1882:4 1883:11 |
| 2029:17,18 | fourth 1857:6 | Fundy's 1874:3 | geology 1906:6,20 | 1886:11,15 |
| forecasting 2009:7 | 1894:11 1928:21 | further 1841:7,9,16 | Georgia 1908:1 | 1887:4,7 1888:3 |
| 2009:21 2010:11 | 1936:24 1949:8 | 1852:22 1860:2,3 | 1996:3 | 1888:12 1889:6 |
| 2010:16,21 | 2028:23 | 1860:4,13 1861:1 | germane 1933:17 | 1891:12,21 |
| 2011:4,10 | fractions 1943:16 | 1862:20 1889:11 | getting 1865:22 | 1892:18,25 |
| 2037:10 2041:22 | Frank 1840:16 | 1895:15 1899:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1920:12 1931:6 } \\ & \text { 1952:7 1953:7 } \end{aligned}$ | 1893:7 1894:8 |


| 1897:22 1900:1 | 1872:20,22 | goods 1900:25 | 1932:12,19 | hatched 1845:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1903:18 1906:18 | 1875:15 1877:12 | Google 1919:4,8,21 | groundwater | 1847:10 1848:22 |
| 1912:11 1913:4,6 | 1877:14 1879:14 | 1921:18 1927:19 | 1982:24 | head 1878:10 |
| 1914:8,13,15 | 1880:5,6,7,8,12 | 1927:22 1929:2 | Group 1997:16 | heading 1915:19 |
| 1917:13 1918:23 | 1883:17 1889:15 | 1967:3 1987:21 | grow 1908:4 | hear 2013:17,19 |
| 1922:8 1924:1,3 | 1893:5 1904:14 | 2031:21 | growth 2007:3,8 | 2014:1 2027:17 |
| 1924:17,18 | 1915:5,24 | gotten 1976:24 | 2052:8,10,13 | 2031:19 2044:10 |
| 1925:8 1931:13 | 1918:12,14 | GOVERNMENT | 2056:2,3 | 2044:23 2067:1 |
| 1931:15,19 | 1929:5,11 1939:8 | 1840:5 | GS 2052:14 | heard 1876:22 |
| 1933:10 1936:24 | 1940:13 1943:6 | grades 1889:21 | guaranteed 1877:4 | 1877:8,10 1897:2 |
| 1936:25 1937:9 | 1952:21 1964:7 | granite 1846:1,5,8 | guess 1857:2,3 | 1897:4 1920:9 |
| 1940:14 1946:25 | 1969:14 1972:7 | 1850:12,13 | 2009:19 | 1945:4 1967:10 |
| 1948:12 1949:1 | 1973:19,22 | 1960:17 1962:21 | Gulf 1859:2 1861:4 | 1967:13,17 |
| 1951:24 1952:21 | 1974:2 1979:20 | graph 1845:3,13 | guy 1865:9 | 1969:17 1970:3,8 |
| 1961:16 1964:3,5 | 1984:6 1985:9,12 | 1847:25 1848:7 | guy's 1952:21 | 1970:8 1999:20 |
| 1968:4 1969:2,2 | 1985:14,18 | 1857:12 1858:9 |  | 2003:16 2013:11 |
| 1969:21 1971:18 | 1993:3,21 1995:4 | 1858:14 1859:13 | H | 2013:15,22 |
| 1975:6 1979:3,4,6 | 1998:23 2004:18 | 1859:14 1933:4 | half 1873:5,16 | 2014:4 2022:2 |
| 1983:2 1984:1 | 2005:9,11 | 1933:12 1934:8 | 1948:13 2021:11 | 2023:1 2027:7,8 |
| 1985:24 1993:5 | 2006:13,14,15,21 | 1934:11 1937:22 | 2044:20 2062:11 | 2028:5 2035:15 |
| 1998:18 1999:16 | 2008:20 2009:4 | 1937:24 1938:9 | 2062:12 | 2056:16 |
| 2001:13 2002:5,8 | 2011:11 2019:9 | 1938:11 1939:1 | Hamburg 1942:17 | hearing 1911:20 |
| 2003:14 2006:6 | 2019:13 2020:12 | 1940:18,20,24,25 | 1942:19 | 1979:15 2063:10 |
| 2006:16 2008:21 | 2020:14 2022:19 | 1956:15 | hand 1960:16,17 | hearings 2066:4 |
| 2011:15 2012:2,7 | 2024:6,7 2026:22 | graphical 1934:3 | 1981:6 | Hehn 1840:22 |
| 2018:8 2021:1 | 2027:3,22 2028:1 | 1956:6,16 | handling 1969:21 | held 1840:7,8 |
| 2024:11 2028:9 | 2028:11 2041:3 | 1957:15 2033:9 | handouts 1844:5 | help 1907:12 |
| 2028:25 2037:12 | 2043:14 2044:1 | graphs 1933:2 | hands-on 1931:9 | 1915:16 1932:11 |
| 2038:12,22 | 2045:19 2050:9 | gravity-fed 1969:21 | Hanson 1949:7 | 1951:16,16 |
| 2043:2 2045:12 | 2051:2 2054:4 | great 1903:11 | happen 1966:18 | helping 1932:7 |
| 2045:19 2049:4 | 2055:8,17 | 2003:22,23 | 1983:2 2021:8 | 1979:24 |
| 2051:7 2055:21 | 2056:11,13,14,20 | 2005:11 2047:18 | 2051:19 2053:22 | high 1873:9,10 |
| 2055:21,22 | 2057:19 2061:23 | 2047:19 2048:12 | happened 1911:23 | 1969:25,25 |
| 2057:20,23,24 | 2063:17 2066:23 | 2048:16 | 2001:16 2007:4 | 2001:5,6,24 |
| 2058:10,10,23 | 2066:24 | greatly 2046:15 | 2051:16 2064:19 | 2003:1 2005:3,6 |
| 2066:6 | good 1842:10,23,24 | green 1848:23 | happening 2025:10 | 2006:18 2035:19 |
| goes 1856:1 | 1844:4,15,16 | 1863:23 1897:12 | 2040:14 | 2051:21 2055:12 |
| 1880:23 1984:17 | 1904:9 1905:3,4 | Greg 1844:17 | happens 1982:16 | higher 1849:1 |
| 2039:5 2056:18 | 1905:19,20 | Gregory 1840:13 | 1983:24 | 1870:25 1875:11 |
| going 1844:10,18 | 1947:23 1949:13 | Greytown 1946:3,5 | happy 1881:24 | 1902:5 1974:14 |
| 1846:15 1848:1 | 1949:15,17 | 1946:10 | 2060:10 | 1982:24 |
| 1850:11 1851:9 | 1966:6 1996:17 | grits 1879:15 | harbour 1878:20 | highest 1848:2 |
| 1851:20 1852:18 | 1996:18 1997:8 | 1973:13 1979:3,9 | 1999:16 2000:22 | 1858:13 1859:8 |
| 1855:10 1856:22 | 2012:13 2028:2 | 1979:10 | 2001:13,14 | 1859:10 1940:22 |
| 1859:3 1862:11 | 2041:16,18 | ground 1870:12 | 2002:6,19 | highly 1969:18 |
