

**IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION PROCEEDING UNDER ARTICLE 8(2)(A) OF THE AGREEMENT  
OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND AND THE GOVERNMENT  
OF THE CZECH AND SLOVAK FEDERAL REPUBLIC FOR THE PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF  
INVESTMENTS AND THE UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES (1976)**

**A11Y LTD.**

**v.**

**CZECH REPUBLIC**

**(ICSID Case No. UNCT/15/1)**

---

**PROCEDURAL ORDER NO 3 – Amendment to the Procedural Timetable**

***Tribunal***

Yves Fortier, PC, CC, OQ, QC, Presiding Arbitrator  
Stanimir Alexandrov, Arbitrator  
Anna Joubin-Bret, Arbitrator

***Secretary to the Tribunal***

Jara Mínguez Almeida

***Assistant to the Tribunal***

Annie Lespérance

---

December 11, 2015

Having considered:

- the Claimant's letter of 4 December 2015;
- the Respondent's response of 9 December 2015; and
- the Claimant's letter of 11 December 2015

and deliberated, the Tribunal decides as follows.

1. The Tribunal notes that the Claimant, in its letter of 4 December 2015, requests the Tribunal to extend the deadline for the Claimant to file its Counter-Memorial on Jurisdiction from 7 December 2015 to 11 January 2016 in view of new counsel having been instructed on 4 December 2015.
2. The Respondent, in its letter of 9 December 2015, requests that, should the Tribunal decide to grant the Claimant's request and in order that the parties' previously agreed time lines be preserved, the deadline for the submission of its Reply on Jurisdiction also be extended. The Respondent also requests that the deadlines for the submission of the Requests for the Production of Documents be extended until after the submission of the Claimant's Counter-Memorial on Jurisdiction.
3. The Claimant, in its letter of 11 December 2015, confirms that it does not object to the Respondent's requests.
4. In the circumstances, the Tribunal grants the parties' requests.
5. The Tribunal, in order to protect the dates of 28-29 September 2016 for the hearing on jurisdiction in Paris, amends the procedural timetable as follows:

<b>Scenario 2 –With Bifurcation (Proceeding on Jurisdiction)</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Description</b>
11 January 2016	Claimant	Counter-Memorial on Jurisdiction
29 January 2016	Claimant and Respondent	Requests for Production of Documents
5 February 2016	Claimant and Respondent	Objections to Document Production Requests, if any

---

Procedural Order No. 3

12 February 2016	Claimant and Respondent	Response to Objections to Document Production Requests
26 February 2016	Tribunal	Tribunal best efforts to issue Decision on Document Production Requests
11 March 2016	Claimant and Respondent	Production of Documents
25 April 2016	Respondent	Reply on Jurisdiction
25 July 2016	Claimant	Rejoinder on Jurisdiction
<b>TBD</b>	All	Pre-hearing Conference
28-29 September 2016	All	Hearing on Jurisdiction

6. The Tribunal confirms that the scope of the document production phase described above is limited only to the bifurcated jurisdictional objections, namely Objections 1, 3 and 4 (as defined in Procedural Order No. 2).

Paris, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of December 2015

Signed on behalf of the Arbitral Tribunal

*[signed]*

---

L. Yves Fortier, PC, CC, OQ, QC  
President