| 1868:23 1869:8 | 2052:22 2060:9 | 1896:25 1897:3 | hashed 1875:12 <br> hat 1918:4 | 1970:9 2043:5 |


| 2049:8 | 2025:11,23 | 1943:12,23 | 1865:7 1900:17 | infrastructure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hire 1890:16 | 2032:3,5 | 1944:4,4,5,9,18 | 1954:7,9 2044:18 | 2056:4 |
| hired 1908:16 | identified 1850:3 | 1944:23 | independently | initial 1979:11 |
| 2059:14 | 1857:9,15,16 | include 1892:1 | 1949:19 | initially 1905:24 |
| historical 2007:21 | 1858:8,9 1870:18 | 1898:12 1901:24 | indeterminate | 1988:5,8 |
| history 2007:2 | 1876:6,8 1919:10 | 1915:13 1951:23 | 1952:10,13 | initials 1911:13,21 |
| 2020:11 | 1919:13 1922:23 | 1951:24 1973:25 | INDEX 1841:1 | 1912:5 |
| hit 1861:5 2006:7 | 1925:12 1961:18 | 1993:11 2011:11 | indexed 2047:9 | input 1851:19 |
| hold 1856:23 | 1965:21 | included 1892:4 | indicated 1977:2 | 1866:18 1879:24 |
| 1897:4 1987:24 | identify 1975:10 | 1893:11 1937:19 | indicating 1856:23 | 1881:19 1882:19 |
| home 1907:1 | identifying 1922:9 | 1994:24 2062:11 | 1857:1 1859:4 | 1936:19 1998:2,4 |
| Honestly 1882:23 | 1923:14 | 2062:11 | 1867:18 1869:22 | 2014:19,19 |
| honour 1842:14 | ignored 2044:13 | includes 1910:21 | 1870:5,21 | 2015:14,17 |
| 1905:9 1996:23 | illustration 1907:3 | 1937:18 1944:7 | 1991:21 | 2025:22 2026:12 |
| hope 1887:4 | imaginary 2007:10 | 1944:11 2031:14 | indication 1973:17 | 2028:18,23 |
| 1919:21 2013:6 | 2021:4 | including 1852:24 | individual 1943:22 | 2029:13 2033:16 |
| 2064:1 | imagine 2057:23 | 1874:17 1917:7 | 1953:18,21 | 2033:17,18 |
| Hopefully 1996:4 | 2058:19 2062:9 | 1917:16 1924:4 | 2046:17 2058:2 | 2037:6 2038:3,17 |
| hour 1876:21 | 2062:12,14 | 1939:5 1953:2 | industrial 1905:25 | 2039:1 2066:1 |
| 1877:4,5 2062:2 | 2063:13 | 1955:6 1962:19 | industry 1865:16 | inputs 1973:4 |
| 2062:11,12 | immediately | 1976:16 2001:11 | 1917:20 1921:25 | 2026:10 2028:19 |
| 2063:12 | 2053:9 | 2026:15 2034:2 | 1947:22 1974:3 | inputted 1914:22 |
| hourly 1890:17 | impact 1953:1 | income 1872:1 | 1982:19 1989:21 | 1915:3 |
| 1953:2,14 | 1991:6 1998:5 | incorporate | 1989:23 1990:5,7 | inputting 1917:8 |
| 1989:14 | impacted 2052:4 | 1890:19 1891:3 | 1990:9 | insert 1989:19 |
| hours 1868:20 | impairment 2003:3 | 2018:23 | influence 1953:8,9 | 1991:5 |
| 1873:5,16 1910:1 | import 1999:23 | incorporated | information | insertion 1933:2 |
| 1910:2 1929:24 | important 1959:1 | 2033:21 | 1906:17 1909:13 | inside 1974:8 |
| 1977:22,24,24 | 1959:18 1967:4 | incorporates | 1909:14 1910:18 | insinuated 1974:15 |
| 2060:17,18 | 2012:6 2054:10 | 1971:23 | 1912:9 1913:7,22 | installation |
| 2061:2,12,13,13 | importantly 2054:2 | incorporating | 1914:2,3,21 | 1984:16 |
| 2062:3,15,16,20 | imported 2035:21 | 1893:7 | 1915:1,21 1917:8 | instance 1902:3 |
| 2062:23 2063:12 | importing 1999:10 | incorrect 1958:11 | 1917:17,18 | 1946:3 2002:15 |
| howsoever 1953:6 | improve 2009:7 | increase 1977:10 | 1919:10,14 | 2005:2 |
| huge 1978:19 | IN-CHIEF 1841:12 | 1987:8 | 1920:15 1922:1,2 | instances 1921:11 |
| human 1969:21 | 1841:19 1905:18 | increased 1892:17 | 1933:13,16,20 | Institute 1906:7 |
| hundred 1885:17 | 1997:7 | 1932:10 1971:20 | 1934:2 1935:2,12 | instructed 2028:14 |
| 2004:1,19 | in-depth 1967:2 | 1987:3 1993:11 | 1936:7 1939:9 | insulated 2042:14 |
| 2021:19 2049:7 | inaccuracy 1941:23 | 2048:20,22 | 1968:12 1970:18 | intelligible 1985:3 |
| hurt 2006:3 | inappropriate | increasing 2019:10 | 1973:1 1978:22 | intended 1848:1,16 |
| hybrid 1969:4,7 | 2026:11 | 2042:7 2045:21 | 1978:25 1979:12 | 1855:15 1943:4 |
|  | inarticulately | incredible | 1979:13 1989:23 | 1956:5,16 |
| $\frac{\text { I }}{\text { I }}$ | 2052:24 | 2002 | 1990:9 2029:8,12 | 1957:15 2033:8 |
| idea 1868:6,8,11 | incentive 2001:19 | incurred 1964:11 | 2030:24 2031:4,7 | intent 1918 |
| 1872:5,6,14 | inception 1843:4 | 1984:19 2064:14 | 2032:11 | 2027:9 |
| 1878:3,4 1902:20 1914:11 1958:1,2 | inch 1943:9,12,12 | independent | informed 2018:9 | interest 1900:19 |
| 1914:11 1958:1,2 |  |  |  |  |


| 1926:14 1965:5 | irrespective | judge 1840:7 | 1870:8 1873:23 | 2048:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| interested 1894:5 | 1988:15 | 1917:2 2064:4 | 1874:5,6,11 | knows 1882:1 |
| 1917:4 1965:7 | issue 1882:24 | judgment 1901:24 | 1875:15 1876:13 | 1989:2 2047:25 |
| 1970:5 | 1941:5 2007:18 | 1961:21 2016:2 | 1876:17,20,23 | Krista 1840:18 |
| interesting 2063:25 | issues 1928:25 | 2056:9 2059:22 | 1880:2 1891:11 |  |
| internal 2012:25 | 1961:14 2036:10 | 2062:7 | 1897:19 1898:5 | L |
| 2013:2 2027:1 | items 1883:19 | July 1886:16,17,20 | 1916:11 1926:15 | labour 1969:14 |
| internally 2027:3 | 1914:18 | jurisdiction | 1927:16 1930:17 | 1983:25 |
| interpretation |  | 2065:12,14 | 1939:19 1940:9 | Lafarge 1908:7,7,9 |
| 1981:24,25 | J | Justice 2004:18 | 1941:6,8 1948:22 | 1949:11 |
| interregnum | J 1878:21 18 | 2019:21 2020:5 | 1951:2,19 | lag 2044:9 |
| 1891:17 | 1888:3 1891:24 | 2055:16 | 1952:20,21,23 | Lake 1847:4 |
| interrupt 2036:9 | 2002:5,8 | JW 1910:25 1911:4 | 1954:13,15,15,16 | Lakes 1920:2 |
| interrupting | James 1841:11 | 1911:9,9,12,16,21 | 1954:20,23,24,25 | Lalande 1840:21 |
| 1994:9 | 1866:20,22 | 1912:5 | 1957:8,11,14,19 | land 1901:23 |
| intimately 18 | 1905:15 1911:15 1911:17 |  | 1963:13 1964:13 | 1987:15 1991:8 |
| introduction | 1911:17 | K | 1964:14 1965:11 | land-based 1921:8 |
| 1998:22 1999 | Jason 1866:17,20 | K1P 1840:24 | 1965:15,17,19 | 1924:5 1926:17 |
| 2034:23 | Jersey 1918:18 | Kam 1840:19 | 1975:1 1977:25 | 1927:24 1950:13 |
| intuition 2058:16 | 1926:18 1942:21 | keep 1846:15 | 1980:1 1981:1 | 1950:22 1951:14 |
| invariably 1982:18 | 1943:1 1950:14 | 1896:10 2055:1 | 1984:12,13 | language 2017:1,7 |
| investigation | 1950:22 1971:5 | key 2019:5 | 1989:3,4,4,8 | large 1844:8 |
| 1967:19,20 | 1979:2,4 1987:23 | kick 2020:9 | 2000:12 2005:1,5 | 1849:20 1897:6 |
| 1968:8,10 | 2001:22 2004:2 | kicking 2020:21 | 2006:17 2007:11 | 1910:11 1912:12 |
| investing 1970:6 | 2006:15 2012:3 | kidding 2024:17 | 2013:4,10 | 1913:18 |
| investment 1908:12 | 2012:19 2026:21 | kilometres 1988:15 | 2014:23 2015:13 | larger 1938:4 |
| 1914:10 | 2026:23 2027:4,9 | 1988:16 | 2021:8 2024:24 | 1940:19 2057:25 |
| investors 2063:2 | 2027:22 2028:1,9 | kind 1871:24 | 2025:2 2032:1,2 | largest 1928:21 |
| invoice 1886:1,2,12 | 2028:21 2035:9 | 1905:6 1907:10 | 2037:1 2039:17 | 1949:3,8 |
| 1886:16,19,20,21 | Jersey/New | 1924:10 1990:13 | 2052:25 2054:19 | late 1889:4 2021:19 |
| 1887:5 1888:13 | 1942:16 | 1990:16 1996:20 | 2054:22 2056:17 | latest 1897:18 |
| 1888:14,16,21 | Jim 1939:2 | 2005:5 2056:4 | 2059:13 2063:19 | laughter 2063:25 |
| 1898:15 | 2012:11 | 2058:8,11 | knowledge 1844:22 | 2064:3 |
| invoiced 1854:25 | Jim's 2014 | Kingston 1850:17 | 1844:23 1851:13 | Lawrence 1859:3,3 |
| 1855:1 1887:11 | job 1907:5 1930:2 | 1851:1 1922:10 | 1854:6,7 1858:20 | 1861:5 |
| 1887:13 | 1930:21 1931:5 | 1922:15 | 1861:11,15,24 | lawsuit 2024:3 |
| invoices 1898:10,12 | 1931:25 1932:11 | Klaver 1840: | 1920:25 1941:24 | lay 2065:15 |
| involve 2055:25 | 1932:19 1942:18 | knew 1876:24 | 1963:18,20 | layer 1962:5 |
| involved 1843:11 | jobs 1930:6,8 | 1891:10 1902:14 | 1989:21,24 | laying 1961:4 |
| 1914:5 1923:13 | Johannesburg | 1965: | 2015:9 2018:13 | leaders 2053:18 |
| 1935:3 1942:23 | 1931:22 | knock 2053:24 | 2018:16,19 | learn 2020:25 |
| 1945:12 1952:7 | John 1930:15 | 2054:21 | 2032:12,13 | leave 1859:7 |
| 1967:14 1989:1,3 | 1931:5 2027:17 | know 1849:10 | 2059:12 | 1873:10 1907:18 |
| 2008:13 2009:2 | Johnston 1840:13 | 1851:18 1860:25 | knowledgeable | 1908:10 1914:22 |
| involvement 2010:3 | join 1906:14 | 1861:6,16,17,23 | 2015:4,5,6 | 1915:2 1926:6 |
| involves 1966:25 | Josh 1906:19 journey 1872:11 | 1865:10 1866:11 1866:15,16,17 | known 1865:12 | leaves 1975:3,18 $1976 \cdot 3$ |
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1978:13 1982:2
2000:12 2007:25
2019:21 2020:4
2053:3 2057:9
looks 1937:25
1940:6 1969:13
2061:19
loose 1844:12
loosely 1964:8
Lorinda 1840:21
lose 2056:23
loss 2025:20
lost 2005:3 2046:12
lot 1868:21 1913:20
1913:20 1921:25
1932:17 1939:23
1985:19,20
1987:22 1999:20
2010:8 2019:7
2020:24 2024:20

2024:22 2035:4
2041:1 2045:5
2056:23
lots 1954:19
loves 2019:10
low 1861:1 2002:25
low-cost 2019:4
lower 1848:11
1851:3 1863:6,12
1863:13,21,25
1864:19,25
1865:2,20
1875:21 1876:9
1885:12,13
1970:2 2001:23
2020:24
lowering 2020:20
2020:20
lowest 1848:2
1871:10 1874:17
1925:9 1940:21
lucrative 2003:13 2041:5
lump-sum 1887:23
1888:24
lunch 2063:6

| $\frac{1}{\mathbf{M}}$ |
| :--- |
| M5H 1840:24 |
| MacDonald |
| 1840:21 |
| machine 1937:17 |
| machines 1930:1 |
| macro 2056:1 |
| magazine 2009:13 |
| 2009:15 |
| magnet 2001:3 |
| 2002:2,4 |
| main 1842:5 |
| 1877:20 1998:2 |
| maintain 2053:25 |
| maintenance |
| $1883: 20$ |
| majority 1877:16 |
| 1912:9 1915:7,8 |
| 1915:11,12,13 |
| making 1980:25 |


| 1984:17 2022:21 | 2028:2 2053:15 | 2047:21 2050:15 | 1969:3 1980:24 | 1877:4,5 1879:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024:16,18,20,22 | 2053:17 2055:12 | 2051:1 2052:8,10 | 1981:12 1986:6 | 1879:11 1887:14 |
| man-hours 1980:12 | 2055:20 | 2053:8,17 2054:1 | 2004:24 2015:25 | 1887:15,19 |
| 1980:13 | Marietta 1843:6,10 | 2054:5,6,10,12 | 2016:5 2039:9 | 1894:18 1900:15 |
| manage 1930:12 | 1846:21 1862:5 | 2055:15 2056:6 | 2042:10 2043:17 | 2023:7 |
| 1967:11 | 1948:20,23 | 2056:23 | 2044:15 2059:17 | Metro 2052:15 |
| managed 1908:24 | 1949:4 1967:11 | market's 2052:5 | meaning 1912:20 | MICHAEL 1841:3 |
| 1929:12 1930:10 | 1970:5 2002:1 | marketable 1971:4 | 1992:8 2026:9 | 1842:18 |
| 1941:24 | 2022:5 2023:4 | 1971:16 1975:19 | 2063:8 | micro 1924:20,22 |
| management | 2050:14,24 | 1976:4 | meaningful 1980:1 | mid 2021:19 |
| 1932:12,20 | marine 1966:12 | markets 2006:7 | means 1912:8 | mid-point 1929:2 |
| 2056:15 | Maritimes 1942:11 | 2008:11 2011:12 | 1943:24 1980:11 | middle 1859:18 |
| manager 1843:6 | Mark 1840:19 | 2011:14 2016:7 | 1988:13 1991:11 | 1894:10 1964:6 |
| 1906:3,4,12,12,13 | marker 2065:16 | 2030:21 | 2060:20 2065:7 | 2047:24 |
| 1906:24,25 | 2067:1 | Martin 1843:6,10 | measure 1944:8 | Mike 1916:8 |
| 1907:13 1929:25 | market 1843:15 | 1846:20 1862:5 | 1957:4 | 1965:1,12 |
| 1930:8,12,14 | 1848:3 1876:8 | 1948:20,23 | measured 1928:6 | 2014:16,20,21,22 |
| 1931:1,21,21 | 1895:19 1901:9 | 1949:3 1967:11 | measurements | miles 1923:16,21,24 |
| 1932:3,23 | 1909:4 1918:20 | 1970:5 2002:1 | 1928:6 1967:3 | 1926:21,22 |
| manages 1929:20 | 1920:13 1927:6 | 2022:5 2023:3 | measures 1943:22 | 1927:2,7,7,13,14 |
| managing 1932:19 | 1933:5,8 1942:20 | 2050:14,24 | medium-term | 1927:15 1928:2,5 |
| Manhattan | 1956:8,17 | material 1884:24 | 2057:15 | 1928:7 1951:1,9,9 |
| 1928:17,18 | 1957:17 1960:18 | 1910:4 1943:24 | meet 2062:25 | 1953:13 1988:4 |
| 1955:13 1988:16 | 1961:11,23 | 1943:25 1961:1 | meeting 1889:11 | 1990:23,24 |
| 2031:21,24 | 1963:10 1976:21 | 1973:17 1974:23 | 1943:5 1944:7 | 2056:25 |
| 2032:7 | 1992:16 1997:12 | 1994:3,5 2018:24 | Member 1906:7 | million 1852:7,15 |
| manufacture | 1997:23 1998:23 | 2030:15 | memory 1981:14 | 1878:13 1889:20 |
| 1960:23 | 1999:2,25 | materials 1843:3,6 | men 1929:21 | 1894:21 1895:20 |
| manufacturers | 2000:12,25 | 1854:15 1855:1,1 | mention 1997:21 | 1895:20 1898:20 |
| 1948:2 | 2001:7 2003:13 | 1889:12 1949:4 | 2009:18 | 1899:20 1900:3,5 |
| map 1855:4,6 | 2003:22,23 | 1997:16 2010:11 | mentioned 1954:1 | 1900:8,9,22,25 |
| 1858:4,20,21 | 2004:2,7,8,9 | mathematical | 1987:8 2057:6 | 1918:6,7,9 |
| 1859:7 1863:22 | 2005:10,13 | 2058:16 | 2058:15 | 1970:23 1971:4 |
| 1867:15 1869:18 | 2007:3,6,12,25 | matter 1840:1 | merge 1908:19 | 1971:10,15,16 |
| 1919:3,5,14,15,21 | 2008:2,3,9,14 | 1925:11 2009:2 | merged 1932:8 | 1972:6,7 1993:17 |
| 1921:18 1927:22 | 2010:16 2017:14 | 2045:6 | message 2019:13 | 1994:11,12 |
| 1953:25 1987:21 | 2019:9,14,15,20 | matters 1999:21 | 2054:5,20 | 1999:11 2004:21 |
| 1992:2 | 2019:22,25 | 2005:22 | 2055:11 | 2006:13,20 |
| maps 2031:21 | 2020:10,14,15,22 | McInnis 2002:17 | met 2027:18 | 2021:12,19 |
| Marble 1908:1 | 2021:1 2031:8 | McRAE 1840:8 | meter 1973:19 | 2023:2,2,3,5 |
| March 1889:16 | 2033:11,15 | 1985:23 1986:2 | method 1918:15 | 2024:5,6,12 |
| margin 2001:6,24 | 2040:2,14,15,16 | 1986:24 1987:11 | methodology | 2035:2,3,24 |
| 2004:14 2005:11 | 2041:5,14,24 | 2060:22 2061:20 | 1913:3,4 1918:5 | 2054:11 |
| 2006:18 2019:8 | 2042:6,16 | mean 1902:3 | 1966:17,21 | million-ton 1862:7 |
| 2020:20 2054:7 | 2043:12,22,22 | 1912:6 1915:10 | 1967:6 1986:14 | 1898:21,22 |
| margins 1998:6 | 2046:11,12,13,16 | 1915:11 1943:21 | metric 1854:3 | mind 1870:9 |


| 1947:6 1960:2 | moments 1987:9 | NASA 1997:21 | 2006:12 | 1895:3,7,9,11,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961:5 | Monday 2060:8,13 | Nash 1840:13 | necessary 1970:7 | 1895:22,24 |
| mine 1937:5 | 2060:23,25 | 1841:5,7,13,16,20 | 2067:2,6 | 1896:1 1899:25 |
| mined 1900:12 | 2061:21,24 | 1844:4,14,15,17 | need 1949:21 | 1901:1,4,7,9,22 |
| miners 1948:2 | 2062:2,25 | 1851:22 1857:18 | 1966:16 1983:6 | 1902:2,6,15,17 |
| minimal 1889:17 | 2063:12,18 | 1857:20,23 | 2004:21 2005:18 | 1907:1 1916:4 |
| minimum 1894:17 | 2067:13,15 | 1858:3 1880:4,21 | 2005:20 2050:7 | 1917:14,15,19 |
| mining 1906:5 | money 1868:21 | 1880:24 1881:2 | 2053:14 2054:8 | 1918:18,19 |
| 1948:4 2009:7 | 1989:8 2019:14 | 1881:12,20 | 2060:23 2061:21 | 1919:17 1920:4,7 |
| minor 1910:3 | 2024:8,18,21,22 | 1882:21,23 | needed 1906:13 | 1920:13,21,22 |
| 2032:1 | 2024:23 2027:25 | 1885:25 1886:8 | 1909:17 1945:23 | 1921:8 1923:1,15 |
| minus 2052:2 | monthly 2009:12 | 1886:11 1896:6 | 1946:8 1969:13 | 1923:18,21,24 |
| 2055:19 | 2009:15 | 1896:10,16,23 | 1974:24 2007:5 | 1924:4,5,9,12,16 |
| minute 1998:24 | months 2018:9 | 1897:7 1898:16 | needs 1973:11 | 1925:12 1926:2,3 |
| 2049:24 | morning 1842:10 | 1898:23,25 | negated 1961:13 | 1926:9,18,21,22 |
| minutes 1896:8 | 1842:23,24 | 1899:4,14,15,16 | neither 1939:20 | 1926:24 1927:1 |
| 1983:22 2030:14 | 1844:4,15,16,18 | 1901:11 1903:8 | nervous 2005:25 | 1927:24 1928:12 |
| 2060:17,19 | 1905:3,4,19,20 | 1903:10,19 | 2006:1 | 1928:14,20 |
| 2061:12,14 | 1929:20,24 | 1904:19,23 | net 1872:1 1985:7 | 1929:6 1942:16 |
| mischaracterized | 1931:6 1964:4 | 1910:9,10,11 | Neufeld 1840:18 | 1942:20,21 |
| 2020:19 | 1996:17,18 | 1976:10 1977:9 | never 1847:18 | 1943:1 1950:14 |
| misnamed 1944:21 | 1997:8 2013:12 | 1978:7 1979:19 | 1874:18 1885:19 | 1950:22 1951:1 |
| 1944:22 | 2013:13 2014:2 | 1987:18 1988:3 | 1901:1 1934:13 | 1952:8,17,25 |
| missed 1898:23 | 2031:19 2035:16 | 1992:21 1993:2,3 | 1941:18,24 | 1960:6,18,24 |
| 1899:1 | 2035:23 2061:19 | 1995:7,9,12,17,20 | 1942:1 1945:15 | 1961:23 1963:10 |
| missing 1970:13 | 2063:5,8 | 1995:21 1998:16 | 1945:16,25 | 1964:12,20 |
| mission 1997:20 | Morrison 1865:10 | 1998:17,18 | 1954:17 1957:23 | 1965:14 1970:22 |
| mister 1998:19 | 1865:10,18 | 2017:17 2018:11 | 1966:2,8,9,10,11 | 1970:24 1971:4,5 |
| misunderstood | motor 1969:25 | 2026:8,12 | 1969:7 1975:11 | 1972:8,17,20 |
| 1953:11 | Mount 1919:21 | 2033:14 2036:11 | 2007:16,17 | 1973:25 1974:18 |
| mix 1912:21 | move 1851:22 | 2036:13 2049:19 | 2008:12 2024:2 | 1974:24 1975:6 |
| 1958:11 1970:20 | 1880:21 1881:11 | 2049:22 2050:1,5 | new 1848:3 | 1978:18 1979:2,2 |
| 1970:21 1971:6,7 | 1911:5 2018:11 | 2050:8,13 2051:5 | 1852:18 1853:21 | 1979:4,5,6 1987:5 |
| 1972:7 1975:11 | movements 1976:8 | 2051:11 2052:7 | 1853:25 1854:9 | 1987:16,23 |
| 1975:15,22 | moving 1976:24 | 2052:19,20 | 1854:24 1855:1,7 | 1990:23 1998:23 |
| 1977:12 1979:1,7 | MSHA 1919:11 | 2061:24,25 | 1856:1,5,7,8,13 | 1999:2,9,13,16,24 |
| 1985:20 1987:5 | 1927:20 1933:5,8 | 2062:2 2066:20 | 1860:6,8 1861:3 | 2000:5,6,9,21 |
| model 1974:12 | 1933:8 | 2066:21 2067:5 | 1861:21 1862:20 | 2001:4,13,14,21 |
| modelling 2033:24 | mud 1973:20,24 | 2067:10 | 1865:20 1869:7 | 2001:22,23 |
| moderated 2005:4 | multiplier 1892:11 | National 2057:18 | 1872:13 1876:7 | 2002:6,16 |
| modern 1969:19 | mystery 1987:18 | 2058:1 | 1877:12,13,22 | 2003:10,15 |
| modes 1955:22 |  | nature 1992: | 1878:7,16,17,18 | 2004:2,2 2005:8 |
| 2032:17 | N | Navy 2038:2 | 1878:20 1880:6,9 | 2005:14,17 |
| moment 1914:23 | name 1844:17 | nearer 1926:1 | 1881:25 1886:21 | 2006:15,15 |
| 1918:4 1928:1 | 1858:10 1990:2 | nearest 1927:23 | 1887:8,12,17 | 2007:3,7,17 |
| 1935:10 | named 1945:1,2,3 <br> narrow 2053:15 | necessarily 1984:18 | 1888:21 1893:21 | 2008:2,3,9,14 |


| 2012:3,3,19,19,20 | north 1840:1 | 1881:4,10 1903:8 | 2054:24 2057:2 | 1985:10,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014:5 2019:11 | 1847:21 1848:10 | 1903:10 | 2059:24 2060:2 | 1989:14 1994:21 |
| 2020:1,9,14 | 1907:4,6 1949:12 | objectionable | 2063:23 2065:6 | 2007:8 2011:20 |
| 2022:7,14,19 | 1991:9 2010:11 | 1851:21 1880:1 | 2066:19 2067:7 | operations 1907:16 |
| 2026:15,21,23 | notation 1912:25 | obtain 1913:19 | old 1949:4 1970:4 | 1907:17,20 |
| 2027:4,9,15,19,22 | note 2036:10 | 1918:16 | 2010:7 2013:6 | 1908:17,19 |
| 2027:24 2028:1,1 | 2039:8 2064:10 | obtained 1913:22 | Oldendorff 1877:7 | 1913:21 1942:21 |
| 2028:9,13,13,14 | nothing's 1925:5 | 1914:2 | 1877:15,17,20 | 1943:1 1948:4 |
| 2028:20,21 | notion 1926:1 | obviously 1981:3 | 1879:8 2002:7 | 2011:21 |
| 2034:23 2035:8,9 | notwithstanding | 1989:23 2059:18 | on-floor 1930:25 | operator 1929:19 |
| 2035:9,21,25 | 1900:24 | occurred 1902:4 | on-the-ground | 1930:18 1992:3 |
| 2036:5 2037:13 | Nova 1848:22 | ocean 1965:13 | 1932:23 | 1992:13 2013:8 |
| 2037:13 2040:6,9 | 1855:21 1856:9 | oceanside 1848:16 | once 1908:18 | operators 1850:3 |
| 2040:11,12,16,17 | 2000:17 2004:13 | 1935:24 1942:9 | 2016:13 2056:22 | 1953:24 2054:3 |
| 2040:18,19,21,22 | 2040:7 | October 1887:5 | one-time 1982:7 | opinion 1843:25 |
| 2040:23 2041:2,5 | November 1888:14 | 1889:7 1891:17 | 1984:11 1993:11 | 1876:15 1909:17 |
| 2041:21 2042:2,7 | 1888:17 | 1892:3,7,19 | 1993:18 | 1977:10 2014:14 |
| 2042:10,10,16,18 | number 1845:4 | 1893:20 | one-year 1893:25 | 2015:15 2016:13 |
| 2042:21,22 | 1849:24 1850:14 | odd 2016:22 | 1984:13 2057:20 | 2059:15 |
| 2043:12,15,20,23 | 1862:1,12 | offence 1881:10 | 2057:20 | opinions 1843:15 |
| 2045:21 2046:10 | 1870:17 1881:4 | offer 1866:10 | ones 1930:5 | 1843:16 1857:25 |
| 2047:3,5 2050:14 | 1882:7 1883:12 | 1909:16 | 1942:10,12 | 1909:4,5,7 1910:4 |
| 2050:15 2051:1,1 | 1887:5 1903:20 | offered 1907:24 | Ontario 1840:9,24 | 1947:21 2017:3 |
| 2051:23 2052:15 | 1910:1 1913:17 | offering 1893:17 | 1840:24 1842:1 | 2017:11 |
| 2054:2,2,3 2055:9 | 1913:19 1961:17 | office 1931:12 | onwards 2045:20 | opportunities |
| 2056:1 | 1976:17 1977:1,1 | offices 1840:8 | open 1874:22 | 1909:1 |
| Newark 1943:2 | 1977:16 1978:15 | official 1914:13 | 1969:11 | opportunity |
| Newfoundland | 1980:18 1991:21 | Oh 1896:19 1903:7 | opened 1847:18 | 2016:25 |
| 1847:2 1848:11 | 2012:2 2050:14 | 1929:14 2009:24 | 1874:18 1963:19 | opposed 1862:24 |
| 1863:24 1865:21 | 2057:22 | 2036:18 2038:9 | 1963:21 1965:18 | option 1891:6 |
| 1869:24 1874:18 | numbered 1844:8 | 2039:10 | 1965:19 1966:2 | oral 2065:1,3,18 |
| 1875:21 1964:9 | numbers 1850:15 | Oil 1997:19 | opening 1966:5 | oranges 1975:25 |
| 2012:4 2015:10 | 1939:4,5,10,25 | okay 1855:16 | operate 1929:17 | order 1850:16 |
| newly 1908:3 | 2024:23 2026:5 | 1881:12 1886:11 | operated 1930:1,1 | 1858:1 1881:4 |
| newsletter 2009:14 | 2039:19 2054:23 | 1901:15 1902:18 | 1930:2 1966:8 | 1882:7 1915:17 |
| night 1896:15 | nuts 1983:5 | 1902:24 1903:7 | 1975:8 1976:2 | 1966:17 1971:3,8 |
| 1988:20,21,25 | Nyack 1922:17 | 1904:1,21 | operating 1880:19 | 1972:1 1985:16 |
| nods 1878:10 | NYC 2036:19 | 1914:15 1936:15 | 1882:3 1913:12 | 1987:23 2005:12 |
| noise 2058:21 | NYSS 2040:5 | 1937:19 1938:3 | 1971:18 1972:2 | 2020:9 2062:14 |
| non-existing 1868:1 |  | 1954:22 1982:1 | 1977:10,22 | organizational |
| nonbuilding | O | 1984:2 1992:14 | 1978:1,4 1984:19 | 1996:14 |
| 2051:24 | o'clock 1929:23,25 | 2013:23 2038:1 | 1984:19,21 | organize 2049:24 |
| nonresidential | object 1851:10 | 2038:12 2043:20 | 1985:1,17 1990:4 | oriented 2025:13 |
| 2051:25 | 1857:19,22 | 2044:10 2045:11 | 1994:7 2018:17 | original 1892:9 |
| normal 2063:4,8 | 1992:25 1995:16 | 2050:3,6,7,11 | operation 1982:14 | 1911:10 2007:8 |
| normally 1989:7 | 2018:4 <br> objection 1880:25 | 2051:5,6 2052:18 | 1984:5,8,9 | 2028:15,17 |


| 2063:10 2064:16 | 1931:20 1932:25 | 1918:6,7,9 1921:1 | 1997:21 2001:3 | 1879:2 1888:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| originating 1912:6 | 1933:10,13 | 1929:6 1931:16 | 2001:20 2015:16 | 1891:24 2002:5,8 |
| Ottawa 1840:24 | 1934:3,9,12 | 1931:17 1936:25 | 2020:23 2054:21 | Pilot 1873:4 |
| ounce 1895:15 | 1936:23 1937:9 | 1942:11,18 | 2056:22 | pink 1856:19,21 |
| 2005:16 2008:12 | 1947:24 1948:12 | 1950:17 1955:15 | percentage 1977:19 | 1867:16 |
| 2008:13 2022:6 | 1948:13 1955:3 | 1960:3 1963:10 | 1977:22 1978:1,5 | pink'ish 1856:16 |
| outline 1909:15 | 1960:5,8 1964:6 | 1994:6 2010:8 | performed 1941:16 | pinpoint 1927:22 |
| output 1852:9 | 1968:1,4 1977:14 | 2012:19 2034:8 | performing | 2031:20 |
| 2035:24 | 1977:18 1980:18 | 2040:22,23 | 1906:23 | place 1840:8 |
| outset 2034:25 | 1980:19 1982:7,7 | 2043:1 2056:7,9 | period 1852:14 | 1842:7 1886:1 |
| outside 1858:2 | 1993:5,9 1995:4 | 2066:15 | 1877:12,14,24 | 1928:20 2002:16 |
| 1882:8 1927:4 | 2008:21,22 | particular 1911:7 | 1878:8 1895:18 | 2053:1 |
| overall 1998:7 | 2028:25 2029:14 | 1959:15 1970:13 | 1908:5 1914:3 | places 2058:12 |
| 2000:12 2026:8 | 2031:12 2036:17 | 2018:8 2019:1 | 1932:10 1979:15 | plaintiff's 1897:25 |
| 2042:11,12 | 2036:19 2037:13 | 2046:11 2050:25 | 1994:2,16 | 1986:4,6,13 |
| 2052:8 2061:10 | 2038:12,14,15,22 | 2056:6 | 2003:19,20,24 | plan 1968:13,16 |
| overhang 1999:25 | 2045:12,13 | particularly | 2004:3 2022:11 | 2025:14,18 |
| 2000:25 2022:18 | 2049:3 2051:11 | 2017:13 2066:4 | 2040:3 2041:15 | 2028:8,16 |
| oversaw 2025:9 | 2067:6 | parties 2060:2 | 2043:17 2045:25 | plane 1842:5 |
| owned 1914:9 | pages 1910:22 | 2061:3,7,21 | permitted 1847:15 | 1844:19 1851:24 |
| owner 1953:6 | 1931:14 1995: | 2063:21 2064:9 | 1966:2 | planned 2032:23 |
| 2013:8 | paid 1854:18 | 2066:1,17 | person 1911:22 | planning 1997:19 |
| owners 1953:24 | 1971:17 | parties' 2017:20 | 1912:1,3 1925:17 | 1997:20 2024:11 |
| owns 1941:25 | Pallette 1850:17 | Partly 2015:23 | 1929:19 1930:14 | 2034:15 |
| Oxford 1919:25 | paper 2009:6,10,20 | parts 1912:14 | personal 1981:1,24 | plant 1883:1,3 |
|  | papers 2059:11,11 | 1998:1 2041 | 2010:22,23 | 1906:2 1907:8,9 |
| P | 2059:11 | party 2061:6 | 2034:7 | 1941:11 1943:4 |
| p.m 2067:14 | paragraph 1881:3 | Passamaquoddy | personally 1921:5 | 1946:4,4,7,8 |
| package 2063:3 | 1894:11 1931:20 | 1872:23 | 1946:18 2034:18 | 1950:6 1956:3 |
| packing 2020:15 | 1980:10,21 | passes 1943: | perspective | 1966:11,12 |
| page 1841:2 1845:1 | 1982:2 2017:19 | passing 2015:8 | 2055:22 | 1978:13 1982:17 |
| 1845:3 1846:16 | 2036:14,16 | pasted 1917:19 | phase 2065:12,15 | 1982:20 1983:4,9 |
| 1849:20 1850:6 | 2039:25 2046:2 | pausing 1955:11 | PhD 1997:14 | 1983:22 1984:5 |
| 1850:11,21 | 2046:18 2049:3,4 | pay 1991:1 | Phoenix 1907:19,21 | 1984:18,21,22 |
| 1855:4 1856:22 | 2053:3 2054:25 | paying 1854:16 | phon 1906:20 | 1991:10 2005:3 |
| 1858:3 1859:18 | paragraphs 1982:2 | 1887:1 | photo 1919:21 | plants 1948:8 |
| 1874:16 1886:4 | parameters | payment 1945:19 | phrase 2059:8 | 1983:1 |
| 1886:10,12,13 | 1962:20 | peak 2042:2 2048:5 | physical 2003:3 | play 2019:8 |
| 1887:4 1888:13 | Pardon 1901:6 | 2052:6 | picture 2056:5 | played 1921:1 |
| 1890:12 1891:13 | 1956:21 | Peninsula 1861:5 | pictures 1919:9 | player 2019:16,20 |
| 1891:21 1894:8 | Parsons 1840:22 | 1869:24 | PIE 2001:1 | 2019:22,25 |
| 1894:10 1898:2 | part 1849:21 | pennies 2049:9 | piece 1943:22 | 2020:14 2021:17 |
| 1912:12,12 | 1851:8 1874:8 | people 1842:6 | 1994:5 | players 2053:25 |
| 1914:22 1915:19 | 1898:24 1899:1 | 1922:4 1926:14 | pieces 1900:11,13 | 2056:6 |
| 1918:23 1919:2,3 | 1901:13 1907:21 | 1931:11,11 | 1944:8,11 | please 1842:8,9,12 |
| 1922:10,12,14,14 | 1909:19 1913:18 | 1945:9 1947:22 | Pier 1878:21 | 1845:1 1855:3 |
| 1924:2 1931:15 |  |  |  |  |


| 1885:20 1901:20 | 1970:15 1971:9 | power 1841:3 | 1958:21 | 2065:19 2066:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949:22 1960:3 | 1972:6 1978:4 | 1842:8,10,18,23 | presence 1996:2 | 2067:3,7,11 |
| 1976:13 1980:18 | 1980:11 1985:25 | 1844:15 1851:10 | present 1840:20 | presumably 2063:3 |
| 2008:7 2028:25 | 1986:3,18 | 1851:19,23 | 1940:13 2064:22 | pretending 1981:5 |
| 2033:5 2036:14 | 1988:11 1998:22 | 1857:16,22 | presentation | pretty 1861:1 |
| 2038:13,22 | 1999:1 2000:5,9 | 1858:4 1879:22 | 2062:13 | 1998:7 2012:13 |
| 2049:2 | 2000:21 2003:6 | 1880:16 1881:8 | presentations | 2041:16 2057:5 |
| pleased 1889:13 | 2005:9,13 | 1882:18 1883:19 | 2062:10 | prevent 2054:2 |
| plenty 2002:18 | 2007:23 2012:9 | 1896:23 1903:4 | presented 1915:2 | 2056:21 |
| 2054:7 2063:15 | 2013:20 2015:12 | 1903:15 1910:12 | 2009:6,20 | prevented 2020:23 |
| plotted 1964:2 | 2020:21,24 | 1914:18 1916:8 | president 1843:3,8 | previous 2053:7 |
| plunged 2044:6 | 2026:13,15 | 1916:15 1936:17 | 1908:2 1931:10 | 2058:15 |
| plus 1876:21 | 2028:20 2032:23 | 1964:16 1965:1 | 1945:19 2060:11 | price 1893:18 |
| 1920:16,17 | 2046:18 2055:6 | 1965:12 1984:17 | PRESIDING | 1921:12 1998:6 |
| 1979:5 1983:20 | Point's 1897:18 | 2014:16,20,22 | 1840:7 1842:4,11 | 1999:2,20,20,20 |
| plus-minus 2053:11 | 1902:5 | 2018:25 2031:1 | 1842:19 1844:13 | 2003:1 2005:2 |
| 2054:17 2057:21 | pointed 1937:14 | 2032:12 2033:23 | 1880:2 1882:4,10 | 2006:18 2019:12 |
| point 1845:15 | 1941:1 | 2035:23 | 1885:24 1886:6,9 | 2020:20 2053:19 |
| 1848:22,25 | pointing 1849:19 | Power's 1964:4 | 1896:18 1898:25 | 2054:6 2056:17 |
| 1849:6,11 | 1849:20 1859:2 | 2035:15 | 1899:9,12 | 2056:24,25 |
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| 1940:2 1944:18 | 1977:15 2017:5 | 1852:2,7,23 | 2006:18 2009:8 | separate 1898:15 |
| 1944:24,25 | 2017:12,24 | 1853:3,10,16,20 | 2010:12 2011:20 | 1939:9 |
| 1964:6 2014:7 | scope 1851:13,13 | 1853:21 1855:6,7 | 2012:7,8 2016:11 | series 1897:7 |
| 2025:10 2045:11 | 1851:18 1858:2 | 1855:7,10,22 | 2018:8 2019:11 | 1910:22 |
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| 1980:22 1981:1 | 1893:23,23 | 2051:14 2055:22 | 1892:15 1898:20 | 1993:8,9 2000:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981:10,13,17 | 2020:3 | 2058:19 2060:9 | 1921:13,20 | 2045:12 |
| 1982:24 1986:3 | thrusting 2020:16 | 2060:12,21,22 | 1937:2,15 1938:1 | topped 2005:6 |
| 1995:14,22 | tidal 1874:3 | 2061:20,23 | 1955:7 1958:9 | Toronto 1840:9,24 |
| 1996:8,9 2003:5 | tide 1873:9,10,11 | 2062:12 2063:4,6 | 1964:11 1966:14 | 1842:1 |
| 2003:17 2005:5 | 1873:19,20 | 2063:11,16 | 1970:2 2013:17 | total 1853:15 |
| 2005:16 2012:6 | tides 1873:8,24 | 2064:2,8,8,20,24 | 2013:21 2015:8 | 1883:23 1884:17 |
| 2013:22 2018:2 | Tilcon 1845:10 | 2066:7,16,24 | 2021:19 2024:5 | 1889:2 1915:25 |
| 2027:2 2030:10 | 1847:5,22 | timeframe 1877:19 | 2026:14 2028:19 | 1968:1 1970:20 |
| 2031:21 2035:1 | 1848:10 1913:11 | 1900:10 | 2031:15 2032:6 | 1984:14 1986:17 |
| 2041:9 2052:6,23 | 1913:12 1941:4 | times 1902:13 | 2049:10 | 2052:2 2063:11 |
| 2053:15 2054:25 | 1941:19,22,25 | 2035:4 | tonnage 1889:18,19 | totally 1946:21,24 |
| 2056:24 2059:3,8 | 1949:4 2000:15 | title 1960:10 | tonnages 1893:1 | track 2062:22 |
| 2061:9 2062:24 | 2004:3,15 2005:7 | today 1898:18 | tonne 1854:3 | TRADE 1840:1 |
| 2065:9,11 2066:5 | 2005:16 2006:21 | 1979:24 1980:5 | 1877:4 | traditional 1979:5 |
| 2066:17,21 | 2007:5,11,22 | 2028:8 2064:8,12 | tonnes 1877:5 | traffic 1928:25 |
| 2067:2,5,6 | 2019:5 2034:24 | 2066:18 | 1879:10,11 | 1988:22 1989:1,3 |
| third 1931:20 | 2036:5 2053:18 | today's 2058:9 | 1887:14,15 | train 1930:9 |
| thought 1862:18,22 | 2053:25 2056:11 | told 1864:18,23 | 1894:18 1900:15 | 1991:24 |
| 1864:10,11,25 | 2056:14 | 1872:16,18 | 2023:7 | trainee 1930:7 |
| 1866:5 1867:5 | Tilcon's 1913:12 | 1893:21 1898:19 | tons 1852:7,15 | transcribed 2068:2 |
| 1868:2,3,4 1869:8 | till 1906:24 | 1898:21 1899:19 | 1876:20 1878:13 | transcript 1840:7 |
| 1869:11,13 | time 1851:23 | 1899:23 1900:3,7 | 1879:2,5,8 | 1840:10 1899:7 |
| 1870:8 1883:14 | 1864:20 1865:16 | 1935:24 1954:17 | 1886:22 1887:8 | transcripts 2065:14 |
| 1883:24 1884:9 | 1868:15,16 | 1955:11 2014:16 | 1887:17 1888:21 | transferred 1906:8 |
| 1884:18,22 | 1872:12 1873:2 | 2016:18 2030:10 | 1889:20 1894:21 | 1907:11 2022:5 |
| 1982:25 2021:14 | 1875:3 1877:7 | toll 1991:1 | 1895:20 1899:20 | translate 1993:25 |
| 2023:13,19 | 1878:5 1881:21 | tolls 1917:16,19 | 1900:22,25 | transport 1902:13 |
| 2026:19,19,25 | 1881:22 1882:24 | 1920:16,18 | 1921:20 1970:23 | 1917:9 1921:16 |
| 2028:11 | 1887:2 1890:2 | 1924:4 2040:25 | 1971:4,15,16 | transportation |
| thoughts 1872:4 | 1893:24 1901:20 | Tom 1886:21 | 1972:6,7 1979:3,4 | 1916:12 1924:16 |
| 2049:24 | 1902:15,16,23 | 1893:20 2004:7 | 1979:6 1999:11 | 1955:23 2032:18 |
| thousand 2004:1 | 1906:4,21 1907:3 | 2015:8 | 2002:6,8 2004:1 | transported |
| threat 2019:11,12 | 1907:17,25 | ton 1849:3 1853:2 | 2021:12 2022:10 | 1969:24 |
| 2021:16 2023:17 | 1909:11,11 | 1853:21 1854:2,4 | 2023:2,2,3,5 | transposed 1934:2 |
| 2023:21 | 1930:10,13,20 | 1854:5,22 | 2024:12 2034:23 | trap 1850:12,12,13 |
| threatening 2005:8 | 1940:3 1942:2,23 | 1859:10,19 | 2035:10,21 | travel 1902:15,16 |
| 2005:14 2050:14 | 1952:7,24 | 1860:11,12,16 | 2036:4 | 1989:10 |
| three 1845:7 | 1953:13,13 | 1865:1 1869:2,3 | tools 2012:12 | tremendous |
| 1889:21 1893:1,8 | 1958:17 1967:19 | 1869:16 1875:22 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 1845: 20$ | 1913:17 |
| 1893:10 1908:8 | 1983:3,20 | 1875:23 1876:1 | 1849:24 1851:8 | trend 2046:4,19 |
| 1943:5,11 | 1988:25 1989:8,9 | 1883:24 1886:23 | 1855:22 1858:23 | tribunal 1841:8,15 |
| 2006:19 2052:5 | 1994:2,16,21 | 1887:2,8,18,19,20 | 1862:1 1863:7,10 | 1841:22 1843:1 |
| 2062:16,19,20 | 2021:23 2025:25 | 1887:21 1888:7 | 1922:10,15 | 1843:19 1844:1 |
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|  | 1854:22 1860:11 | 5-ply 1983:9 | 66 2039:25 | 90 1915:14,14 |
| 3 | 1860:21 1863:8 | 5.13 1853:16 | 68 2046:3,18 | 1923:24 2047:7 |
| 3 1862:1 1971:10 | 1863:13 1869:25 | 1883:24 |  |  |




[^0]:    . The difference being...
    Q. And do you know how that

